<span id="page-0-0"></span>

# Shining Light on Saturated Gluons GlueSatLight



#### Heikki Mäntysaari

University of Jyväskylä Centre of Excellence in Quark Matter Finland



Particle Physics Days, Nov 28, 2024

**HELSINKI INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS** 



# <span id="page-1-0"></span>**Outline**

#### 1 [QCD at high energies and densities](#page-1-0)

- 2 [How to shine light on saturated gluons?](#page-4-0)
- 3 [Snapshots of protons and nuclei at high energies](#page-8-0)

**[Towards precision level](#page-15-0)** 

5 [Connections to heavy ion phenomenology](#page-19-0)

# Proton structure at high energy

Experiments at HERA  $e + p$  collider (92–07): Deep Inelastic Scattering  $e + p \rightarrow e + X$ 



 $Q^2=-q^2$ : photon virtuality  $\sim 1/$ length scale



Observation: proton is full of gluons!

 $x = Q^2/(2P \cdot q)$ : fraction of the proton momentum carried by the quark or gluon

# QCD at high energies

QCD is non-abelian  $\Rightarrow$  non-linear

$$
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \underbrace{F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}}_{\sim (A^{\mu})^3, (A^{\mu})^4} + \underbrace{\overline{\psi} (\overline{i} \cancel{D}}_{\sim A^{\mu}} - m) \psi
$$

- Gluons  $({\sim A^{\mu}})$  have self-couplings:  $g \rightarrow g\bar{g}$  increases density at low x
- $\bullet$  Non-linear when q density large:  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow q$  balances  $q \rightarrow qq$
- Effective theory at high energy: Color Glass Condensate (CGC)

### When is non-linear QCD visible?

- $\bullet$  Transverse size probed  $\sim 1/Q^2$
- Number of gluons  $xg(x,Q^2)$
- Proton transverse area  $\pi R_p^2$
- QCD coupling strength  $\alpha_s$

Non-linearities important when

$$
\alpha_s xg(x,Q^2)\frac{1}{Q^2}\gtrsim \pi R_p^2
$$

Pronounced in nuclei:  $xg(x,Q^2)/\pi R_p^2 \sim A^{1/3}$ 



High- $x$ /small- $E$ 

evolution and the evolution of the

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Outline**

#### **1 QCD** at high energies and densities

#### 2 [How to shine light on saturated gluons?](#page-4-0)

3 [Snapshots of protons and nuclei at high energies](#page-8-0)

**[Towards precision level](#page-15-0)** 

5 [Connections to heavy ion phenomenology](#page-19-0)

# "Standard" experimental access to high-density QCD at the LHC

No unambiguous signal of non-linearities seen so far. Look for densest possible systems!

### p+A collisions

- Probe: proton (complex substructure)
- 



• Target: heavy (dense) nucleus Particle production in the forward (proton-going) direction

- Proton:  $x_n \sim 1$
- Nucleus:  $x_A \ll 1$

Access to small- $x_A$ , but messy

# Light in GlueSatLight

#### Ultra Peripheral Collisions

- Impact parameter  $|\mathbf{B}| > 2R_A$ :  $\bullet$  impact parameter  $|\mathbf{D}| > 2n_A$ :<br>Hadronic interaction suppressed
- Probe: photon (elementary particle)
- **Target:** heavy (dense) nucleus example  $\Gamma$  are second from the suppress photon flux nucleus photon



- $\gamma + A$  scattering at  $W_{\gamma N} \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$ : Clean probe of gluon saturation & geometry at small- $x$  and large- $A$
- **•** Focus: exclusive vector meson production

 $\sim$  quasi-real photon flux EM field of the fast nucleus



J. Nystrand et al, nucl-ex/0502005

# Light in 2030s: Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)

### Electron Ion Collider (EIC)

- $\bullet$  Approved by the US DOE, data  $\sim$  2032
- First  $e + A$  collider
- Polarized protons (and light nuclei)
- High luminosity  $\mathcal{L} \sim 10^{34} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

### EIC physics program & requirements

- 3D imaging (luminosity) ERC
- Proton spin (polarized beam)
- Saturation (large  $E$  and  $A$ ) ERC
- CoE QM theory groups involved



Interaction via virtual photon exchange

- Kinematics known (measure  $e$ )
- $\bullet$  Access different length scales  $\sim$  photon virtualities  $Q^2$

# <span id="page-8-0"></span>**Outline**

- **1 QCD** at high energies and densities
- 2 [How to shine light on saturated gluons?](#page-4-0)
- 3 [Snapshots of protons and nuclei at high energies](#page-8-0)
- **[Towards precision level](#page-15-0)**
- 5 [Connections to heavy ion phenomenology](#page-19-0)

### Non-perturbative input from structure function measurements



- Perturbative  $\sqrt{s}$  evolution: BK/JIMWLK Requires a non-perturbative input with uncertainties
- Necessary ingredient for all CGC calculations
- Cleanest observable: total  $\gamma^* p \to X$  cross section

### **GlueSatLight**

- Precision: NLO, finite- $\sqrt{s}$  corrections
- Global analyses: include diffraction,  $p + A$ ,...
- Impact of future EIC data



### Exclusive vector meson production at the EIC and in UPCs



Lowest order in perturbation theory:  $\mathcal{A}_\Omega\sim i\int\mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{b}\,e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{\Delta}}\Psi^*\otimes N_\Omega\otimes\Psi_{\mathrm{J}/\psi}$  $\bullet \ \gamma^{*} \rightarrow q \bar{q}$ : photon wave function  $\Psi$  (QED)  $\bullet$  qq-target interaction: dipole amplitude  $N_{\Omega}$  $\bullet$   $q\bar{q} \rightarrow J/\psi$ : meson wave function  $\Psi_{J/\psi}$ 

#### Calculation of  $F_2$ ,  $F_{2,D}$  similar

 $\Omega$ : target configuration  $\Delta$ : J/ $\psi$  transverse momentum r:  $q\bar{q}$  transverse size b:  $q\bar{q}$  center-of-mass z: long. momentum fraction

#### Diffractive scattering

- Theory: no net color charge transfer
- Experimental signature: rapidity gap (empty detector) around  $J/\psi$

### **b** and  $\Delta$  Fourier conjugates: access to geometry!

# Coherent and incoherent vector meson production



Coherent: target remains intact, initial state  $|i\rangle =$  final state  $|f\rangle$  $\Rightarrow$  Probe average interaction  $\Rightarrow$  average geometry Good, Walker, Phys. Rev. 1960:  $\frac{d\sigma}{d\mathbf{\Delta}^2} \sim |\langle A \rangle_{\Omega}|^2$ 

# Coherent and incoherent vector meson production



Coherent: target remains intact, initial state  $|i\rangle =$  final state  $|f\rangle$  $\Rightarrow$  Probe average interaction  $\Rightarrow$  average geometry Good, Walker, Phys. Rev. 1960:  $\frac{d\sigma}{d\mathbf{\Delta}^2} \sim |\langle A \rangle_{\Omega}|^2$ 

Incoherent:  $|i\rangle\neq|f\rangle$ , target breaks up:  $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{\Delta}^2}\sim\left\langle |\mathcal{A}|^2\right\rangle_{\Omega}-\left|\left\langle \mathcal{A}|\right\rangle _{\Omega}\right\rangle _{\Omega}$ Variance  $\Rightarrow$  access to event-by-event fluctuations in the target structure  $\left\langle |\mathcal{A}|^2 \right\rangle$  $\Omega$ <sup>-</sup>  $\overline{a}$ A  $\setminus$ Ω 2

# Proton shape from:  $\gamma + p \rightarrow J/\psi + p$



HERA data can be described with large event-by-event fluctuations in the proton geometry

H.M, B. Schenke, PRL 117, 052301 (2016), PRD 94, 034042, H1: EPJC73, 2466, later many papers by different groups

# Nuclear density profile from  $Pb + Pb \rightarrow Pb + Pb + J/\psi$

 $\gamma$  + Pb at the LHC: very high density, saturation can modify the nuclear geometry



UPC data from LHC  $(x = 6 \cdot 10^{-4}, W_{\gamma N} = 125 \text{ GeV})$ 

- Coherent  $\gamma + Pb \rightarrow J/\psi + Pb$
- Saturation effects modify nuclear goemetry  $\Rightarrow$  Supported by the ALICE data
- Saturation: nucleus ≈ black disc at the center

#### **GlueSatLight**

- Nucleon&nuclear (fluctuating)  $x$ -dependent geometry
- Nuclear modification to nucleon substructure fluctuations
- DIS + LHC  $J/\psi$  data: probe saturation in global analyses
- Promote phenomenology to NLO accuracy

### <span id="page-15-0"></span>**Outline**

#### **1 QCD** at high energies and densities

- 2 [How to shine light on saturated gluons?](#page-4-0)
- 3 [Snapshots of protons and nuclei at high energies](#page-8-0)

4 [Towards precision level](#page-15-0)

5 [Connections to heavy ion phenomenology](#page-19-0)

# Gluon saturation at precision level

This talk so far: LO (but  $\alpha_s \ln 1/x \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$  resummed to all orders) CGC calculations are now entering the NLO era  $(\alpha_s \ln 1/x \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ , NLO  $=\alpha_s^2 \ln 1/x)$ 

Factorization at small- $x$ 

#### $d\sigma \sim$  Impact factor  $\otimes$  Wilson line correlator

### Building blocks for NLO accuracy

Perturbative calculations at NLO accuracy need

- Impact factors (perturbative calculation)
- Perturbative energy evolution for Wilson lines
- Non-perturbative input from fits

Probe QCD in the high-density domain at precision level



# Progress towards the NLO accuracy – our contributions so far Significant contributions from the CoE QM, for example

#### Impact factors at NLO

- Total cross section in  $\gamma^*+A$ Beuf, Lappi, Paatelainen, Hänninen, 2017–2022
- Exclusive  $\gamma^* + A \rightarrow V + A$   $(V = \rho, J/\psi, \Upsilon)$ H.M, Penttala, 2021–2022
- Total diffractive  $\gamma^*+A$  cross section Beuf, Lappi, H.M, Paatelainen, Penttala, 2024

#### Evolution equations at NLO

- **•** First numerical solution Lappi, H.M. 2015
- Initial condition from  $e + p$  data:

Hänninen, H.M, Paatelainen, Penttala 2023

#### Diagrammatic calculations using Light Cone Perturbation Theory



Examples for  $q\bar{q}$  and  $q\bar{q}q$  production

# Towards NLO phenomenology 4



 $\bullet$  First NLO calculations applied to HERA&LHC phenomenology (our speciality): Total  $\gamma+p$  cross sectio Total  $\gamma + p$  cross section, exclusive  $J/\psi$  production, forward particle production in p+A *p* + ! *p* + J*/ p* + ! *p* + J*/*

### Fit 1 inclusive *Y*0*,*BK*,* 0*,*BK = 4*.*61 *Y*0*,*BK*,* 0*,*BK = 0*.*00 ERC project GlueSatLight

No single "smoking gun" for gluon saturation expected  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$ 

- o single sinoking gun for giuon saturation expected<br>• Probe gluon saturation by performing global analyses at NLO accuracy
- 0.10 • Apply these results to heavy ion phenomenology

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

0.05

10<sup>1</sup>

we show in Appendix. A, the overall normalization de-

 $f_{\rm eff}$ amplitude at small dipole size can have a dramatic e↵ect, even rendering the (parton-level) cross section negative if the dipole amplitude vanishes faster than r<sup>2</sup> r? as discussed in Sec. III and in Ref. [62].

We note that in Ref. [46] more fits than the four that we use in Figs. 2 are reported. We will further demonstrate  $\mathcal{L}$ 

µ = 8p?, and the central lines is obtained with µ = 4p?. The statistical and systematic uncertainties for the LHCb data are

### <span id="page-19-0"></span>**Outline**

#### **1 QCD** at high energies and densities

- 2 [How to shine light on saturated gluons?](#page-4-0)
- 3 [Snapshots of protons and nuclei at high energies](#page-8-0)

**[Towards precision level](#page-15-0)** 

#### 5 [Connections to heavy ion phenomenology](#page-19-0)

# Heavy ion collisions



- $\bullet$  High-E Pb+Pb collisions
- Goal: determine properties of the deconfined QCD matter Quark Gluon Plasma



- Multi-stage process
- $\bullet$  Describing all stages  $+$  measurements: where ensemble of nucleons in Quark Matter
- $\epsilon$  . 2  $\epsilon$  2  $\epsilon$  parton collisions happening (hydrodynamic evolutions) and de-configurate (hydrodynamic evolutions) natter  $\qquad \bullet$  ERC project: 0<sup>th</sup> stage
- $=$  dense saturated nuclei before collision
- $\Rightarrow$  input to simulations

### Initial state description from  $e+p$  in heavy ion collisions

### LHC surprise

- $\bullet$  Initially p+Pb considered as a baseline, too small system for collectively evolving QGP
- $\bullet$  However, a large flow was observed, comparable to Pb+Pb measurements



Same hydro framework failed with  $p+Pb...$ 

# Initial state description from  $e+p$  in heavy ion collisions

### LHC surprise

- $\bullet$  Initially p+Pb considered as a baseline, too small system for collectively evolving QGP
- $\bullet$  However, a large flow was observed, comparable to Pb+Pb measurements



Same hydro framework failed with  $p+Pb...$ 



. . . However, a round proton was assumed, and nature is quantum mechanical (more complicated)

### Initial state description from  $e+p$  in heavy ion collisions

#### **Geometry from DIS**

Can use  $e + p / e + A$  to constrain the proton/nuclear fluctuating geometry

### GlueSatLight

- IIMWI K evolution in IP-Glasma
- $\bullet$  Input from DIS/global analyses
- Nucleon substructure in [deformed] nuclei
- Effect on the extraction of QGP properties



 $\mathcal{O}^2$ 





Recent development

show that LHC Xe $+$ Xe measurements are sensitive to deformed Xe geometry at small- $x$ arXiv:2409.19064 [hep-ph]: initial state with (approximative) JIMWLK evolution in IP-Glasma,  $\frac{\mathsf{sh}}{\mathsf{h}}$ /e<br>ght

Heikki M¨antysaari (JYU) [GlueSatLight](#page-0-0) Nov 28, 2024 23 / 24

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

# Conclusions: GlueSatLight



#### Background: path to gluon saturation

- Soft gluon emission is favored in QCD  $\Rightarrow$  protons and nuclei dense at high energy
- Eventually  $g \rightarrow gg$  and  $gg \rightarrow g$  balance: new state of matter with non-linear dynamics at the RHIC, in Au-Au collisions at center-of-mass energy of psNN = 200 GeV (and an energy scan

#### Open questions answered in this project

- Is non-linear QCD dynamics visible in current collider energies?  $\frac{1}{\pi}$  are related to the initial state) and the initial wave function  $\frac{1}{\pi}$ different to the one of protons, which can generate different multi-parton interactions (MPI) during (MP
- How do these saturation effects modify the nuclear high-energy structure?  $\qquad$   $\qquad$  (quantify)  $\|\;$
- What is the effect on the extraction of the Quark Gluon Plasma properties?  $\qquad \quad \text{(apply)} \,|\,$ used to infer the properties of the QGP medium generated in the collision.





at lower energies down to psNN = 7.7 GeV) and at the LHC, with Pb–Pb collisions at psNN = 2.76 with respect to pp collisions, if AA collisions were just an ensemble of pp or pn or nn collisions. Also, pA collisions have their interest since they are sensitive to "cold nuclear matter effects" present

#### Backups

# Saturation effects: coherent  $\gamma + A \rightarrow J/\psi + A$



H.M, Salazar, Schenke, arXiv:2312.04194

# <span id="page-28-0"></span>Geometry from exclusive scattering:  $Au + Au \rightarrow Au + Au + \rho^0$

Total transverse momentum transfer: conjugate to distance  $\Rightarrow$  access to geometry



Figure 8: *dialitysaari (JYU)* and 1n1n events in Annual [GlueSatLight](#page-0-0) Nov 2010 and 1n1n events (*annual 27 / 24* / 24 / 27 / 24 / 27 / 24 / 27 / 24 / 27 / 24 / 27 / 24 / 27 / 24 / 27 / 24 / 27 / 24 / 27 / 24 / 25 / 25 / 26  $\mathbf{r}$  circles). The filled bands show the sum in  $\mathbf{r}$  all systematic uncertainties listed in Tab.  $\mathbf{r}$