ULTRA-HIGH-ENERGY COSMIC RAY HOT SPOTS?

Daniel Pfeffer

Department of Physics and Astronomy

Johns Hopkins University

TeVPA 2017

With Ely Kovetz and Marc Kamionkowski

OVERVIEW

- Two UHECR "hot spots" have been observed
 - Possible local sources
- Simple spectrum model
- Predictions
- Current observations v.s. future observations

PAO WARM SPOT



Image from Pierre Auger Collaboration arXiv:1411.6111

- Centered around Cen A
- $\approx 2\sigma$ detection
- 18°
- **RA**: 201.°4
- DEC: -43.°0
- I3 of I55 events
- ~3.2 expected events

TA HOT SPOT

- $\approx 3\sigma$ detection
- 20°
- **RA**: 146.°7
- DEC: 43.°2
- 19 of 72 events
- ~4.5 expected events



Image from Telescope Array Collaboration arXiv:1404.5890v3

MODEL: ENERGY





- $\frac{dN}{dE} \propto E^{-s}$
- Care only about UHECRS (E > 57 EeV)
- Local s: 2.5¹
- Background s': 4.3²

¹ Hopkins and Beacom 2006 arXiv:astro-ph/0601463

² Pierre Auger Collaboration 2009 arXiv:0906.2189

MODEL: ANGULAR DISTRIBUTION

- Deflections due to incoherent and coherent magnetic fields
- Affected by both IGMF and GMF
- Incoherent spreads out as a 2d Gaussian
- and GMF as a 2d $\frac{dN_s}{d\theta} \propto \frac{\left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^2 \theta}{\delta_{\theta}^2} e^{-\frac{\theta^2 \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^2}{2\delta_{\theta}^2}}$
- Coherent spreads in line

COMBINED MODEL: BACKGROUND AND LOCAL

• Local Source:
$$\frac{dN_s}{dEd\theta} \propto \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-s} \frac{\left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^2 \theta}{\delta_{\theta}^2} e^{-\frac{\theta^2 \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^2}{2\delta_{\theta}^2}}$$

• Background:
$$\frac{dN_b}{dEd\theta} = N_b \frac{2\theta}{\theta_m^2} \frac{s-1}{E_0} \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-St}$$

SIGNAL TO NOISE

• How well can we tell if an excess is from a local source

• Generally
$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{N_S}{\sqrt{N_b}}$$

$$\left(\frac{S}{N}\right)^{2} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{N_{s,\alpha}^{2}}{N_{b,\alpha}} \approx \int_{E_{0}}^{E_{m}} dE \int_{0}^{\infty} d\theta \frac{\left(\frac{dN_{s}}{dE \, d\theta}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{dN_{b}}{dE \, d\theta}\right)}$$

Considering only energy gives us
$$\frac{S}{N} = 1.51 \frac{N_S^{1.1}}{\sqrt{N_b}}$$

• Including angular information gives
$$\frac{S}{N} = 0.54 \; \frac{10^{\circ}}{\delta_{\theta}} \frac{N_s^{1.76}}{\sqrt{N_b}}$$



EXPECTED ENERGIES

- Can possibly distinguish source based on average energies
- $< E_b > \sim 1.4 E_0$
- $Var(E_b) \sim 0.5 E_0^2$
- $< E_s > \sim 3 E_0$
- $Var(E_s) \sim \infty$

LOOK AT CURRENT DATA

TA HOT SPOT



PAO WARM SPOT





CONCLUSION

 Simple modeling leads to higher signal-tonoise

 Model can produce results that look similar to what is observed

BACKUP

BASIC SIMULATED DATA

- Simulate spectra from a local source
- Assume $\frac{dE}{dN} \propto E^{-s}$ and no GZK affects
- Force a coherent magnetic field to place center of hot spot where observed (From M81 to observed TA hot spot center)
- Stretches particle starting location on line between source and observed center based on energy
- Incoherent magnetic spreads out events from their starting locations based on energy and magnetic field
- Can be dispersed in any direction

MAGNETIC DISPERSION

• Coherent Magnetic Field

•
$$\delta \propto \frac{d}{R_L} \approx \frac{ZBd}{E}$$

Incoherent Magnetic Field

•
$$\delta_{rms} \propto \sqrt{\frac{d}{\lambda}} \frac{\lambda}{R_L} \approx \sqrt{d\lambda} \frac{ZB}{E}$$



Image from http://www.hap-astroparticle.org/

MODELS

- Uniform: No source emissivity evolution (maybe for FRI galaxies)
- SFRI:
 - $z < I: (1+z)^{3.4}$
 - | < z < 4: $(1 + z)^{-0.26}$
 - 4 < z: $(1+z)^{-7.8}$
- SFR2:
 - $z < I: (1+z)^{3.4}$
 - | < z < 4: $(1 + z)^{-0.3}$
 - 4 < z: $(1+z)^{-3.5}$

- FRII: $\log(\dot{\rho}) = 2.7z + 1.45z^2 + 0.18z^3 0.01z^4$
- Source emissivity evolution follows that of FRII galaxies
- Models all match the isotropic background of UHECRs
- From Kotera, Allard and Olinto 2010 (arXiv: 1009.1382v2)

SIGNAL TO NOISE RESULTS

ENERGY ONLY

ENERGY AND ANGLE

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{s-1}{\sqrt{(\gamma-1)(1-2s+\gamma)}} \frac{N_s^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2s-2}}}{\sqrt{N_b}}$$

$$\frac{S}{N} = \frac{(s-1)(\theta_m/\delta_{\theta})}{2\sqrt{(\gamma-1)(3-2s+\gamma)}} \frac{N_s^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2s-2}}}{\sqrt{N_b}}$$

FISHER MATRIX

•
$$F_{i,j} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\alpha}^2} \frac{\partial N_{\alpha}}{\partial S_i} \frac{\partial N_{\alpha}}{\partial S_j}$$

•
$$N_{\alpha} = N_{s,\alpha} + N_{b,\alpha}$$

- $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sqrt{N_{\alpha}}$
- $\boldsymbol{S} = \{N, s, \delta_{\theta}\}$
- $\frac{\partial N_{\alpha}}{\partial N} = \frac{N_{\alpha}}{N}$
- $\frac{\partial N_{\alpha}}{\partial s} = N_{s,\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{s-1} \ln \frac{E}{E_0} \right]$
- $\frac{\partial N_{\alpha}}{\partial \delta_{\theta}} = \frac{N_{s,\alpha}}{\delta_{\theta}} \left[\theta^2 \frac{\left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^2}{\delta_{\theta}^2} 2 \right]$

- Inverse of the Fisher matrix is the covariance matrix
- $[F^{-1}]_{ii}$ gives the variance of the *i*th parameter after marginalizing over the other parameters
- $[F_{ii}]^{-1}$ gives the variance if all of the other parameters are fixed independently

EXPECTED ENERGIES DERIVATION

•
$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \int_{E_0}^{\infty} E \frac{dN}{dE} dE$$

• $= \frac{1}{N} \int_{E_0}^{\infty} E N(s-1) \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-s} dE$
• $= \frac{s-1}{s-2} E_0$
• $\langle E^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \int_{E_0}^{\infty} E^2 \frac{dN}{dE} dE$
• $= \frac{s-1}{s-3} E_0^2$

•
$$Var(E) = \langle E^2 \rangle - \langle E \rangle^2$$

• Var(E) =
$$\left(\frac{s-1}{s-3} - \left(\frac{s-1}{s-2}\right)^2\right) E_0^2$$