PPC 2024

Search for dark matter decay and annihilation using γ ray observation by Tibet AS_γ and LHAASO

Abhishek Dubey

Centre for High Energy Physics
Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru

Based on arXiv:2105.05680 (PRD Letter) & Dubey et al (In Prep.)

In collaboration with
Tarak Nath Maity, Akash Kumar Saha and Ranjan Laha

Dark Matter Indirect detection



https://particleastro.brown.edu/dark-matter

Dark Matter Indirect detection





https://particleastro.brown.edu/dark-matter

https://conferences.pa.ucla.edu/ATI-2018/talks/weniger.pdf

Flux of gamma rays from DM decay/annihilation

DM decay

$$\frac{d\Phi^G}{dE_\gamma} = \frac{1}{4\pi m_\chi \tau_\chi} \frac{dN}{dE_\gamma} \int_0^\infty ds \rho(s,b,l) e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma,s,b,l)}$$

m_χ = DM mass, τ_χ = DM lifetime,
E_γ, E_e = energy of the prompt photons and prompt electrons/positron
ρ = DM density profile, which we have taken as NFW profile
s = line-of-sight distance taken for our galaxy, b, l are Galactic latitude and longitude
$\tau_{\gamma\gamma}$ = optical depth of photons due to CMB, SL+IR and EBL

$\frac{dN}{dE_\gamma}$ → HDMSpectra

Flux of gamma rays from DM decay/annihilation

DM decay

m_χ = DM mass, τ_χ = DM lifetime,
E_γ, E_e = energy of the prompt photons and prompt electrons/positron
ρ= DM density profile, which we have taken as NFW profile
s = line-of-sight distance taken for our galaxy, b, l are Galactic latitude and longitude
$\tau_{\gamma\gamma}$ = optical depth of photons due to CMB, SL+IR and EBL

$$\frac{d\Phi^G}{dE_\gamma} = \frac{1}{4\pi m_\chi \tau_\chi} \frac{dN}{dE_\gamma} \int_0^\infty ds \rho(s,b,l) e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma,s,b,l)}$$

HDMSpectra

DM annihilation

$$\frac{d\Phi^G}{dE_\gamma} = \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{8\pi m_\chi^2} \frac{dN}{dE_\gamma} \int_0^\infty ds \rho^2(s,b,l) B_{sh}(s,b,l) e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma,s,b,l)}$$

Since the annihilation rate depends on the dark matter density squared (and $<\varrho^2> \geq <\varrho>^2$), the presence of the subhalos will boost the gamma-ray signatures from dark matter annihilation. It is given by B_{sh} (Boost factor).

Flux of gamma rays from DM decay/annihilation

DM decay

$$\frac{d\Phi^G}{dE_\gamma} = \frac{1}{4\pi m_\chi \tau_\chi} \frac{dN}{dE_\gamma} \int_0^\infty ds \rho(s,b,l) e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma,s,b,l)}$$

m_χ = DM mass, τ_χ= DM lifetime,
E_γ, E_e = energy of the prompt photons and prompt electrons/positron
ρ= DM density profile, which we have taken as NFW profile
s = line-of-sight distance taken for our galaxy, b, l are Galactic latitude and longitude
$\tau_{\gamma\gamma}$ = optical depth of photons due to CMB, SL+IR and EBL

→ HDMSpectra

DM annihilation

$$\frac{d\Phi^G}{dE_\gamma} = \frac{\langle\sigma v\rangle}{8\pi m_\chi^2} \frac{dN}{dE_\gamma} \int_0^\infty ds \rho^2(s,b,l) B_{sh}(s,b,l) e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma,s,b,l)}$$

Since the annihilation rate depends on the dark matter density squared (and $\langle\varrho^2\rangle \geq \langle\varrho\rangle^2$), the presence of the subhalos will boost the gamma-ray signatures from dark matter annihilation. It is given by B_{sh} (Boost factor) .

In our analysis, we have taken both primary and inverse Compton scattering gamma ray flux from Galactic and Extragalactic domain into consideration.

Boost factor

Total Luminosity from DM annihilation ← $$\mathrm{L(M)}=[1+B_{\mathrm{sh}}(M)]\,L_{\mathrm{host}}(M)$$ ← Luminosity from DM annihilation if there is no substructure.

$$B_{\mathrm{sh}}(M)=\frac{1}{L_{\mathrm{host}}(M)}\int dm\frac{dN}{dm}L_{\mathrm{sh}}(m)\left[1+B_{\mathrm{ssh}}(m)\right]$$

→ Subhalo mass function

Shin'ichiro Ando et al. 2019

Boost factor

Total Luminosity from DM annihilation

$$\mathrm{L(M)}=[1+B_{\mathrm{sh}}(M)]\,L_{\mathrm{host}}(M)$$

Luminosity from DM annihilation if there is no substructure.

$$B_{\mathrm{sh}}\;(M)=\frac{1}{L_{\mathrm{host}}(M)}\int dm\frac{dN}{dm}L_{\mathrm{sh}}(m)\,[1+B_{\mathrm{ssh}}\;(m)]$$

Shin'ichiro Ando et al. 2019

Subhalo mass function



High Energy γ ray detectors

Tibet AS_γ

<u>Present Performance</u>

- # of detectors: 0.5 m^2 x 597
- Effective area: ~65,700 m^2
- Angular resolution: ~0.5° @10TeV, ~0.2° @100TeV
- Energy resolution: ~40%@10TeV γ, ~20%@100TeV γ

LHAASO



Pointing accuracy ~0.1°
Angular resolution ~0.3°
Energy resolution <20%@6TeV

https://agenda.infn.it/event/28874/contributions/170169/attachments/94543/129448/ICHEP2022_takita_20220709_presentation.pdf

https://indico-tdli.sjtu.edu.cn/event/43/contributions/400/attachments/179/300/20191129LHAASOmultimsg.pdf

Photon vs Cosmic ray shower



hhttps://www.researchgate.net/figure/Schematic-representation-of-two-atmospheric-showers-initiated-by-a-photon-left-or-by-a_fig9_1901518

Sub-PeV diffuse Gamma rays from the Galactic disk



First detection of sub PeV diffuse γ rays by Tibet AS$_\gamma$



Fig. γ rays observed by Tibet AS$_\gamma$ in Galactic plane in the regions of |b| $< 5^\circ$, $25^\circ < l < 100^\circ$

M. Amenomori et al. (Tibet AS$_\gamma$ Collaboration) 2021

Sub-PeV diffuse Gamma rays from the Galactic disk





Diffuse γ rays observed by LHAASO



Fig. γ rays observed by LHAASO in inner Galaxy plane region of $|b| < 5^\circ$, $15^\circ < l < 125^\circ$ and Tibet AS$_\gamma$ in the regions of $|b| < 5^\circ$, $25^\circ < l < 100$

arXiv:2305.05372 (LHAASO Collaboration) 2023

Limit on high Galactic latitude PeV γ-ray flux from Tibet AS_γ

Due to the better sensitivity of Tibet-AS_γ and higher energy reach compared to MILAGRO, HAWC, and ARGO-YBJ and also more efficient suppression of background EAS produced by protons and atomic nuclei, Tibet-AS_γ observations can be used to constrain the γ ray flux from the sky outside the Galactic plane ($|b| > 20$ deg.).

Neronov et al. 2021

Limit on high Galactic latitude PeV γ-ray flux from Tibet AS$_\gamma$

Due to the better sensitivity of Tibet-AS$_\gamma$ and higher energy reach compared to MILAGRO, HAWC, and ARGO-YBJ and also more efficient suppression of background EAS produced by protons and atomic nuclei, Tibet-AS$_\gamma$ observations can be used to constrain the γ ray flux from the sky outside the Galactic plane (|b| > 20 deg.).





Neronov et al. 2021

Results

arXiv:2105.05680 **(PRD Letter) +** Dubey et al. (In Prep.)





Results

Dubey et al. (In Prep.)





Conclusions

- We have obtained constraints on Dark Matter lifetime and annihilation cross section for different final states using Tibet AS_γ and LHAASO observation.
- We have studied the effect of inverse Compton scattering and dark matter substructure which helps put better constrain dark matter parameters.
- We get the most stringent constraints in large region of parameter space for both dark matter decay and annihilation.

Thank You

Email: abhishekd1@iisc.ac.in

Backup

Dark Matter (DM)

- No electric charge
- No or very little baryonic interactions
- Long-lived or stable



https://darkmatterdarkenergy.com/2013/06/18/more-dark-matter-first-planck-results/



http://abyss.uoregon.edu/~js/21st_century_science/lectures/lec23.html

Results

arXiv:2105.05680 **(PRD Letter)**



Cosmic Ray measurement by Tibet ASγ



Fig: Amenomori et al., EPJ Web of Conferences 208, 03001 (2019)

P_{IC} and Energy Loss for ICS and Synchrotron





Esmaili & Serpico 2015

P_{IC} and Energy Loss for ICS and Synchrotron



Esmaili & Serpico 2015

Does cosmic ray measurement by Tibet ASγ tell us anything about the diffuse gamma ray at this sky region ($|b| > 20$ deg.) ?

YES

!

It can give an upper limit on the diffuse gamma rays

Implication of Muon Cut for Tibet ASγ



Fig : Gaisser et al., 1991

TABLE II. Implications for γ-ray detection of our calculation of the fluctuations in the number of muons N_μ for cosmic-ray showers with $N_e > 10^5$; see Fig. 3(b).

	$N_\mu < 75$	$N_\mu < 100$	$N_\mu < 200$	$N_\mu < 300$
Percentage of γ-ray signals retained	10%	20%	60%	83%
Level of cosmic-ray background				
Solid line fit	10^{-5}	1.5×10^{-5}	4×10^{-5}	10^{-4}
Dashed line fit	$<10^{-7}$	10^{-7}	6.6×10^{-7}	4×10^{-6}

Implication of Muon Cut for Tibet ASγ



Amenomori et al,. PRL, 2019

Implication of Muon Cut for Tibet ASγ

Muon Cut



Amenomori et al,. PRL, 2019

Choose a Muon Cut

Take into account majority of photon induced events

Discard most of the background (CR induced) events

Our detector is not perfect ! Even after the tight muon cut some CR induced shower will get in

Fig: 2107.06541



Upper limits on diffuse gamma ray flux

$$\text{Upper limit on gamma ray flux} = \frac{\text{Cosmic Ray flux measured} \times \text{Surviving event fraction of Hadronic EAS}}{\text{Efficiency of the selection of Gamma ray EAS}}$$



Fig: Amenomori et al., EPJ Web of Conferences 208, 03001 (2019)



Fig: 2107.06541

Flux of gamma rays from DM decay

ray flux of direct production from DM decay

$$\frac{d\Phi^G}{dE_\gamma} = \frac{1}{4\pi m_\chi \tau_\chi} \frac{dN}{dE_\gamma} \int_0^\infty ds \rho(s,b,l) e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma,s,b,l)}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_\gamma^{\mathrm{EG}}}{\mathrm{d}E_\gamma} = \frac{\Omega_{\mathrm{DM}}\rho_{\mathrm{cr}}}{4\pi m_\chi \tau_\chi} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{H(z)} \frac{\mathrm{d}N_\gamma}{\mathrm{d}E_\gamma}\bigg|_{E'_\gamma = E_\gamma(1+z)} e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma,z)}$$

m = DM mass, = DM lifetime,
E , E_e = energy of the prompt photons and prompt electrons/positron
ρ= DM density profile, which we have taken as NFW profile
s = line-of-sight distance taken for our galaxy, b, l are Galactic latitude and longitude
 = optical depth of photons due to CMB, SL+IR and EBL

HDMSpectra

ray flux of Inverse compton production from DM decay

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\mathrm{IC}\gamma}}{dE_\gamma d\Omega} = \frac{2}{E_\gamma} \frac{1}{4\pi m_\chi \tau_\chi} \int_{m_e}^{m_\chi/2} dE_{\mathrm{s}} \frac{dN_e}{dE_e}(E_{\mathrm{s}}) \int_{\mathrm{l.o.s.}} ds\,(\rho(s,b,l)) \int_{m_e}^{E_{\mathrm{s}}} dE \frac{\sum_i \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{IC}}^i (E_\gamma, E, s, b, l)}{b(E,s,b,l)} I(E, E_{\mathrm{s}}, s, b, l)\,,$$

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\mathrm{EG}\gamma}}{dE_\gamma}(E_\gamma, z) = c \frac{1}{E_\gamma} \int_z^\infty dz' \frac{1}{H(z')(1+z')} \left(\frac{1+z}{1+z'}\right)^3 j_{\mathrm{EG}\gamma}(E'_\gamma, z')\, e^{-\tau(E_\gamma,z,z')}.$$

$$j_{\mathrm{EG}\gamma}^{\mathrm{IC}}(E'_\gamma, z') = \frac{2}{\tau_\chi} \frac{\bar\rho(z')}{m_\chi} \int_{m_e}^{m_\chi/2} \mathrm{d}E_e \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{IC}}^{\mathrm{CMB}}(E'_\gamma, E_e, z')}{b_{\mathrm{IC}}^{\mathrm{CMB}}(E_e, z')} \int_{E_e}^{m_\chi/2} \mathrm{d}\tilde{E}_e \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{N}_e}{\mathrm{d}\tilde{E}_e}$$

P_{IC} is ICS radiative power and b_{IC} is the energy loss of electrons/positrons due to ICS and Synchrotron radiation.

Flux of gamma rays from DM annihilation

ray flux of direct production from DM annihilation

$$\frac{d\Phi^G}{dE_\gamma} = \frac{\langle\sigma v\rangle}{8\pi m_\chi^2}\frac{dN}{dE_\gamma}\int_0^\infty ds\rho^2(s,b,l)B_{sh}(s,b,l)e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma,s,b,l)}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_\gamma^{\mathrm{EG}}}{\mathrm{d}E_\gamma} = \frac{\langle\sigma v\rangle\Omega_{\mathrm{DM}}^2\rho_{\mathrm{cr}}^2}{8\pi m_\chi^2}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{H(z)}\left\langle\delta^2(z)\right\rangle(1+z)^3\frac{\mathrm{d}N_\gamma}{\mathrm{d}E_\gamma}\bigg|_{E'_\gamma=E_\gamma(1+z)}e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_\gamma,z)}$$

ray flux of inverse Compton production from DM annihilation

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\mathrm{IC}\gamma}}{dE_\gamma d\Omega} = \frac{2}{E_\gamma}\frac{\langle\sigma v\rangle}{4\pi m_\chi^2}\int_{m_e}^{m_\chi/2}dE_{\mathrm{s}}\frac{dN_e}{dE_e}(E_{\mathrm{s}})\int_{\mathrm{l.o.s.}}ds\frac{1}{2}B_{sh}(s,b,l)\left(\rho(s,b,l)\right)^2\int_{m_e}^{E_{\mathrm{s}}}dE\frac{\sum_i\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{IC}}^i\left(E_\gamma,E,s,b,l\right)}{b(E,s,b,l)}I\left(E,E_{\mathrm{s}},s,b,l\right),$$

$$\frac{d\Phi_{\mathrm{EG}\gamma}}{dE_\gamma}\left(E_\gamma,z\right) = c\frac{1}{E_\gamma}\int_z^\infty dz'\frac{1}{H\left(z'\right)\left(1+z'\right)}\left(\frac{1+z}{1+z'}\right)^3 j_{\mathrm{EG}\gamma}\left(E'_\gamma,z'\right)e^{-\tau\left(E_\gamma,z,z'\right)}.$$

$$j_{\mathrm{EG}\gamma}^{\mathrm{IC}}\left(E'_\gamma,z'\right) = 2\left\langle\delta^2(z)\right\rangle\frac{1}{2}\langle\sigma v\rangle\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}(z')}{m_\chi}\right)^2\int_{m_e}^{m_\chi/2}\mathrm{d}E_e\frac{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{IC}}^{\mathrm{CMB}}\left(E'_\gamma,E_e,z'\right)}{b_{\mathrm{IC}}^{\mathrm{CMB}}\left(E_e,z'\right)}\int_{E_e}^{m_\chi/2}\mathrm{d}\tilde{E}_e\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{N}_e}{\mathrm{d}\tilde{E}_e}$$

B_{sh} and <^{2}> are Boost factor and Clumping factor due to dark matter substructure. Since the annihilation rate depends on the dark matter density squared (and <^{2}> ≥ <>^{2}), the presence of the subhalos will boost the gamma-ray signatures from dark matter annihilation.

Boost factor and Clumping factor

$$\langle \delta^2 \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{\Omega_m \rho_c} \right)^2 \int dM \frac{dn(M,z)}{dM} \left[1 + B_{\rm sh}(M) \right] \times \int dV \rho^2_{\rm host} \left(r \mid M \right)$$

Hiroshima, et al. 2018

Halo mass funtion



Inner and outer Galaxy constraints from LHAASO





Background for LHAASO diffuse gamma ray flux



arXiv:2407.15474