

The Ohio State University's Center for Cosmology and AstroParticle Physics

Solar gamma rays from cosmic-ray interactions

Bei Zhou

(Ph.D. advisor: Prof. John Beacom) CCAPP, The Ohio State University

Relevant work by our group: Ng, Beacom, Peter, Rott, PRD, arXiv:1508.06276 Zhou, Ng, Beacom, Peter, PRD, arXiv:1612.02420

Leptonic solar gamma rays



Hadronic solar gamma rays



Cosmic-Ray Interactions Produce Gamma Rays



TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

Cosmic-Ray Interactions Produce Gamma Rays



TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

Disk flux: Contribution from Solar Limb



Limb emission

Small interaction region: Solar limb, 0--600 km above solar surface, \rightarrow thin gamma ray ring

High proton optical depth, $\tau \sim 1$ \rightarrow high intensity (surface brightness)

When Magnetic Effects Can Be Ignored?



$$E_c \sim 10^4 \,\mathrm{GeV}\left(\frac{L}{R_\odot}\right) \left(\frac{B}{1\,\mathrm{G}}\right)$$
for CR proton

 $E_{\gamma} \sim 0.1 E_{c,proton} = 1 \text{ TeV}$

Disk flux: First Calculation of Contribution from Solar Limb

Zhou et al. arXiv:1612.02420, PRD 2017

- Neglect magnetic effects.
- **Red**: Thin region (tau = 0--0.3)
 - $\frac{dF}{dE_{\gamma}}(E_{\gamma}) = \int d\Omega \int ds \, n_p(\vec{s}) \\ \int dE_p \, \frac{dI}{dE_p}(E_p) \, \sigma_{\text{inel}}(E_p) \, \frac{dN}{dE_{\gamma}}(E_p, E_{\gamma})$
- Green and blue: Thick region: Cascade & absorption are important. Use Geant4 simulation;
- Black: Contribution from heavy nuclei
 → increase by a factor of ~2
- \rightarrow Full limb prediction

 10^{-9} 10⁻¹⁰ ${
m E}_{\gamma}^2\,{
m d}{
m F}/{
m d}{
m E}_{\gamma}\,\,[\,\,{
m GeV}\,{
m cm}^{-2}$ 10⁻¹¹ 10⁻¹² Magnetic fields relevant 10⁻¹³ 10^{0} 10^{2} 10³ 10^{1} 10⁵ 10^{6} 10^{4} $E_{\gamma} [GeV]$

Zhou et al. arXiv:1612.02420, PRD 2017

• Calculation is robust $> \sim \text{TeV}$

Disk Flux: Contribution from Magnetic Enhancement



TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

Disk Flux: Observation from *Fermi*-LAT



~ One order of magnitude higher than theory! Magnetic enhancement is even stronger than SSG predicted!

TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

Disk Flux: Up to Now



Upper bound > sensitivities

Limb nominal:

- At high energies, total solar emission
 At lower energies, theoretical lower bound
- Obs./limb gives the an E-dep. enhancement factor of γ ray production due to solar magnetic field → future theoretical studies at GeV

Zhou et al. arXiv:1612.02420, PRD 2017

Fermi & HAWC will Play a Vital Role



Measure/Constrain the energydependent enhancement factor
Measure/Constrain where transition happen.
SSG gives: cutoff may be 30 GeV - ? TeV

Zhou et al. arXiv:1612.02420, PRD 2017

TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

Current limit from ARGO-YBJ and HAWC



TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

Disk Flux: Found a time-variation!



Magnetic enhancement is weaker as solar magnetic field get stronger?!

Ng et al. arXiv:1508.06276, PRD 2016

TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

Fix Disk Flux Model: Work in Prep.

- Interplanetary magnetic field modulation?
- Photosphere magnetic field?
- Coronal magnetic field?

Zhou et al. in prep



Goals: The Sun as a New Laboratory: *cosmic rays, gamma rays, neutrinos, and dark matter*

Gamma rays from the Sun not well understood, but could be.

This talk (for Ng, Beacom, Peter, Rott, PRD, arXiv:1508.06276 & Zhou, Ng, Beacom, Peter, PRD, arXiv:1612.02420)

This help understand high-energy neutrinos from the Sun.

(See Kenny Ng's talk (*for Ng, Beacom, Peter, Rott, arXiv:1703.10280*) also see Carsten Rott's talk for observation by IceCube)

Both will help test dark matter.

(Also see Kenny Ng's talk; Leane, et al., PRD 2017, arXiv:1703.04629)

Goals: The Sun as a New Laboratory: cosmic rays, gamma rays, neutrinos, and dark matter



Both will help test dark matter.

(Also see Kenny Ng's talk; Leane, et al., PRD 2017, arXiv:1703.04629)

TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

The Sun is still a "hotpot" of mysteries!



TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

Cosmic-Ray Interactions Produce Gamma Rays



TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

Gamma-Ray Production Mechanisms

Leptonic

- Beam: CR electrons
- Target: Solar photons
- Typical interaction: Inverse-Compton scattering e + γ_{low} → e +γ_{high}
 Extended source (solar halo)

Situation: data, theory agree Not focus of this section.

See references: Moskalenko, Porter, Digel (APJ 2006) Orlando, Strong (Astrophys. Space Sci. 2007, A&A 2008) Fermi-LAT collaboration, APJ 2011 Zhou et al. arXiv:1602.02420 Bei Zhou, Tevra, Aug. 6, 2017

Hadronic

- Beam: CR nuclei
- Target: Solar matter
- Typical interaction: $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0, \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, etc.$

Near-point source (solar disk)

Situation: data, theory disagree I focus on disk flux here

History is confusing, so tell story from smallest to largest flux

Hadronic Gamma Rays

- MeV-GeV: We have theories (mirror effect) and observations
- TeV ?: We have good telescopes. → time to study TeV
- The GeV theory can't go to TeV



$$E_c \sim 10^4 \,\mathrm{GeV}\left(\frac{L}{R_\odot}\right) \left(\frac{B}{1\,\mathrm{G}}\right)$$

TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

Fix SSG Model: Work in Prep.

- Interplanetary magnetic field modulation on cosmic rays? Can't fix norm. Too small to explain the anti-correlation
- Photosphere magnetic field? Easy for norm. Hard for time var.
- Coronal magnetic field (ignored by SSG)?
 So far still very poorly understood (R_☉ to 0.3 AU)

The Sun is a "hotpot" of mysteries!



TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017

IC for HAWC



Bei Zhou, The Ohio State University

Electrons v.s. γ ray



TeVPA, Aug. 8, 2017