<u>Gravitational waves from</u> <u>protoneutron stars</u> <u>and nuclear EOS</u>

Hajime SOTANI (NAOJ)

collaborated with

T. Kuroda, T. Takiwaki, & K. Kotake

Phys. Rev. D <u>96</u>, 063005 (2017)

eigenfrequencies

- f- (and p_i -) modes: acoustic (pressure) waves ~ density ~ M/R^3
- w_i-modes: spacetime oscillations ~ M/R

Asteroseismology on Cold NSs

 via the observations of GW frequencies, one might be able to see the properties of NSs ---> GW asteroseismology



Cold NS & EOS



g-mode oscillations?

2D non-rotation with convection by Muller et al. (2013)
 A excitations of specific frequency



GW from PSNs



- Numerical simulations tell us the GW spectra.
- difficult
 - to extract physics of PNS and/or SN mechanism
 - to make a long-term numerical calculations
 - We adopt the perturbation approach to determine the freq. from PNS.

asteroseismology in PNS

- background PNS models:
 - assuming that the PNS models are static spherically symmetric at each time step
 - adopting the numerical results of GR3D by Kuroda et al. (2016)
- add perturbations:
 - we particularly focus on
 - f, p-modes : with relativistic Cowling approximation, i.e., $\delta g_{\mu\nu} = 0$
 - w-modes : axial type oscillations with metric perturbation
- solve the eigenvalue problem \rightarrow eigenfrequency at each time



PNS models

- we adopt the results of 3D-GR simulations of core-collapse supernovae (Kuroda et al. 2016)
 - progenitor mass = $15M_{\odot}$
 - EOS : SFHx (2.13 M_{\odot}) & TM1 (2.21 M_{\odot})



- R_{PNS} is defined with $\rho_s = 10^{10} \text{ g/cm}^3$

- using the radial profiles as a background PNS model, the eigenfrequencies are determined.

Mass & Radius

- R_{PNS} is decreasing due to the cooling
- M_{PNS} is increasing by mass accretion



M-R evolution after core-bounce



evolution of w_1 -modes

- frequencies depend on the EOS.
 - increasing with time
 - can be characterized well by $M_{\rm PNS}/R_{\rm PNS}$
- as for cold NS, we can get the fitting formula, almost independent from EOS



evolution of f-mode

- frequencies can be expressed well by the average density independent of the EOS (and progenitor mass)
- we derive the fitting formula as a function of M_{PNS}/R_{PNS}^{3}



determination of EOS

- GW spectra evolutions $f_f(t) \& f_{w1}(t)$ \rightarrow evolutions of $M_{PNS}/R_{PNS}^3 \& M_{PNS}/R_{PNS}$
- one can determine (M_{PNS}, R_{PNS}) at each time after core bounce \rightarrow determination of the EOS
- unlike cold NS cases, in principle one can determine the EOS even with ONE GW event ! 1.50



detectability of w_1 -modes

• effective amplitude of w_1 -modes

$$h_{\text{eff}}^{(w_1)} \sim 7.7 \times 10^{-23} \left(\frac{E_{w_1}}{10^{-10} M_{\odot}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{4 \text{ kHz}}{f_{w_1}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{10 \text{ kpc}}{D} \right)$$
Andersson & Kokkotas (1996, 1998)
$$\frac{E_{w_1}}{E_T^{(w_1)}} \approx \frac{\tau_{w_1}}{T_{w_1}} \qquad E_{w_1}: \text{ energy for each time step} \\ E_T^{(w_1)}: \text{ total radiation energy in } w_1 \text{ -modes}$$

$$10^{-20} \qquad \qquad KAGRA \qquad 10^{-2} \qquad IO^{-2} \qquad$$

conclusion

- Asteroseismology could be powerful technique for extracting the interior information
- We examine the frequencies of gravitational waves radiating from PNS after bounce.

$$f_{w_1}^{(\text{PNS})}(\text{kHz}) \approx \left[27.99 - 12.02 \left(\frac{M_{\text{PNS}}}{1.4 \ M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{R_{\text{PNS}}}{10 \ \text{km}}\right)^{-1}\right] \times \left(\frac{R_{\text{PNS}}}{10 \ \text{km}}\right)^{-1}$$
$$f_f^{(\text{PNS})}(\text{Hz}) \approx 14.48 + 4859 \left(\frac{M_{\text{PNS}}}{1.4 \ M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{R_{\text{PNS}}}{10 \ \text{km}}\right)^{-3/2}$$

 $(M_{_{\rm PNS}},\,R_{_{\rm PNS}})$ at each time after core bounce

• in principle, even with ONE GW event from supernova, one could determine the EOS for high density region.