Astrophysical distribution of DM

& direct detection implications

Nassim Bozorgnia

GRAPPA Center of Excellence
University of Amsterdam

Dark Matter halo

How is Dark Matter (DM) distributed in the Sun's neighborhood?



Dark Matter halo

How is Dark Matter (DM) distributed in the Sun's neighborhood?

Uncertainties in the local DM distribution → *large uncertainties in the interpretation of direct detection data.*



Dark Matter halo

How is Dark Matter (DM) distributed in the Sun's neighborhood?

Uncertainties in the local DM distribution → *large uncertainties in the interpretation of direct detection data.*



- **Standard Halo model (SHM):** isothermal sphere with an isotropic Maxwell-Boltzmann velocity distribution with a *peak speed* equal to the *local circular speed* (~220 km/s).
- *What can we learn from numerical simulations of galaxy formation about the local DM velocity distribution?*

Dark Matter only simulations

- DM speed distributions from cosmological N-body simulations **without baryons**, deviate substantially from a Maxwellian.



$$f(|\mathbf{v}|) = v^2 \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} f(\mathbf{v})$$

Vogelsberger et al., 0812.0362

- *Significant systematic uncertainty since the impact of baryons neglected.*

Hydrodynamical simulations

- Each hydrodynamical (**DM + baryons**) simulation adopts a different *galaxy formation model*, *spatial resolution*, *DM particle mass*.



Bozorgnia & Bertone, 1705.05853

- Large variation in DM speed distributions between the results of different simulations.

Hydrodynamical simulations

- Each hydrodynamical (**DM + baryons**) simulation adopts a different *galaxy formation model*, *spatial resolution*, *DM particle mass*.



Different criteria used to identify MW-like galaxies among different groups. The most common criteria is the MW mass constraint, which has a large uncertainty.

Bozorgnia & Bertone, 1705.05853

- Large variation in DM speed distributions between the results of different simulations.

EAGLE and APOSTLE

- We use the **EAGLE** and **APOSTLE** hydrodynamic simulations. *Calibrated to reproduce the observed distribution of stellar masses and sizes of low-redshift galaxies.*



Identifying Milky Way analogues

- Identify MW-like galaxies by taking into account observational constraints on the MW, in addition to the mass constraint: **rotation curves** **[Iocco, Pato, Bertone, 1502.03821]**, **total stellar mass.**



14 MW analogues

Bozorgnia et al., 1601.04707
Calore, Bozorgnia et al., 1509.02164

Local Dark Matter distribution

- To find the DM distribution at the position of the Sun, consider a torus aligned with the stellar disc.



Local DM density: $\boxed{\rho_\chi = 0.41 - 0.73 \text{ GeV/cm}^3}$

Local Dark Matter distribution

- To find the DM distribution at the position of the Sun, consider a torus aligned with the stellar disc.



Local DM density: $\boxed{\rho_\chi = 0.41 - 0.73\ \mathrm{GeV/cm^3}}$

Local DM speed distribution:

DMO simulations





$\boxed{v_{\mathrm{peak}} = 223 - 289\ \mathrm{km/s}}$

Bozorgnia et al., 1601.04707

Local Dark Matter distribution

- To find the DM distribution at the position of the Sun, consider a torus aligned with the stellar disc.



- Maxwellian distribution with a free peak provides a better fit to haloes in the hydrodynamical simulations compared to their DMO counterparts.

- **Common trend:** in most hydrodynamical simulations, baryons appear to make the local DM speed distribution *more Maxwellian.*



v_{peak} = 223 - 289 km/s

Bozorgnia et al., 1601.04707

How common are dark disks?

- Only two haloes have a rotating DM component in the disc with mean velocity comparable to that of the stars.

Hint for the existence of a co-rotating dark disk in 2 out of 14 MW analogues.



How common are dark disks?

- Only two haloes have a rotating DM component in the disc with mean velocity comparable to that of the stars.





Hint for the existence of a co-rotating dark disk in 2 out of 14 MW analogues.



- **Sizable dark disks also rare in other hydro simulations:**
  - They only appear in simulations where a large satellite merged with the MW in the recent past, which is robustly excluded from MW kinematical data.

The halo integral

- For standard spin-independent and spin-dependent interactions:

$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \underbrace{\frac{\sigma_0 F^2(E_R)}{2 m_\chi \mu_{\chi N}^2}}_{\text{particle physics}} \overbrace{\rho_\chi \eta(v_{\min}, t)}^{\text{astrophysics}}$$

$$\boxed{\eta(v_{\min}, t) \equiv \int_{v > v_{\min}} d^3v \, \frac{f_{\text{det}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t})}{v}}$$



Bozorgnia et al., 1601.04707

- Halo integrals for the best fit Maxwellian velocity distribution (peak speed 223 - 289 km/s) fall within the 1σ uncertainty band of the halo integrals of the simulated haloes.

The halo integral

- For standard spin-independent and spin-dependent interactions:



- This conclusion also holds for a very general set of non-standard DM-nucleus interactions.
- **Common trend:** halo integrals and hence direct detection event rates obtained from a Maxwellian velocity distribution with a free peak are similar to those obtained directly from the simulated haloes.

Bozorgnia et al., 1601.04707 (EAGLE & APOSTLE)
Kelso et al., 1601.04725 (MaGICC)
Sloane et al., 1601.05402
Bozorgnia & Bertone, 1705.05853

Bozorgnia et al., 1601.04707

Implications for direct detection



Fix local ρ_χ=0.3 GeV cm^{-3}



- Difference in the local DM density ➡ overall difference with the SHM.
- Variation in the peak of the DM speed distribution ➡ shift in the low mass region.

Summary

- To make *precise quantitative predictions* for the DM distribution from simulations ⟶ *Identify MW analogues* by taking into account observational constraints on the MW.
    - **Local DM density** agrees with local and global estimates.
    - **Halo integrals** of MW analogues match well those obtained from best fit Maxwellian velocity distributions.
- A **Maxwellian velocity distribution** with *peak speed* constrained by hydrodynamical simulations, and independent from the *local circular speed*, could be used for the analysis of direct detection data.

Backup Slides

Dark Matter density profiles

- Spherically averaged DM density profiles of the MW analogues:



Bozorgnia et al., 1601.04707

Non-standard interactions

- For a very general set of non-relativistic effective operators:

Kahlhoefer & Wild, 1607.04418

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\chi N}}{dE_R} = \frac{d\sigma_1}{dE_R}\frac{1}{v^2} + \frac{d\sigma_2}{dE_R}$$

$$\eta(v_{\min}, t) \qquad h(v_{\min}, t) = \int_{v>v_{\min}} d^3v \; v \; f_{\rm det}(\mathbf{v}, t)$$



Bozorgnia & Bertone, 1705.05853

- Best fit Maxwellian $h(v_{\min})$ falls within the 1σ uncertainty band of the $h(v_{\min})$ of the simulated haloes.

Departure from isothermal







Parameters of the simulations



Simulation	code	$N_{\rm DM}$	$m_{\rm g}$ [$M_\odot$]	$m_{\rm DM}$ [$M_\odot$]	ϵ [pc]
Ling *et al.*	RAMSES	2662	–	7.46×10^5	200
Eris	GASOLINE	81213	2×10^4	9.80×10^4	124
NIHAO	EFS-GASOLINE2	–	3.16×10^5	1.74×10^6	931
EAGLE (HR)	P-GADGET (ANARCHY)	1821–3201	2.26×10^5	1.21×10^6	350
APOSTLE (IR)	P-GADGET (ANARCHY)	2160, 3024	1.3×10^5	5.9×10^5	308
MaGICC	GASOLINE	4849, 6541	2.2×10^5	1.11×10^6	310
Sloane *et al.*	GASLOINE	5847–7460	2.7×10^4	1.5×10^5	174

Properties of the selected MW analogues



Simulation	Count	$M_{\rm star}$ [$\times 10^{10} M_\odot$]	$M_{\rm halo}$ [$\times 10^{12} M_\odot$]	ρ_χ [GeV/cm^3]	$v_{\rm peak}$ [km/s]
Ling *et al.*	1	~ 8	0.63	0.37–0.39	239
Eris	1	3.9	0.78	0.42	239
NIHAO	5	15.9	~ 1	0.42	192–363
EAGLE (HR)	12	4.65–7.12	2.76–14.26	0.42–0.73	232–289
APOSTLE (IR)	2	4.48, 4.88	1.64–2.15	0.41–0.54	223–234
MaGICC	2	2.4–8.3	0.584, 1.5	0.346, 0.493	187, 273
Sloane *et al.*	4	2.24–4.56	0.68–0.91	0.3–0.4	185–204

Implications for direct detection

Comparison to other hydrodynamical simulations:

Fix local ρ_χ=0.3 GeV cm^{-3}



Bozorgnia & Bertone, 1705.05853

Observations vs. simulations

Goodness of fit to the observed data:



$N = 2687$ is the total number of observational data points used.

- Minimum of the reduced χ^2 occurs within the 3σ measured range of the MW total stellar mass. $\Rightarrow$ haloes with correct MW stellar mass have rotation curves which match well the observations.
- We focus only on the selected **EAGLE HR** and **APOSTLE IR** haloes due to higher resolution $\Rightarrow$ total of **14** MW analogues.

Selection criteria for MW analogues



- $M_\star$ strongly correlated with v_c at 8 kpc, while the correlation of M_{200} with v_c is weaker.
- $M_\star(R < 8\ \mathrm{kpc}) = (0.5 - 0.9)M_\star$.
- $M_{\mathrm{tot}}(R < 8\ \mathrm{kpc}) = (0.01 - 0.1)M_{200}$.
- Over the small halo mass range probed, little correlation between $M_{\mathrm{DM}}(R < 8\ \mathrm{kpc})$ and M_{200}.

Searching for dark disks

DM and stellar velocity distributions:



- Fit with a double Gaussian. Difference in the mean speed of second Gaussian between DM and stars is 35 km/s in the left, and 7 km/s in the right panel.
- Fraction of second Gaussian is 32% in the left panel and 43% in the right panel.

Searching for dark disks

Is there an enhancement of the local DM density in the **Galactic disc** compared to the **halo**?

- Compare the the average $\rho_{\rm DM}$ in the torus with the value in a spherical shell at $7 < R < 9$ kpc.

$\rho_{\rm DM}^{\rm torus}$ is larger than $\rho_{\rm DM}^{\rm shell}$ by:

2 – 27% for 10 haloes,
greater than 10% for 5 haloes, and
greater than 20% for only two haloes.



- The increase in the DM density in the disc could be due to the DM halo contraction as a result of dissipational baryonic processes.

Halo shapes

- To study the shape of the inner ($R < 8$ kpc) DM haloes, we calculate the inertia tensor of DM particles within 5 and 8 kpc. $\Rightarrow$ ellipsoid with three axes of length $a \geq b \geq c$.

- Calculate the sphericity: $s = c/a$.
    - $s = 1$: perfect sphere. $s < 1$: increasing deviation from sphericity.
    - At 5 kpc, $s = [0.85, 0.95]$. At 8 kpc, s lower by less than 10%.
    - Due to dissipational baryonic processes, DM sphericity systematically higher in the hydrodynamic simulations compared to DMO haloes in which $s = [0.75, 0.85]$.

Halo shapes

- Describe a deviation from sphericity by the triaxiality parameter:

$$T = \frac{a^2 - b^2}{a^2 - c^2}$$

- Oblate systems, $a \approx b \gg c \Rightarrow T \approx 0$.



- Prolate systems, $a \gg b \approx c \Rightarrow T \approx 1$.



- In the hydro case, since inner haloes are very close to spherical, deviation towards either oblate or prolate is small. DMO counterparts have a preference for *prolate* inner haloes.