Astrophobic Axions

Based on: "Astrophobic Axions" Phys.Rev.Lett. 120 (2018) no.26, 261803 [arXiv:1712.04940]

In collaboration with L. Di Luzio (IPPP, Durham), F. Mescia (Barcelona U.), P. Panci (CERN) and R. Ziegler (CERN))

Some remarks from "U(I) flavour symmetries as PQ symmetries" [arXiv:1811.09637]

In collaboration with Fredrik Bjorkeroth (Frascati), Luca Di Luzio (IPPP, Durham) and Federico Mescia (Barcelona U.))

Enrico Nardi



XII SILAFAE - PUCP Lima, PERU - November 29, 2018

The strong CP problem

- QCD is defined in terms of two dimensionless parameters which are not predicted by the theory. Measurements yield:
 - $\alpha_s \sim O(0.1-1)$ and $\bar{\theta} < 10^{-10}$ [$\beta \& 7$]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \overline{q} \left(i D - m_{q} e^{i\theta_{q}} \right) q - \frac{1}{4} G^{\mu\nu}_{a} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} - \theta \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8\pi} G^{\mu\nu}_{a} \tilde{G}^{a}_{\mu\nu}$$

- •The difference $\overline{\theta} = \theta \theta_q$ gives the amount CP viol. in QCD
 - $q \rightarrow e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha} q \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \theta_q \rightarrow \theta_q + 2\alpha \qquad \text{and} \qquad \theta \rightarrow \theta + 2\alpha$
- Change in θ is given by the change of the path integral measure:

$$\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\overline{q} \to \exp\left(-i\alpha \int d^4x \, \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} G^{\mu\nu}_a \tilde{G}^a_{\mu\nu}\right) \mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\overline{q}$$
 [Fujikawa (1979)]

02/30

E. Nardi (INFN-LNF)

A small value problem

• $\overline{\theta} \neq 0$ implies a non-zero neutron EDM [Baluni (1979), Crewther et al. (1979)]

$$d_n \approx \frac{e \left|\overline{\theta}\right| m_\pi^2}{m_n^3} \approx 10^{-16} \left|\overline{\theta}\right| e \,\mathrm{cm}$$

- •However, $d_n \lesssim 3 \cdot 10^{-26} e \,\mathrm{cm}$ implying: \longrightarrow $\overline{\theta} \lesssim 10^{-10}$
- This is qualitatively different from other small values problems:
 - In the SM $\overline{\theta}$ receives the first finite Log corrections at $O(\alpha^2)$ [Ellis, Gaillard (1979)] Unlike m_{H^2} that is quadratically sensitive to Λ^2_{UV}
 - Unlike $y_{e,u,d} \sim 10^{-6} \div 10^{-5}$ it evades explanations based on environmental selection

[Ubaldi, 0811.1599] [Kaloper & Terning, 1710.01740] [Dine, Stephenson Haskins, Ubaldi, & Di Xu 1801.03466]

Unexplained issues within the SM



Three types of solutions

- •A massless quark. One exact chiral symmetry: $\overline{ heta}
 ightarrow 0$
 - From lattice: $m_u
 eq 0$ by more than 20 σ

[Aoki (2013)] [Manhoar & Sachrajda, PDG(2014)]

- CP symmetry + Spontaneous CP violation [Barr (1984), Nelson (1984)]
 - Set θ = 0 by imposing CP. Need to break spont. for CKM (+BAU)
 - High degree of fine tuning, or elaborated constructions to keep $\overline{ heta} < 10^{-10}$ at all orders. No unambiguous exp. signatures.
- Peccei-Quinn solution

[Peccei, Quinn (1977), Weinberg (1978), Wilczek (1978)]

- •Assume a global U(1) $_{PQ}$: (i) Spontaneously broken; (ii) QCD anomalous
- •Implies a PGB of U(1)_{PQ}: the Axion. Shift symmetry: $a(x) \rightarrow a(x) + \delta \alpha f_a$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \left(\overline{\theta} + \frac{a}{f_a}\right) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G_a^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a - \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} a \partial_{\mu} a + \mathcal{L}(\partial_{\mu} a, \psi)$$
$$\theta_{\text{eff}}(x)$$



Axion models

• PQWW axion:

Axion identified with the phase of the Higgs in a 2HDM ($f_a \sim V_{EW}$ was quickly ruled out long ago) [Peccei, Quinn (1977), Weinberg (1978), Wilczek (1978)]

We need to require $f_a \gg V_{EW}$: "invisible axion"

- DSFZ Axion: SM quarks and Higgses, charged under PQ. Requires 2HDM + 1 scalar singlet. SM leptons are also PQ charged. [Dine, Fischler, Srednicki (1981), Zhitnitsky (1980)]
- KSVZ Axion (or hadronic axion): All SM fields are neutral under PQ. QCD anomaly is induced by new quarks, vectorlike under the SM, chiral under PQ.

[Kim (1979), Shifman, Vainshtein, Zakharov (1980)]



Model independent features

- Axion mass: $\sim 1/f_a$
- All axion couplings: $\sim 1/f_a$

The lighter is the axion, the weaker are its interactions:

$$m_a^2 = rac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)^2} rac{m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2}{f_a^2} \, .$$

$$m_a \simeq m_\pi \frac{f_\pi}{f_a} \simeq 6 \text{ meV} \frac{10^9 \text{ GeV}}{f_a}$$

$$g_{a\gamma\gamma}=rac{lpha_{em}}{2\pi f_a}\left[rac{E}{N}-rac{2}{3}\,rac{4m_d+m_u}{m_d+m_u}
ight]$$

Search strategies and current limits

[see e.g. Redondo, Ringwald hep-ph/1011.3741]

- Laboratory search techniques are sensitive to $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$
- Light Shining trough Walls Photon conversion into Axions, Axions reconverted back into

photons after passing a wall

- Haloscopes [Sikivie 1983]

Search for Axion Halo Dark Matter with microwave resonant cavities (ADMX, U. Washington; HAYSTAC, Yale U.)

- Helioscopes

Search for Axions produced in the Sun











Astrophysical Bounds (anomalous Eng. losses)

Yukawa-like axion-fermion couplings: $g_{af} = (m_f/f_a) C_f$ Axion-Photon coupling [GeV⁻¹]: $g_{a\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi f_a} C_{\gamma}$

HB stars evolution in globular clusters: $g_{ag} < 6.6 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ [Ayala et al., arXiv: 1406.6053 (PRL)]

WD luminosity function (cooling): $g_{\alpha e} < 2.7 \cdot 10^{-13}$ [Miller Bertolami et al., arXiv: 1406.7712 (JCAP)]

RG evolution in globular clusters: $g_{ae} < 4.3 \cdot 10^{-13}$ [Viaux et al., arXiv: 1311.]

arXiv: 1311.1699 (PRL)]

Burst duration of SN1987A ν signal: $g_{ap}^2 + g_{an}^2 < (6 \cdot 10^{-10})^2$

[Giannotti et al., arXiv: 1708.02111 (PRD)]

Astro-limits used to constrain f_a and in turn $m_a \sim m_\pi f_\pi/f_a$

We need to know where to search



Exclusion Limits: $f_a \rightarrow m_a$ (PDG 2017)



Can we have "Astrophobic Axions"? decoupled from Nucleons and from Electrons

Model independent contribution to g_{aN:}



KSVZ axions are decoupled from the leptons $(g_{ae} \approx 0)$ Coupling to nucleons are model independent $(g_{aN} \neq 0)$

DFSZ axions couple to the leptons $(g_{ae} \neq 0)$ Coupling to nucleons nonzero but model dependent $(g_{aN} \neq 0)$

Generalized DFSZ axions can (approximately) decouple from nucleons ($g_{aN} \approx 0$) and from electrons ($g_{ae} \approx 0$)

The two conditions for "Nucleophobia"

From the UV theory we have: $\mathcal{L}_q = \frac{\partial_{\mu}a}{2f_a} c_q \bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 q$ We want: $\mathcal{L}_N = \frac{\partial_{\mu}a}{2f_a} C_N \bar{N}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 N$

 C_N in terms of c_q and of matrix elements $s^{\mu}\Delta_q = \langle N|\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 q|N\rangle$ by matching the matrix elements of \mathcal{L}_q and \mathcal{L}_N . One obtains:

$$C_{p} + C_{n} = 0.50(5)(C_{u}^{0} + C_{d}^{0} - 1) - 2\delta_{s}, \qquad |\delta_{s}| \leq 0.04$$
$$C_{p} - C_{n} = 1.273(2)\left(C_{u}^{0} - C_{d}^{0} - \frac{1}{3}\right),$$

We want to see if it $C_u + C_d - 1 \approx 0$ is possible to set $C_u - C_d - 1/3 \approx 0$

First Condition: $C_u + C_d - 1 = 0$

$$\mathcal{L}_{a} \supset \frac{a}{f_{a}} \frac{a}{8\pi} G \tilde{G} + \frac{a}{f_{a}} \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} \frac{E}{N} F \tilde{F} + \frac{\partial_{\mu}a}{v_{a}} \left[X_{u} \bar{u} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} u + X_{d} \bar{d} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} d \right]$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(f_{a} = \frac{v_{a}}{2N} \right) & \frac{\partial_{\mu}a}{2f_{a}} \left[\frac{X_{u}}{N} \bar{u} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} u + \frac{X_{d}}{N} \bar{d} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} d \right] \\ model independent contributions \\ E \\ N \rightarrow \frac{E}{N} - 1.92(4); C_{u} = \frac{X_{u}}{N} \rightarrow \frac{X_{u}}{N} - \frac{m_{d}}{m_{u} + m_{d}}; \quad C_{d} = \frac{X_{d}}{N} \rightarrow \frac{X_{d}}{N} - \frac{m_{u}}{m_{u} + m_{d}} \\ \text{Therefore: } C_{p} + C_{n} \sim \frac{X_{u} + X_{d}}{N} - 1 = \frac{N_{\ell}}{N} - 1 \qquad \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \frac{universality}{N - n_{g}(X_{u} + X_{d})} & \frac{1}{n_{g}} - 1 \neq 0 \\ N - n_{g}(X_{u} + X_{d}) & \frac{1}{n_{g}} - 1 \neq 0 \end{array}}$$

Nucleophobia unavoidably requires DFSZ-type of models with generation dependent PQ charges, s.t.

(and it is not possible for KSVZ-type of models)

Second Condition:
$$C_u - C_d - 1/3 \approx 0$$

$$C_p - C_n \sim X_u - X_d - 1/3 \simeq 0$$
 where: $\left| \frac{1}{3} \simeq \frac{m_d - m_u}{m_d + m_u} \right|$

Scalar content of DFSZ-like models: H_1 , H_2 , Φ_a with VEVs v_1 , v_2 , $(v_1^2 + v_2^2 = v^2)$, v_a , the PQ charges are X_1 , X_2 , X_a

Goldstone of Hyperchage: $\varphi_y = (v_2 \varphi_{H2} - v_1 \varphi_{H1})/v$

To avoid $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{\phi} \mathbf{y}$ mixing redefine the charges so that: $X_1 v_1^2 + X_2 v_2^2 = 0 \longrightarrow X_1/X_2 = -v_2^2/v_1^2$

The choice: $v_2^2/v_1^2=2 \longrightarrow X_u-X_d = X_2-X_1 \approx 1/3$ allows for axion-Nucleons decoupling

WD+RG bounds: Electrophobia $C_e \approx 0$

In DFSZ models leptons carry PQ charges and couple to a(x)

$$\mathcal{L}_a \supset rac{\partial_\mu a}{2f_a} \left\{ ar{\ell}^0_L \, X_{\ell_L} \, \gamma^\mu \, \ell^0_L \, + ar{\ell}^0_R \, X_{\ell_R} \, \gamma^\mu \, \ell^0_R
ight\}$$

Introduce a Higgs doublet for the Leptons H₃ The axion-NGB(hypercharge) orthogonality condition becomes: $X_1 v_1^2 + X_2 v_2^2 + X_3 v_3^2 = 0$

The previous choice $X_1/X_2 = -v_2^2/v_1^2$ here implies $X_3 v_3^2 = 0$: $X_3 = 0$ \longrightarrow leptons decouple from the PQ symmetry: $C_e \approx 0$

Electrophobia needs H_1 , H_2 , H_3 but no additional conditions

Nucleophobia —> Flavour Violation





Nucleophobia predicts $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ a, B^+ \rightarrow K^+ a, etc.$

Observable signals for: $f_a \leq 2 \cdot 10^9 \text{ GeV} (m_a \gtrsim 6 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV})$

Precision flavour experiments can provide powerful and complementary probes for astrophobic axions

SUMMARY PLOT



• The axion hypothesis provides a well motivated BSM scenario

• Theoretical developments are still ongoing

• Healthy and lively experimental program

- The axion hypothesis provides a well motivated BSM scenario
 - solves the strong CP problem
 - provides an excellent DM candidate (2 problems at once)
 - it is unambiguously testable by detecting the axion
 - Theoretical developments are still ongoing

Healthy and lively experimental program

- The axion hypothesis provides a well motivated BSM scenario
 - solves the strong CP problem
 - provides an excellent DM candidate
 - is unambiguously testable by detecting the axion
 - Theoretical developments are still ongoing
 - keep controlled theoretical uncertainties due to "model building"
 - $g_{\alpha\gamma} \approx 0$; $g_{\alpha e} \approx 0$; $g_{\alpha N} \approx 0$ are unexpected phenomenological possibilities
- Healthy and lively experimental program

- The axion hypothesis provides a well motivated BSM scenario
 - solves the strong CP problem
 - provides an excellent DM candidate
 - is unambiguously testable by detecting the axion
 - Theoretical developments are still ongoing
 - keep controlled theoretical uncertainties due to "model building"
 - $g_{\alpha\gamma} \approx 0$; $g_{\alpha e} \approx 0$; $g_{\alpha N} \approx 0$ are indeed phenomenological possibilities
- Healthy and lively experimental program
- experiments are entering <u>now</u> the preferred window for the QCD axion
- astrophobic axions: flavour violation experiments can play a crucial role
- complementarity of different experimental approaches is a must !

Thanks for your attention !