A New Picture of Accretion Disk Boundary Layers



Mikhail (Mike) Belyaev UC Berkeley (TAC)

Disk Boundary Layer

Accretion via a disk onto a WD, NS, protostar...

Case I: accretion proceeds all the way to the surface of the central object.



Case 2: accretion is disrupted by magnetic field before the disk reaches the surface.



Boundary Layer in Cataclysmic Variables





Both variables have been vertically averaged along z.



Both variables have been vertically averaged along z.

Disk +BL + Star



Three Wave Branches



0

Evan. Reg.

1.8

2

2.2

2.4

년 년 0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

1.2

1

1.4

1.6

radius

Lower: Gravitosonic wave in star propagating against direction of the flow. -0.01

-0.02 Middle:
$$\frac{k_{R,disk}(R_*)}{k_{\phi}} \approx \frac{k_{R,star}}{k_{\phi}}$$



 $R^2 \Sigma \delta V_R^2 \approx {
m const}$ in the absence of dissipation for waves.



 $R^2 \Sigma \delta V_R^2 \approx {
m const}$ in the absence of dissipation for waves.

The Role of Mach Number

 $\frac{\omega}{k_y} = \pm i \frac{\Delta V}{2}$

• Acoustic mode regime (M >> I) 3 modes: $\frac{\omega}{k_u} = \pm (M-1)s, \ \frac{\omega}{k_u} = 0$



Comparison of Theory to Simulations



Upper

label	time	m	Ω_P measured	Ω_P predicted
2D6a	20	≈ 40	.84	.831
2D6b	20	≈ 40	.835	.831
2D6c	20	≈ 40	.835	.831
3D9a	100	≈ 10	.83	.850
3D9d	60	12	.85	.861
2D9a	30	≈ 29	.87	.884
2D9a	280	11	.815	.856
2D9b	30	≈ 24	.87	.881
2D9c	30	≈ 32	.88	.885

т

Middle

label	time	m	Ω_P measured	Ω_P predicted
3D6a	10	≈ 42	.535	.502
3D6d	10	≈ 36	.53	.503
3D9e	20	≈ 28	.57	.515

Standard BL Picture



What is the mechanism of ang. mom. transport in the boundary layer? Not MRI turbulence since BL is (linearly) stable to MRI ($d\Omega/dR > 0$).

New BL Picture: Waves Transport AM



Angular Momentum Transport: MHD



- A.M.T by waves in inner disk and star, MRI throughout disk.
- Gap formation and BL widening due to magnetosonic modes.
- Possible stochastic re-excitation of modes on viscous timescale

Comparison: waves vs. anomalous viscosity

Waves:

- Travel long distances before dissipating Nonlocal heating.
- C_S changes sign at the corotation radius of the mode.
- C_S depends on amplitude, wavenumber, and wave branch of excited mode. Modes are potentially stochastically excited.

Anomalous Turbulent Viscosity: $\nu_{turb} \equiv \alpha s H$, $\alpha \approx constant$

- Local dissipation and heating: $Q_d = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma \nu_{turb} (R d\Omega/dR)^2$
- C_S changes sign where $d\Omega/dR = 0$: $C_S = -2\pi R^3 \Sigma \nu_{\rm turb} d\Omega/dR$
- Turbulent viscosity is typically quasi steady state.

Conclusion

Waves Transport Angular Momentum in the Boundary Layer

Acoustic Instability is like the "MRI" of the boundary layer.

BL Model #I: Compressible Shear Layer



Shear Instability for Linear Velocity Profile



BL Model #2(MHD): Disk + BL + Star

Initial Field: $\mathbf{B} = 0$ for $R > R_*$

$$\mathbf{B}(R \ge R_*, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{B_0}{R} \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}, & \text{NVF} \\ B_0 \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, & \text{NAF} \\ \frac{B_0}{R} \sin\left[\frac{2\pi}{\lambda_R}(R - R_*)\right] \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}, & \text{ZNF} \end{cases}$$

Stability: Disk is MRI unstable $\frac{d\Omega}{dR} < 0$. BL is MRI stable $\frac{d\Omega}{dR} > 0$.

Convergence for MRI in disk (NAF geometry):



The Role of β





One expects acoustic modes to be modified by terms $\mathcal{O}(\beta^{-1})$ in the MHD case.

$$\beta \equiv \frac{\rho s^2}{B^2/2\mu}$$

$$v_{\rm ms} = \sqrt{s^2 + v_A^2}$$
$$= s\sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{\gamma}\beta^{-1}}$$

Since $\beta^{-1} \lesssim .05$, acoustic modes are not significantly modified by introduction of B field.

$$\beta_{BL}^{-1}/\beta_{disk}^{-1} \sim 1-5$$

Magnetosonic Modes in the MHD Context



- Magnetosonic modes resemble acoustic modes due to $\beta^{-1} \lesssim .05$.
- Amplification of B field in BL partly due to accretion and frozen-in flux law.

Astrophysical Implications

Hidden boundary layer problem in CVs:

 $L_{BL}/L_{disk} \sim 1$ in quiescence $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-12} - 10^{-10}$ (Pandel et al. 2005, Sion et al. 2005) $L_{BL}/L_{disk} \ll 1$ in outburst $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-9} - 10^{-8}$ (very little EUV or soft X-ray flux)

Two nearby systems UGem and VW Hyi have BL observed in outburst, but temperatures that are too low by factors of 2-3 according to models.

Why low BL temperature? Solution: waves carry energy away from BL!

DNOs/QPOs?

Very simplified model, but can generate regular periodicity.

Even in black holes could transition from accretion disk to radiatively inefficient accretion flow share some properties with a BL?

Future Prospects

• Realistic E.O.S. + cooling or radiative transfer

- Excited gravity modes.
- Connection to DNOs/QPOs.
- Field Amplification (stratified MHD)
 - Does the field amplify to equipartition in the BL?
 - In global simulations is there some global dynamo process going on that amplifies fields? What limits field growth?
- Meridional Spreading Layer
 - Differential rotation in meridional direction leading to possible Kelvin-Helmholtz and baroclinic instabilities.
 - Possible pinch (Tayler-Spruit) or Parker magnetic instabilities.

Acoustic Modes in Global Simulations



In hydro and MHD simulations, of an isothermal BL, acoustic modes are <u>always</u> present. Dimensionality, azimuthal extent of the simulation domain, Mach number, magnetic field, and stratification only modify the details.

Instability: const. density, reflecting wall



Plot of δV_x from Athena simulation



Accretion by Wave Excitation and Dissipation



wave steepens and shocks as it travels into the disk.

Observing CV Boundary Layer

