



By construction, the QCD lagrangian is invariant under  $SU(N)$  transformations or "color rotations". In order to address the color structure of QCD (in particular, to determine the invariant multiplets of a parton system), we first consider  $SU(N)$  transformations of the quark, antiquark and gluon "color coordinates".

### Color rotations of quark and antiquark coordinates

Let us start with quarks and antiquarks. Under a given color rotation  $U(\alpha) \in SU(N)$ , the quark coordinates (denoted by an *upper* index according to our initial convention, see section 1.1) transform as

$$q' = U q \Leftrightarrow q'^i = U^i_j q^j. \quad (38)$$

When restricting to the color degree of freedom, antiquark coordinates are simply obtained from quark coordinates by complex conjugation. In the same color rotation of angles  $\alpha^a$ , antiquark coordinates thus transform as

$$q^{*'} = U^* q^* \Leftrightarrow (q^{*'})^i = (U^*)^i_j (q^*)^j. \quad (39)$$

A standard convention is to denote complex conjugation by moving quark and antiquark indices up and down, namely,

$$(q^*)^i \equiv q_i ; \quad (U^*)^i_j \equiv U_i^j, \quad (40)$$

a convention that we have implicitly used from the beginning by assigning *lower* color indices to antiquarks, see section 1.1. The transformation (39) of antiquark coordinates is then written as

$$q'_i = U_i^j q_j. \quad (41)$$

To complement the above convention, any quantity transforming as quark (antiquark) coordinates is assigned an upper (lower) index. We readily verify that a product of the form  $A^i B_i$  (implicitly summed over  $i$ ) is  $SU(N)$  invariant. Indeed,  $(A^i B_i)' = U^i_j U_i^k A^j B_k = A^i B_i$ , since  $U^i_j U_i^k = U^i_j (U^*)^i_k = U^i_j (U^\dagger)^k_i = (U^\dagger U)^k_j = \delta^k_j$ .

Under two successive color rotations of angles  $\alpha^a$  and  $\beta^b$ , quark coordinates transform as

$$q \xrightarrow{\alpha} U(\alpha) q \xrightarrow{\beta} U(\beta) U(\alpha) q = U(\gamma(\alpha, \beta)) q. \quad (42)$$

Indeed, since  $SU(N)$  is a group, the product  $U(\beta)U(\alpha)$  must coincide with an element of  $SU(N)$  of angles  $\gamma^c$ , the latter being fully determined by  $\alpha^a$  and  $\beta^b$ .

**Exercise 15.** (To be done once in a lifetime.)

Let us recall the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula for the product of two exponentials of matrices,

$$e^X e^Y = e^{X+Y+\frac{1}{2}[X,Y]+\frac{1}{12}([X,[X,Y]]-[Y,[X,Y]])+\dots}, \quad (43)$$

where the dots stand for higher-order terms in  $X$  and  $Y$  (all being nested commutators of  $X$  and  $Y$ ). Using (43), show that the angles  $\gamma^a$  defined by (42) are given by  $\gamma^a(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha^a + \beta^a + \frac{1}{2}f_{abc}\alpha^b\beta^c + \dots$ , and find the next term in the series.

This exercise illustrates that the structure of  $SU(N)$  (with respect to the multiplication law) is fully determined by the  $SU(N)$  Lie algebra (4).

## Color rotations of gluon coordinates

How should the  $N^2 - 1$  gluon coordinates  $\Phi^a$  transform under a color rotation of angles  $\alpha^a$ , when the  $N$  quark coordinates transform with the matrix  $U(\alpha)$ ? For each  $U(\alpha)$  acting in quark space, we must find a corresponding  $(N^2 - 1) \times (N^2 - 1)$  matrix  $\tilde{U}(\alpha)$  acting in gluon space, in such a way that the "representation"  $U(\alpha) \rightarrow \tilde{U}(\alpha)$  preserves the group structure. Indeed, in the successive rotations of angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the gluon coordinates become

$$\Phi \xrightarrow{\alpha} \tilde{U}(\alpha) \Phi \xrightarrow{\beta} \tilde{U}(\beta) \tilde{U}(\alpha) \Phi, \quad (44)$$

but for consistency with (42), the same result should be obtained by a single rotation of angles  $\gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ , represented by  $\tilde{U}(\gamma(\alpha, \beta))$  when acting in gluon space. We thus need

$$\tilde{U}(\beta) \tilde{U}(\alpha) = \tilde{U}(\gamma(\alpha, \beta)), \quad (45)$$

with the same function  $\gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  as derived in Exercise 15.

It is clear that (45) will be satisfied by the matrices

$$\tilde{U}(\alpha) = e^{i\alpha^a \tilde{T}^a}, \quad (46)$$

provided one can find  $(N^2 - 1) \times (N^2 - 1)$  matrices  $\tilde{T}^a$  ( $a = 1 \dots N^2 - 1$ ) having the same Lie algebra as the  $T^a$ 's, namely,

$$[\tilde{T}^a, \tilde{T}^b] = i f_{abc} \tilde{T}^c. \quad (47)$$

We know from lesson 1 that such matrices exist: the matrices  $t^a$  defined by (5) satisfy (29).

A few remarks:

- ❖ The set of matrices  $U(\alpha) = e^{i\alpha^a T^a}$  (i.e., the  $SU(N)$  group itself) acting on the quark and  $\tilde{U}(\alpha) = e^{i\alpha^a t^a}$  acting on the gluon are respectively called the *fundamental* and *adjoint*  $SU(N)$  representations.
- ❖ The adjoint representation is real:  $\tilde{U}(\alpha)^* = e^{-i\alpha^a (t^a)^*} = e^{i\alpha^a t^a} = \tilde{U}(\alpha)$ .
- ❖ If there are  $d_R \times d_R$  matrices  $T^a(R)$  ( $a = 1 \dots N^2 - 1$ ) satisfying the  $SU(N)$  Lie algebra,  $[T^a(R), T^b(R)] = i f_{abc} T^c(R)$ , the matrices  $U_R(\alpha) = e^{i\alpha^a T^a(R)}$  define an  $SU(N)$  representation of dimension  $d_R$ , acting on objects with  $d_R$  components while preserving the group structure. The  $T^a(R)$ 's are the  $SU(N)$  generators in the representation  $R$ .
- ❖ For  $N > 2$ ,  $SU(N)$  representations do not exist for any dimension  $d_R$ . For  $N = 3$ , the possible dimensions are  $d_R = 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 15 \dots$
- ❖ When there is no risk of confusion, an  $SU(N)$  (irreducible) representation is labelled by its dimension in the case  $N = 3$ . For instance, the fundamental and adjoint  $SU(N)$  representations are denoted by  $R = \mathbf{3}$  and  $R = \mathbf{8}$ , with generators  $T^a(\mathbf{3}) = T^a$  and  $T^a(\mathbf{8}) = t^a$ .
- ❖ The antiquark transforms under the complex conjugate of the fundamental representation, denoted by  $R = \bar{\mathbf{3}}$  and given by the set of  $N \times N$  matrices  $U(\alpha)^* \equiv e^{i\alpha^a T^a(\bar{\mathbf{3}})}$ , with generators  $T^a(\bar{\mathbf{3}}) = -(T^a)^*$ . Although the representations  $\mathbf{3}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$  have the same dimension  $N$ , they are not equivalent (for  $N > 2$ ), i.e.,  $U(\alpha)$  and  $U(\alpha)^*$  are not related by a change of basis, and thus describe the transformations of different objects.



Note that if we do not contract with external parton coordinates, the identity (55) reads

$$= 0, \tag{56}$$

with specified external indices  $b, c, \dots, i, j, \dots$ . Let us view the object carrying those indices,  $A^{bc\dots i\dots j\dots}$ , as an  $SU(N)$  tensor, thus transforming under  $SU(N)$  as the product of parton coordinates  $\Phi^b \Phi^c \dots q_i \dots q^j \dots$ . Eq. (56) gives an alternative formulation of color conservation, namely: all  $SU(N)$  tensors (constructed from the basic legos) are in fact  $SU(N)$  invariant tensors.

**Exercise 16.** Check explicitly that the tensors

$$= \delta^j_i, \quad = \delta^{bc}, \tag{57}$$

are invariant under finite color rotations.

**Exercise 17.** Express the  $SU(N)$  invariance under finite color rotations of the tensor

$$= (T^a)^j_i \tag{58}$$

to obtain the relation

$$\tilde{U}_{bc} = 2\text{Tr}(T^b U T^c U^\dagger), \tag{59}$$

which determines the matrix elements  $\tilde{U}_{bc}$  of a color rotation in the adjoint representation in terms of its fundamental representation  $U$ .

### 2.3 $SU(N)$ irreducible representations

Using the pictorial expression of color conservation and infinitesimal color rotations allows one to address  $SU(N)$  irreducible representations in a rather intuitive way.

Consider a multi-parton system spanning a color vector space  $E$  of dimension  $n$ , and suppose we have at disposal  $m$  projectors  $\mathbb{P}_i$  constructed from the basic legos and satisfying the conditions  $\mathbb{P}_i \cdot \mathbb{P}_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m \text{rank}(\mathbb{P}_i) = n$ , implying the completeness relation  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{P}_i = \mathbb{1}_E$ . (An explicit case was given in lesson 1 when proving the Fierz identity, see Exercise 2.) We also suppose the projectors to be Hermitian,  $\mathbb{P}_i^\dagger = \mathbb{P}_i$ .

Let us apply an infinitesimal color rotation to the parton state (for the argument it is sufficient to keep only the infinitesimal shift and drop the factor  $i\delta\alpha^a$ ), and then insert on the left and right the completeness relation :

$$= \sum_{i,j} \dots, \tag{60}$$

where a dashed vertical line indicates to which subspace the corresponding intermediate multi-parton state belongs (here  $\text{img}(\mathbb{P}_i)$  or  $\text{img}(\mathbb{P}_j)$ ).

