

# Born-Infeld Magnetars: larger than classical toroidal magnetic fields and implications for gravitational-wave astronomy

Jonas P. Pereira\*

Collabor.: Jaziel Coelho (UTFPR) and Rafael Lima (UDESC)

EPJC **78**, 361 (2018), arXiv:1804.10182

\*University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

Federal University of ABC, Santo André, Brazil

Supported by FAPESP research grants No. 2015/04174-9 and 2017/21384-2

Ollantaytambo, 13 Sept. 2018

# Contents

- 1 Outline
- 2 Motivations
- 3 Nonlinear electromagnetism
- 4 Toroidal fields
- 5 Born-Infeld toroidal fields
- 6 Some consequences
- 7 Conclusions

# Outline

- Motivations
- Nonlinear electrodynamics in ideal magnetohydrodynamics
- Born-Infeld toroidal case
- Estimates and implications
- Conclusions

# Contents

- 1 Outline
- 2 Motivations**
- 3 Nonlinear electromagnetism
- 4 Toroidal fields
- 5 Born-Infeld toroidal fields
- 6 Some consequences
- 7 Conclusions

# Nonlinear theories in the vicinities of stars

- Currently, the activity of Soft Gamma Repeaters (SGR) and Anomalous X-ray Pulsars (AXP) is mainly understood with the presence of very large surface magnetic fields ( $10^{14} - 10^{15}$  G) [Kaspi and Beloborodov (2017)].
- Thus, nonlinear electrodynamics might influence some magnetar observables.
- Born-Infeld (BI) theory is a low energy limit of string theory and laboratory constraints of its scale field fail for values around  $10^{15} - 10^{23}$  G [Carley and Kiessling (2006), Ellis et al. (2017)].
- So, opportunity arises to probe BI theory with magnetars! Could nonlinearities of electrodynamics also leave a trace in the gravitational waves coming from these stars?

# Contents

- 1 Outline
- 2 Motivations
- 3 Nonlinear electromagnetism**
- 4 Toroidal fields
- 5 Born-Infeld toroidal fields
- 6 Some consequences
- 7 Conclusions

# Nonlinear electrodynamics within ideal MHD

- In the context of ideal magnetohydrodynamics,

$$\vec{E} = -\frac{\vec{v}}{c} \times \vec{B} = -\frac{\vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}}{c} \times \vec{B}. \quad (1)$$

- For crossed fields

$$\nabla \cdot (L_F \vec{E}) = 4\pi\rho, \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla \times (L_F \vec{B}) = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial L_F \vec{E}}{\partial t} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j}, \quad (5)$$

with  $L_F \doteq \partial L / \partial F$ ,  $F \doteq F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ ,  $L$ : nonlinear Lagrangian of electrodynamics,  $\rho$ : charge density and  $\vec{j}$ : current vector

# Nonlinear electrodynamics within ideal MHD-II

- If one takes as reference Maxwell's electromagnetism ( $L = F$ ),

$$L_F \vec{E} = \vec{E}_{Ma} + \nabla \times \vec{C}, \quad (6)$$

$$L_F \vec{B} = \vec{B}_{Ma} + \nabla f, \quad (7)$$

where  $\vec{C}$  is an arbitrary vector, likewise to  $f$ .

- Consistency with MHD demands that

$$\nabla \times \vec{C} = -\frac{\vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}}{c} \times \nabla f. \quad (8)$$

- When the hypothesis of very conductive media is taken into account ( $|\vec{E}| \ll |\vec{B}|$ ) ( $\rho$ : energy density),

$$\rho \approx \frac{L}{16\pi}. \quad (9)$$



# Contents

- 1 Outline
- 2 Motivations
- 3 Nonlinear electromagnetism
- 4 Toroidal fields**
- 5 Born-Infeld toroidal fields
- 6 Some consequences
- 7 Conclusions

# Magnetars dominated by toroidal fields

- Low-poloidal magnetic fields in magnetars also support this view [Rea et al. (2010), (2012), (2014)].
- Within the modified twisted magnetic field, ratios of the toroidal energy to the total magnetic energy in stars could be up to 90% [Ciolfi and Rezzolla (2013)].
- Assuming  $\vec{B} \equiv \vec{B}_t = B_\phi(r, \theta)\hat{\phi}$ , where  $\hat{\phi}$  is the azimuthal unit vector ( $\nabla \cdot \vec{B}_t = 0$ ), and defining the  $z$ -axis such that  $\vec{\omega} = \omega\hat{z}$  ( $\vec{v} = v\hat{\phi}$ ),

$$\vec{E} = \vec{0} \rightarrow \nabla \times \vec{C} = \vec{0} \rightarrow \nabla f = \vec{0}, \quad (10)$$

and then

$$L_F B_\phi = B_\phi^{Ma}. \quad (11)$$

- It suffices solving an algebraic equation to find  $B_\phi$  in terms of  $B_\phi^{Ma}$ .

# Contents

- 1 Outline
- 2 Motivations
- 3 Nonlinear electromagnetism
- 4 Toroidal fields
- 5 Born-Infeld toroidal fields**
- 6 Some consequences
- 7 Conclusions

# Born-Infeld Lagrangian and toroidal fields

- Born-Infeld Lagrangian for crossed fields is [Born and Infeld (1934)]

$$L_{B.I} \doteq 4b^2 \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{F}{2b^2}} - 1 \right), \quad (12)$$

where  $b$ : scale field of the theory and  $F = 2(\vec{B}^2 - \vec{E}^2)$ .

- For toroidal fields,

$$B_{\phi}^{BI} = \frac{bB_{\phi}^{Ma}}{\sqrt{b^2 - (B_{\phi}^{Ma})^2}}. \quad (13)$$

- Thus, the nonlinear field  $B_{\phi}$  is always larger than  $B_{\phi}^{Ma}$ !
- Likewise to their energy density ratio,

$$\frac{\rho_{BI}}{\rho_{Ma}} = 2 \left( \frac{b}{B_{\phi}^{Ma}} \right)^2 \left\{ \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B_{\phi}^{Ma}}{b} \right)^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right\} > 1. \quad (14)$$

# Contents

- 1 Outline
- 2 Motivations
- 3 Nonlinear electromagnetism
- 4 Toroidal fields
- 5 Born-Infeld toroidal fields
- 6 Some consequences**
- 7 Conclusions

# Larger magnetic ellipticities

- For  $\epsilon = c_1 \langle B_\phi^2 \rangle$  [Ostriker and Gunn (1969)],  $c_1$  a constant,

$$\frac{\epsilon_{BI}}{\epsilon_{Ma}} = \left( \frac{\dot{E}_{GW}^{BI}}{\dot{E}_{GW}^{Ma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx \left( \frac{\Delta E_{GW}^{BI}}{\Delta E_{GW}^{Ma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B_\phi^{Ma}}{b} \right)^2 \right]^{-1}, \quad (15)$$

- The upper-limit to the ellipticity,  $|\epsilon_{ul}|$ , could be constrained if a minimum value for  $b$ ,  $b_{min}$ , was given, since

$$|\epsilon_{ul}| = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{B_\phi^{Ma}}{b_{min}} \right)^2 \right]^{-1} |\epsilon_{Ma}|. \quad (16)$$

# Energetics and upper limits

- An estimate to  $c_1$  and  $B_\phi^{Ma}$  would be ( $E_{fl}$ : flare energy)

$$|c_1| \approx \frac{R^4}{GM^2}, \quad (B_\phi^{Ma})^2 = \frac{6E_{fl}}{R^3}. \quad (17)$$

- From H constraints [Carley and Kiessling (2006)],  $b_{min} \approx 4 \times 10^{15}$  G, while from fiducial stellar parameters and flares with  $E_{fl} = 10^{47}$  erg (SGR 1806–20),  $|\epsilon_{Ma}| = 1,20 \times 10^{-6}$ . Thus,  $|\epsilon_{ul}| \approx 1,24 \times 10^{-6}$  and  $1 < \Delta E_{GW}^{BI} / \Delta E_{GW}^{Ma} \lesssim 1,08$ .
- $E_{fl} = 10^{47} - 10^{48}$  erg, say  $E_{fl} = 5 \times 10^{47}$  erg,  $|\epsilon_{ul}| \approx 7,4 \times 10^{-6}$  [ $B_\phi^{Ma} = 1,7 \times 10^{15}$  G] and  $1 < \Delta E_{GW}^{BI} / \Delta E_{GW}^{Ma} \lesssim 1,53$ .
- If just  $B_\phi^{Ma} < 10^{15}$  G are possible in magnetars' surfaces, one has that  $1 < \Delta E_{GW}^{BI} / \Delta E_{GW}^{Ma} \lesssim 1,14$  and  $|\epsilon_{ul}| \approx 2 \times 10^{-6}$ .

# Contents

- 1 Outline
- 2 Motivations
- 3 Nonlinear electromagnetism
- 4 Toroidal fields
- 5 Born-Infeld toroidal fields
- 6 Some consequences
- 7 Conclusions**



## Conclusions and perspectives

- In nonlinear electrodynamics and ideal MHD, unexpectedly enough, fields could be larger than in Maxwell's theory.
- Nonlinear electrodynamics might increase GW production.
- The upper limit to the magnitude of the ellipticity should be within the range  $10^{-6} - 10^{-5}$  for current observations of magnetars.
- BI's GW energy for giant flare events of around  $10^{47}$  erg could be at most 10% – 20% larger than their classical counterparts.
- For more energetic events, the maximum BI GW increase percentage could be much higher than 50%. This might be relevant for GRBs associated with magnetars.
- When GW detectors are able to better constrain magnetar ellipticities, minimum values for the Born-Infeld's scale field could be inferred astrophysically.
- It's still pending stability analysis for magnetic fields within nonlinear electrodynamics.