Strongly interacting matter under intense magnetic fields in NJL models

N. N. Scoccola Tandar Lab -CNEA– Buenos Aires

PLAN OF THE TALK

- Introduction
- Magnetic field in NJL-type models
- Magnetized color superconducting matter under compact star conditions
- Outlook

Refs: M. Coppola, P. Allen, A.G. Grunfeld & NNS, Phys.Rev. D 96 (2017) 056013

Introduction

Recently, there has been quite a lot of interest in investigating how the QCD phase diagram is affected by the presence of strong magnetic fields. Motivation: their possible existence in physically relevant situations:

High magnetic fields in non-central relativistic heavy ion collisions







Compact Stellar Objects: magnetars are estimated to have B ~10¹⁴-10¹⁵ G at the surface. It could be much higher in the interior (Duncan and Thompson (92/93))

NJL model at finite magnetic field

Recent reviews: Miransky, Shovkovy, Phys. Rept. 576, 1 (2015); Andersen, W. R. Naylor, A. Tranberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 025001 (2016).

NJL model: simplest model with chiral quark interactions. Local scalar and pseudoscalar four-fermion couplings + UV regularization prescription

NJL (Euclidean) lagrangian

Nambu, Jona-Lasinio, PR (61)

$$\mathcal{L}_{NJL}^{E} = \bar{\psi} \left(-i\partial \!\!\!/ + m_c \right) \psi(x) - G \left[\left(\bar{\psi} \psi \right)^2 + \left(\bar{\psi} \ i\gamma_5 \vec{\tau} \psi \right)^2 \right]$$

In the MFA approximation only scalar condensate is non-vanishing

$$S_{MFA} = \frac{M - m_c}{4G} - 4N_c \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{p^2 + M^2} \qquad \qquad <\bar{\psi}_f \psi_f > = -\frac{M - m_c}{4G}$$

Integral needs regularization. We use 3D cut-off $|p'| \le \Lambda$

Effective quark mass *M* determined using gap equation $\partial S_{MFA} / \partial M = 0$

The coupling of the quark fields to an external constant and homogenous magnetic field in the z-direction is done using minimal coupling i.e.

$$\hat{\partial} = \hat{\partial} - ie\hat{A}$$
 $\hat{A} = (0, Bx, 0)$ Lat

Landau gauge

As well-known, within the Mean Field Approximation that we use in what follows, this leads to the following modifications

 $\begin{array}{ll} q_{f=u,d} \\ \mathsf{Q}_{uark} \\ \mathsf{charge} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} E_p = \sqrt{p^2 + M^2} & \rightarrow & E_{p_z,k}^f = \sqrt{p_z^2 + k \left| q_f B \right| + M^2} \\ \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} & \rightarrow & \frac{\left| q_f B \right|}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \int \frac{dp_z}{2\pi} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} k = 0,1,2,\dots \text{ Landau levels} \\ \alpha_k = 2 - \delta_{k0} \end{array}$

The resulting MFA action is

$$S^{MFA} = \frac{(M - m_c)^2}{4G} - N_c \sum_{f=u,d} \frac{|q_f B|}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \int \frac{dp_z}{2\pi} \sqrt{p_z^2 + k |q_f B|} + M^2$$

As before this has to be regularized. Often a function $r(p_z, kB)$ is used. This introduces unphysical oscillations. We use a method (dubbed MFIR) that first separates the second term into a contribution which is explicitly independent of *B* and another one that depends on *B*. Then, only the first is regularized (Menezes et al, PRC79(09))

We get

$$S^{MFA} = \frac{\left(M - m_{0}\right)^{2}}{4G} - \frac{2N_{c}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\Lambda} dp \ p^{2} \sqrt{p^{2} + M^{2}} \qquad x_{f} = \frac{M^{2}}{2q_{f}B}$$

$$-N_{c} \sum_{f=u,d} \frac{(q_{f}B)^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} \left\{ \xi'(-1, x_{f}) - \frac{1}{2}(x_{f}^{2} - x_{f}) \log[x_{f}] + \frac{x_{f}^{2}}{4} \right\} \qquad \xi \text{ zeta de}$$
Riemann

To compare with LQCD results (Bali et al. PRD86(12)) for condensates we introduce



where
$$\Sigma_f(B) = -2m_c \frac{\langle \bar{f}f \rangle_B - \langle \bar{f}f \rangle_0}{(135 \times 86 \text{ MeV}^2)^2}$$

We consider the following parameterizations which reproduce the empirical vacuum values $m_{\pi}(B=0) = 138$ MeV and $f_{\pi}(B=0) = 92.4$ MeV.

Set	$M_0 \; ({\rm MeV})$	$m_0 \; ({\rm MeV})$	$G\Lambda^2$	Λ (MeV)
Ι	350.00	5.66	2.25	613.39
II	320.00	5.42	2.14	639.49
III	380.00	5.79	2.36	596.11
	I	I	I	I

Magnetized color superconducting matter under compact star conditions

Aspects of this problem in the context of NJL-like models have been already discussed in Ferrer, de la Incera '05, Fukushima, Warringa'08, Noronha, Shovkovy'07, Fayazbakhsh, Sadooghi'10, etc. Here, we construct phase diagram including color/charge neutrality and β equilibrium conditions.

We consider the simplest NJL model with additional 2SC interactions

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = G\left[\left(\bar{\psi}\psi\right)^2 + \left(\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\vec{\tau}\psi\right)^2\right] + H\left[\left(i\bar{\psi}^C \ \epsilon_f \ \epsilon_c^3 \ \gamma_5\psi\right)\left(i\bar{\psi} \ \epsilon_f \ \epsilon_c^3 \ \gamma_5\psi^C\right)\right]$$

Typically, $H/G \sim 0.75$. $\psi^C = i \gamma_2 \gamma_0 \overline{\psi}^T$ and ε_f and ε_c^3 are antisymmetric tensors in flavor and color space, respectively.

In the presence of 2SC gap Δ the photon acquires finite mass (Meissner effect). However (Alford et al NPB571(00)) there is a combination of photon and 8th gluon field that remains massless. The corresponding *rotated* charges are \mathbf{u}_r \mathbf{u}_g \mathbf{u}_b \mathbf{d}_r \mathbf{d}_g \mathbf{d}_b 1/2 1/2 1 -1/2 -1/2 0The rotated electron charge is $\tilde{e} = e \cos \theta$ with $\theta \sim 1/20$ [Gorbar PRD62(00)] Introducing a chemical potencial for each quark flavor and color the MFA thermodynamical potential a T=0 is

$$\Omega_{\rm MFA} = \frac{(M - m_c)^2}{4G} + \frac{\Delta^2}{4H} - \sum_{|\tilde{q}|=0,\frac{1}{2},1} P_{|\tilde{q}|} - P_{lep}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} P_{|\tilde{q}|=0} = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \left(E_{db}^+ + \left| E_{db}^- \right| \right), & P_{|\tilde{q}|=1} = \frac{\tilde{e}B}{8\pi^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_z \left(E_{ub}^+ + \left| E_{ub}^- \right| \right) \\ P_{|\tilde{q}|=1/2} = \frac{\tilde{e}B}{8\pi^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp_z \sum_{\lambda,s=\pm} |E_{\Delta^s}^\lambda|, & P_{lep} = \sum_{l=e,\mu} P_{|\tilde{q}|=1} \Big|_{\substack{M=m_l\\\mu_{ub}=\mu_l}} \end{cases}$$

with
$$\begin{cases} E_{db}^{\pm} = \sqrt{p^2 + M^2} \pm \mu_{db}, \ E_{u_b}^{\pm} = \sqrt{p_z^2 + 2k\tilde{e}B + M^2} \pm \mu_{ub} \\ E_{\Delta^{\pm}}^{\pm} = \sqrt{\left(\sqrt{p_z^2 + k\tilde{e}B + M^2} \pm \bar{\mu}\right)^2 + \Delta^2} \pm \delta\mu \\ \delta\mu = \frac{\mu_{dg} - \mu_{ur}}{2} \end{cases}$$

Under β equilibrium into account (once v's escape)

$$\mu_{ur} = \mu_{ug} = \mu - \frac{2}{3}\mu_e + \frac{1}{3}\mu_8 \quad ; \quad \mu_{ub} = \mu - \frac{2}{3}\mu_e - \frac{1}{3}\mu_8$$
$$\mu_{dr} = \mu_{dg} = \mu + \frac{1}{3}\mu_e + \frac{1}{3}\mu_8 \quad ; \quad \mu_{db} = \mu + \frac{1}{3}\mu_e - \frac{1}{3}\mu_8$$

Again we regularize using the MFIR scheme. The gap equations are

$$\partial \Omega_{MFA} / \partial M = 0$$
 ; $\partial \Omega_{MFA} / \partial \Delta = 0$

These eqs have to be complemented with those that follow from color and electric charge neutrality

$$\frac{2}{3}n_u - \frac{1}{3}n_d = n_e + n_\mu \qquad ; \qquad n_r = n_g = n_b$$

where

$$n_f = \sum_c n_{fc}$$
; $n_c = \sum_f n_{fc}$

with
$$n_{fc} = \partial \Omega_{MFA} / \partial \mu_{fc}$$

These neutrality conditions are equivalent to demanding

$$\partial \Omega_{MFA} / \partial \mu_e = 0 \qquad ; \qquad \partial \Omega_{MFA} / \partial \mu_8 = 0$$

We will consider H/G=0.75 and 1, and the parameterization sets

	M_0	m_c	$G\Lambda^2$	Λ	$- < u\bar{u} >^{1/3}$
Set 1	340 MeV	5.59 MeV	$2.21 \\ 2.44$	621 MeV	244 MeV
Set 2	400 MeV	5.83 MeV		588 MeV	241 MeV



Phase	Characteristics		
B (Vacuum phase)	χSB , Mag. Catalysis ; M=M(B,μ=0) ; Δ=μ ₃ =μ ₈ =n=0		
A (CSC phase)	χ Sym (almost) restored, ; ($\Delta = \mu_3 = \mu_8 = n$) $\neq 0$, vA-dH transitions		
D (Mixed phase)	$M_{B} > M_{D} > M_{A} \text{ ; Inv. Mag. Cat. ; } (\Delta = \mu_3 = \mu_8 = n)_{B} > (\Delta = \mu_3 = \mu_8 = n)_{D} > (\Delta = \mu_3 = \mu_8 = n)_{B}$		
2SC	Present in A and D phases $; \Delta > \delta \mu$, four gapped modes, ndr = nur		
g2SC (gapless)	Present only in some cases $\ ; \Delta < \delta \mu$, two gapped modes , ndr \neq nur		









Summary

• Presence of chirally broken B, almost restored A and mixed D phases, the latter two composed of g2SC and/or 2SC regions.

• Based on a B=0 study, for H/G<0.75 the A and D phases are expected to become g2SC and eventually have Δ =0 for H/G<0.65, recovering the C phase.

• Compact star conditions induce the existence of g2SC and 2SC modes, diminishes the maximum LL reached in the vA-dH transitions and reduces the superconducting effect. Critical μ is increased and the magnetic catalysis (MC) effect is attenuated, diminishing the depth of the IMC well and moving the phase diagram upwards.



- Interesting to see the effect in EoS....
- Inclusion of vector mesons.

Meson masses in the vacuum at finite B

M. Coppola, D. Gómez Dumm & NNS, Phys.Lett. B782 (2018) 155

After bosonization of the usual NJL model and expanding the meson field around the Mean Field (MF) values, the Euclidean action reads

$$S_{\mathrm{bos}} = S_{\mathrm{bos}}^{\mathrm{MF}} + S_{\mathrm{bos}}^{\mathrm{quad}} + \dots$$

The quadratic contribution is given by

$$S_{\text{bos}}^{\text{quad}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{M=\sigma,\pi^0,\pi^{\pm}} \int_{x,x'} \delta M(x)^* \left[\frac{1}{2G} \,\delta^{(4)}(x-x') - J_M(x,x') \right] \delta M(x')$$

where
$$J_{\pi^0}(x, x') = N_c \sum_f \operatorname{tr} \left[\mathcal{S}_{x,x'}^{\mathrm{MF},f} \gamma_5 \mathcal{S}_{x',x}^{\mathrm{MF},f} \gamma_5 \right], \ J_{\pi^{\pm}}(x, x') = 2N_c \operatorname{tr} \left[\mathcal{S}_{x,x'}^{\mathrm{MF},u} \gamma_5 \mathcal{S}_{x',x}^{\mathrm{MF},d} \gamma_5 \right]$$

The MF quark propagator can be written as $S_{x,x'}^{MF,f} = e^{i\Phi_f(x,x')} \int_p e^{ip(x-x')} \tilde{S}_p^f$ where

$$\tilde{S}_{p}^{f} = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau \, \exp\left[-\tau \left(M^{2} + p_{\parallel}^{2} + p_{\perp}^{2} \frac{\tanh \tau B_{f}}{\tau B_{f}}\right)\right] \left[\left(M - p_{\parallel} \cdot \gamma_{\parallel}\right) \left(1 + is_{f} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \tanh \tau B_{f}\right) - \frac{p_{\perp} \cdot \gamma_{\perp}}{\cosh^{2} \tau B_{f}}\right]$$

$$B_{I} = |a_{I}B| \quad s_{I} = sign(a_{I}B) \quad p_{I} = (p_{I}, p_{I}) \quad p_{I} = (p_{I}, p_{I})$$

$$B_f = |q_f B|, \ s_f = sign(q_f B), \ p_\perp = (p_1, p_2), \ p_\parallel = (p_3, p_4)$$

and Schwinger phase $\Phi_f(x, x') = \exp\left[iq_f B(x_1 + x'_1)(x_2 - x'_2)/2\right]$

For charged pions, Schwinger phases do not cancel due to their different quark flavors, and therefore J_{π^+} is not translational invariant. However, it is still diagonal in the Ritus basis. The pion field is expanded as

$$\pi^+(x) = \sum_{\bar{q}} \mathbb{F}_{\bar{q}}^+(x) \pi_{\bar{q}}^+, \ \mathbb{F}_{\bar{q}}^+(x) = N_k e^{i(q_2 x_2 + q_3 x_3 + q_4 x_4)} D_k(\rho_+)$$

 $D_k(x)$ are the cylindrical parabolic functions

$$N_{k} = (4\pi |q_{\pi^{+}}B|)^{1/4} / \sqrt{k!}, \ \rho_{+} = \sqrt{2|q_{\pi^{+}}B|} \left[x_{1} - q_{2} / (q_{\pi^{+}}B) \right], \ q \equiv (k, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4})$$

The quadratic action in the Ritus basis reads

0

$$S_{\pi^{+}}^{\text{quad}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\bar{q}} (\delta \pi_{\bar{q}}^{+})^{*} \left[\frac{1}{2G} - J_{\pi^{+}}(k, \Pi^{2}) \right] \delta \pi_{\bar{q}}^{+}, \ \Pi^{2} = (2k+1) B_{\pi^{+}} + q_{\parallel}^{2}$$

Again, we regularize the polarization function using the MFIR scheme

$$J_{\pi^{+}}^{(\text{reg})}(k,\Pi^{2}) = J_{\pi,B=0}^{(\text{reg})}(\Pi^{2}) + J_{\pi^{+}}^{(\text{mag})}(k,\Pi^{2}) \implies \frac{1}{2G} - J_{\pi^{+}}^{(\text{reg})}(k,-m_{\pi^{+}}^{2}(eB)) = 0$$

Normalized neutral pion mass and magnetic field-dependent charged pion mass as functions of e B for Set I (solid), Set IV (Avancini et al, PLB 767 (2017)), the charged point-like case (dotted) and LQCD (squares) (Bali et al, PRD 97 (2018))



Note that in NJL calculations m_c has been adjusted to reproduced m_{π} = 415 MeV used in LQCD calculations

Summary

- Within the NJL model, the charged pion two-point function was diagonalized in the Ritus basis, using the Schwinger form of the quark propagator and regularized in the MFIR scheme.
- When eB is enhanced, the π^0 mass slightly decreases, while E_{π^+} steadily increases, remaining always larger than the one of a point-like pion.
- The results are rather independent of the parametrization.
- For a heavy pion mass, although rescaled parameters show consistency with LQCD (Bali et al, PRD 97 (2018)) for E_{π^+} , the errors are large to be conclusive. For m_{π^0} the results disagree. The agreement is improved if G(e B) is introduced (Avancini et al, PLB 767 (2017)). Non-local models also provide results in agreement with LQCD for m_{π^0} (Gómez Dumm et al PRD97 (2018))