mass measurement surveys esigning optimal exoplanet the era of TESS

Jennifer Burt (MIT)

Brad Holden (UCO/Lick) Angie Wolfgang (Penn State) Luke Bouma (Princeton)



Image credit: BJ Fulton

The Automated Planet Finder telescope













Effective Temperature



nost star





Simulating an RV survey

3 year simulated APF survey, beginning after TESS looks at northern hemisphere

night segments 40% of the telescope's time in whole

previous years of data Models seeing/weather based on

Simulating an RV survey

ime varying prioritization schemes

- In quadrature
- Uniform
- Out of quadrature Random



calculating RV values

╉ instrumental noise floor internal uncertainty

Final RV value for that observation

Instantaneous true RV for star noise signature for star

Stellar Activity





nase

N_{planets} N_{planets} N_{planets} N_{planets} ω Θ Θ 12 12 12 12 9 6 3 6 9 9 3 ω 0 0 0 0 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 1$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 3$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 5$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 1$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 3$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 5$ $\sigma_{K} \ge 1$ $\sigma_{K} \ge 3$ $\sigma_{K} \ge 5$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 1$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 3$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 5$ 8.5 9.5 V magnitude 8.5 8.5 8.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 10.510.5 10.5 10.512 12 12 12 0 9 9 ω 9 ω 0 ω 9 0 ω 0 6 6 6 6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.5 2.5 2.5 5.0 7.5 Measured K (m/s) 2.5 5.0 5.0 5.0 7.5 7.5 7.5 10.0σ_K≥ 3 σ_K≥ 5 $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 1$ 10.0 $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 1$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 3$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 5$ 10.0 $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 1$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 3$ $\sigma_{\rm K} \ge 5$ 10.0 $\sigma_{K} \ge 1$ $\sigma_{K} \ge 3$ $\sigma_{K} \ge 5$ σ_K ≥

5 P

Burt+ 2018



perform the best in terms of the number of Uniform and random observing schemes measured planet masses.

semi-amplitude significance values (K/o_{k)} No observing scheme reaches higher significantly faster than the others.



Measured planet K



Measured planet K



Measured planet K







ma

=

 $\sigma =$



Individual Mass and Radius Posteriors



Individual Mass and Radius Posteriors



Individual Mass and Radius Posteriors





Power–law Index (γ)

1.4

1.8

2

3

1.0

Intrinsic Scatter ($\sigma_{\rm M}$)

3

4

5 0.6

2

0

N

K/a > 3

Normalization Constant (C)

5

6

4

68% Credible Intervals on M–R Parameters

68% Credible Intervals on M–R Parameters





Power–law Index (γ)

1.4

1.8

2

3

1.0

Intrinsic Scatter ($\sigma_{\rm M}$)

3

4

5 0.6

2

0

N

K/a > 3

Normalization Constant (C)

5

6

4

68% Credible Intervals on M–R Parameters

68% Credible Intervals on M–R Parameters

Groups who aim to analyze empirical massproduce biased results in the extracted Msample. Performing population analyses with significance cuts will consistently radius distributions produced by RV measurements of all planets in their followup should include mass R relation.

mass measurement surveys esigning optimal exoplanet the era of TESS

Jennifer Burt (MIT)

Brad Holden (UCO/Lick) Angie Wolfgang (Penn State) Luke Bouma (Princeton)