Supersymmetry and Quantum Phase Transition in Matrix Model of SU(2) Gauge Theory

Arkajyoti Bandyopadhyay

Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar

DAE-BRNS HEP Symposium '24, Benaras Hindu University

Ongoing work with:

Nirmalendu Acharyya, Prasanjit Aich, & Sachindeo Vaidya

- Introduction
- SU(2) gauged matrix model
- Inclusion of a single adjoint Weyl fermion
- Hamiltonian and its symmetries
- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Supersymmetry
- Results
- Conclusion

Introduction

- It is difficult to study strongly interacting QFTs because of non-perturbative effects. An example is the confining/low-energy regime of QCD.
- Most successful candidate to do the non-perturbative computation is Lattice QCD, which requires enormous amount of computational power.
- To this extend a Gauged Matrix Model has been proposed:
 - 1. Dimensional reduction of (3 + 1)d SU(N) Yang-Mills theory to (0 + 1)d.
 - 2. Captures certain key features (of course, not all!) of a non-Abelian gauge theory.
 - 3. Being Quantum mechanical, this provides a simplified computational platform.
 - 4. It has been shown to reproduce some of the Lattice QCD results with remarkable accuracy.

Previous and ongoing work with Matrix Model:

- 1. SU(3) Glueball masses Acharyya-Balachandran-Pandey-Sanyal-Vaidya, 2018
- 2. Light Hadron masses Pandey-Vaidya, 2020
- 3. Axial Anomaly Acharyya-Pandey-Vaidya, 2021
- 4. 2-Color 1-flavor QCD Acharyya-Aich-Bandyopadhyay-Vaidya, 2024 (See Prasanjit's Talk)
- 5. 2-Color 2-flavor Adjoint QCD Acharyya-Aich-Bhakta-Mudi-Vaidya, ongoing work

Matrix Model of SU(2) Gauge theory

The SU(2) gauged matrix model¹ can be described as follows:

- Quantum mechanical approximation of SU(2) Yang-Mills theory on $\mathbb{R} imes S^3$
- Building blocks : 3 × 3 real matrices M_{ia}.
- Spatial index i = 1, 2, 3 and color index a = 1, 2, 3.
- Gauge fields are Hermitian matrices A_i(t) = M_{ia}T^a, where the T^a = generators of SU(2) in the fundamental rep.
- Rotations: $\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{ij}\mathcal{A}_j$, $R \in SO(3)_{rot}$
- Gauge transformations : $\mathcal{A}_i
 ightarrow g \mathcal{A}_i g^{\dagger}$, $g \in SU(2)$
- Configuration space: $\mathcal{M}_2/AdSU(2)$, \mathcal{M}_2 = space of all 3 × 3 real matrices.
- Field Strength, $\mathcal{F}_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ijk}\mathcal{A}_k ig[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j].$
- Chromoelectric field: $E_i = \partial_t A_i$, Chromomagnetic field: $B_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} F_{jk}$
- Pure YM Hamiltonian : $H_{YM} = \text{Tr} \left[E_i E_i + B_i B_i \right]$
- Potential: $\operatorname{Tr}(B_i B_i) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_i + ig\epsilon_{ijk}[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j]\mathcal{A}_k \frac{g^2}{2}[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j][\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j]\right)$

¹(Narsimhan-Ramdas 1979, Singer 1978 and Balachandran et.al, 2014)

Adjoint Fermions in SU(2) Matrix Model

- Fermions are Grassmann valued matrix which only depend on time, $\lambda(t)$ transforming as:
 - $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Adjoint rep. of Gauge group:} & \lambda_{\alpha a} \rightarrow u_{ab}(h)\lambda_{\alpha b}, & h \in AdSU(2) \\ \mbox{spin-} \frac{1}{2} & \mbox{rep. of rotations:} & \lambda_{\alpha a} \rightarrow D^{1/2}_{\alpha \beta}(R)\lambda_{\beta a}, & R \in SO(3)_{rot} \end{array}$
- Left-Weyl Fermion (Gluino): $\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} b_{\alpha a} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ spin-index: $\alpha = 1, 2$

$$\{b_{\alpha a}, b^{\dagger}_{\alpha' a'}\} = \delta_{\alpha \alpha'} \delta_{aa'}, \quad \text{color-index: } a = 1, 2, 3$$

Total Hamiltonian Diez-Pandey-Vaidya (2020):

$$H = H_{YM} + H_f = H_{YM} + \underbrace{2g\epsilon_{abc}b^{\dagger}_{\alpha a}\sigma^{i}_{\alpha \beta}b_{\beta b}Tr(\mathcal{A}_iT^c)}_{\text{fermion-glue interaction}} + \underbrace{b^{\dagger}_{\alpha a}b_{\alpha a}}_{\text{fermion-curvature term}}$$

Rotational and Gauge symmetries

	Under Spatial Rotation SO(3)	Under color <i>SU</i> (2)
	spin-1 rep	adjoint rep
	Generated by	Generated by
\mathcal{A}_i	$L_i = -4\epsilon_{ijk} \operatorname{Tr}\left(E_j T^a\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{A}_k T^a\right)$	$G_{g}^{a} = -4\epsilon_{abc} \operatorname{Tr}\left(E_{i} T^{b}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{i} T^{c}\right)$
	$[L_i, L_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}L_k$	$\left[G_{g}^{a},G_{g}^{b} ight] =i\epsilon _{abc}G_{g}^{c}$
	spin-1/2 rep	adjoint rep
	Generated by	Generated by
λ	$S_i=rac{1}{2}b^{\dagger}_{lpha m{a}}\sigma^i_{lphaeta}b_{etam{b}}$	$G^{a}_{f}=-i\epsilon_{abc}b^{\dagger}_{lpha b}b_{lpha c}$
	$[S_i, S_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}S_k$	$\left[G_{f}^{a},G_{f}^{b}\right]=i\epsilon_{abc}G_{f}^{c}$

- $J_i = L_i + S_i$, $[J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k$, $G^a = G^a_g + G^a_f$, $[G^a, G^b] = i\epsilon_{abc}G^c$
- H commutes with J_i and G^a : $[H, G^a] = 0$, $[H, J_i] = 0$
- Physical states are annihilated by G^a : $G^a | phys \rangle = 0$
- We can define $\mathcal{R} = b^{\dagger}_{\alpha a} b_{\alpha a} 3 = N_f 3$, commutes with the Hamiltonian H, i.e., $[\mathcal{R}, H] = 0$, Classically we have $U(1)_{\mathcal{R}}$ symmetry \Rightarrow anomalously broken in the quantum theory_{Acharyya-Pandey-Vaidya,2021}

$\mathcal{N}=1$ Supersymmetry

- Supercharges E. Witten, 1982: $Q_{\alpha} = b^{\dagger}_{\beta a} \sigma^{i}_{\beta \alpha} \left(E_{ia} + iB_{ia} \right), \quad \alpha = 1, 2.$
- $[H, Q_{\alpha}] = -igb^{\dagger}_{\alpha a}G_a \Leftarrow \text{Commutes with } H$
- $\{Q_{\alpha}, Q_{\beta}^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left(2H + N_f 3\right) 2\sigma_{\beta\alpha}^{i} \left(J_i + 2\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{A}_i T^a\right) G^a\right)$
- For any energy eigenstate $|\Psi_n^J\rangle$ satisfying $H|\Psi_n^J\rangle = E_n^J|\Psi_n^J\rangle$:

$$\langle \Psi_n^J | \{ \mathcal{Q}_\alpha, \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^\dagger \} | \Psi_n^J \rangle \ge 0 \implies \underbrace{E_n^J \ge -\frac{1}{2} (\langle N_f \rangle - 3) + J_3}_{\text{Bound saturated for any SUSY-Singlet}}$$

 We have obtained the spectrum of spin-0 and spin-1/2 sector using numerical methods.

Numerical Strategy:

- 1. Hilbert space, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\textit{Fermion}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\textit{Boson}}$
- 2. \mathcal{H}_{Boson} is infinite dimensional.
- 3. Use Rayleigh-Ritz (truncate \mathcal{H}_{Boson} to a given boson number, say N_{max}).



- We obtained the low-lying energy eigenstates for J = 0 and J = 1/2.
- The ground state:
 - has spin-0 and is unique
 - undergoes level crossing at $g = g_c \iff$ Quantum Phase Transition
- Numerical estimate of $g_c \approx 0.225$.
- There is no other level crossing in the ground state even in the strong coupling regime

The properties of the phases and QPT captured by ground state expectation of observables:



$\mathcal{N}=1$ Supermultiplets



- Each excited state is 4 fold degenerate: two spin-0 states + one spin-1/2 state
- $E_{gs} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\langle N_f \rangle 3 \right) \Leftarrow \text{SUSY-singlet}$

Near the QPT:

- The levels get rearranged.
- The degeneracy of the multiplets gets lifted in the neighbourhood of g_c

Witten index, $W = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} (-1)^F \exp\{-\beta H\} = 1 \Leftarrow gs$ is unique bosonic state

To study the strong coupling (large g) regime:

- $\mathcal{A}_i
 ightarrow g^{-1/3} \mathcal{A}_i$ and $E_i
 ightarrow g^{1/3} E_i$, Define: $\nu = g^{-2/3}$
- The Hamiltonian

$$H = e_0 \left[Tr \left(E_i E_i + \nu^2 \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_i + i\nu \epsilon_{ijk} \left[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j \right] \mathcal{A}_k - \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j \right] \left[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j \right] \right) + 2\epsilon_{abc} b^{\dagger}_{\alpha a} \sigma^i_{\alpha \beta} b_{\beta b} Tr(\mathcal{A}_i T^c) + \nu b^{\dagger}_{\alpha a} b_{\alpha a} \right] = e_0 \tilde{H}$$

- We can now find the eigenvalues of \widetilde{H}
- For large g (or small ν) A_iA_i term is suppressed \implies Finite cutoff error
- Most severe at v = 0
- At $\nu = 0$ (or $g \to \infty$), V(A) has flat directions \implies Continuous spectra of \tilde{H}

Campostrini-Wosiek (2004), Anous-Cogburn (2019), Han-Hartnoll (2020)...

Ultra Strong Coupling Regime, $\nu = 0$





 All low-lying eigenvalues of *H* have same power-law dependence on the boson number cut-off (N_{max})

$$ilde{E}_n \sim rac{C_n}{\left(N_{max}
ight)^{lpha}}, \quad lpha pprox 0.93$$

• $N_{max} \rightarrow \infty$ gs is four fold degenerate $\Rightarrow 2 \text{ spin-0 states} + 1 \text{ spin-1/2}$

doublet

- Lightest spin-0 states are 2-fold degenerate at v = 0
- Gluino condensate: $\lim_{M\to 0} \langle \bar{\lambda}\lambda \rangle \neq 0 \implies U(1)_{\mathcal{R}} \xrightarrow{SSB} \mathbb{Z}_2$

Strong coupling regime with $\nu > 0$



Summary and Discussion

- Weak and intermediate coupling:
 - QPT at $g_c \approx 0.225$
 - Observables are discontinuous at g_c
 - Away from the critical coupling, both phases are supersymmetric
 - In vicinity of g_c: SUSY breaks due rearrangement of levels
- At ν = 0:
 - Power-law dependence of the energy eigenvalues
 - Non-zero Gluino Condensate
 - Continuous spectrum of H? Witten Index?
- Strong coupling regime: ν > 0:
 - Spectrum is discrete
 - Lightest supermultiplet breaks: cross-over to a non-supersymmetric phase
 - Why it happens: Quantum anomalies? Smilga (1987), Casahorran-Esteve (1992) ...

Summary and Discussion

- Weak and intermediate coupling:
 - QPT at $g_c \approx 0.225$
 - Observables are discontinuous at g_c
 - Away from the critical coupling, both phases are supersymmetric
 - In vicinity of g_c: SUSY breaks due rearrangement of levels
- At ν = 0:
 - Power-law dependence of the energy eigenvalues
 - Non-zero Gluino Condensate
 - Continuous spectrum of H? Witten Index?
- Strong coupling regime: $\nu > 0$:
 - Spectrum is discrete
 - Lightest supermultiplet breaks: cross-over to a non-supersymmetric phase
 - Why it happens: Quantum anomalies? Smilga (1987), Casahorran-Esteve (1992) ...

Thank You