# Partikeldagarna 2021

Report from the Lund University ESSnuSB Group

A. Burgman

Division for Nuclear Physics Lund University

2021.11.23



A. Burgman

2021.11.23 | Partikeldagarna 2021

ESSnuSB

• • •

# **ESSnuSB**

A. Burgman

2021.11.23 | Partikeldagarna 2021

2 of 13

# The ESS Neutrino Super-Beam

## Purpose

- ▷ Measure  $\nu$ -oscillation (incl.  $\delta_{CP}$ )
- $\triangleright$   $\nu$  & BSM-physics



▷ 2<sup>nd</sup> oscillation max. of high-intensity neutrino beam from the European Spallation Source

## The Collaboration

- $\sim 50$  active researchers, > 10 countries
  - $\triangleright$  Sweden: UU + LU
  - ▷ Collaboration meeting this week (CERN)

CDR in early 2022

- LU group  $\rightarrow$  water-Cherenkov near detector
  - J. Cederkäll, professor
  - P. Christiansen, professor
  - J. Park, postdoc (now at IBS, Korea)
  - A. Burgman, postdoc

### A. Burgman

# The ESS Neutrino Super-Beam

## Purpose

- ▷ Measure  $\nu$ -oscillation (incl.  $\delta_{CP}$ )
- $\triangleright$   $\nu$  & BSM-physics



2<sup>nd</sup> oscillation max. of high-intensity neutrino beam from the European Spallation Source

## Producing the neutrino beam

- $\hookrightarrow$  ESS linac upgrade for dedicated *p*-beam
  - $\triangleright$  5 MW, 2.5 GeV  $E_{kin}$ , 14 Hz repetition
- $\,\hookrightarrow\,$  Compress pulses to  $1.1\,\mu s$
- $\,\hookrightarrow\,$  Produce  $\pi^\pm$  with p-beam on four Ti-targets
  - Sign-select with magnetic focusing horn
- $\hookrightarrow$  Produce u-beam in 50 m decay tunnel
- $\,\hookrightarrow\,$  Unoscillated beam at near detector,  $\sim 250\,m$
- → Oscillated beam at far detector,
   360 km (Zinkgruvan) or 540 km (Garpenberg)

## Near Detector

## Two-fold purpose

- $\triangleright$  Measure  $\nu$ -flux:  $\sim 10^7$  events yr<sup>-1</sup> (200 d, 2.16  $\times 10^{23}$  p.o.t.)
- ▷ Measure  $\sigma_{\nu_e N}$ :  $\nu_e$ -fraction < 0.5 %
  - $\rightarrow\,$  requires efficient selection of  $\nu_e$



• • •



## Near Detector

## Two-fold purpose

- $\triangleright$  Measure  $\nu$ -flux:  $\sim 10^7$  events yr<sup>-1</sup> (200 d, 2.16  $\times 10^{23}$  p.o.t.)
- ▷ Measure  $\sigma_{\nu_e N}$ :  $\nu_e$ -fraction < 0.5 %
  - $\rightarrow\,$  requires efficient selection of  $\nu_e$





Two main components						
$\triangleright$	$ ightarrow 1.4  imes 1.4  imes 0.5  \mathrm{m^3}$					
${ m SFGD} \ arpropto \sim 10^6$ plastic scintillator cubes, $(1 imes 1 imes 1) \ { m cm}^3$						
Simil	lar to Hyper-K S	SuperFGD				
WC ▷	11 m length 4.7 m radius	<ul><li>▷ 30 % PMT coverage</li><li>▷ 3.5 inch PMTs</li></ul>				

2021.11.23 | Partikeldagarna 2021

# Electron-Neutrino Event Selection

- 1. Separating  $e^{\pm}$  from  $\mu^{\pm}$
- 2. Separating  $\nu_e$  from  $\nu_{\mu}$

#### Electron-Neutrino Event Selection

# Separating $e^{\pm}$ from $\mu^{\pm}$

Reject muons below Cherenkov threshold posing as electrons

## Reco. quality cut

Reject low-brightness and closeto-wall events for reco. quality

## Cherenkov-ring resolution cut

Reject events too close to tank wall in propagation direction

- ▷ Simulated with WCSim
- Reconstructed using fiTQun tuned to our detector

Thank you to Hyper-Kamiokande members:

E. O'Sullivan, M. Wilking,

C. Vilela, H. Tanaka, B. Quilain

A. Burgman



# Separating $e^{\pm}$ from $\mu^{\pm}$

Selection acceptance

- *e* 54.9 %
- $\mu$  50.3 %



# Separating $e^{\pm}$ from $\mu^{\pm}$

## Selection acceptance

- *e* 54.9 %
- $\mu$  50.3 %

Reco. performance

 $e \frac{\text{corr-ID } 97.9\%}{\text{mis-ID } 2.1\%}$   $\mu \frac{\text{corr-ID } 99.8\%}{\text{mis-ID } 0.2\%}$ 



## Separating $\nu_e$ from $\nu_\mu$

- ▷ Selection criteria for neutrino events
  - hinspace Rejecting events with  $\pi^{\pm}$

## Pion-like cut

Reject events identified as electrons, but more likely to be (neutral) pions

### Multi-subevent cut

Reject events with multiple subevents



Electron-Neutrino Event Selection

# Multi-subevent cut

## Multi-subevent cut

Reject events with multiple subevents  $(\geq 2 \text{ for } e, \geq 3 \text{ for } \mu)$ 

Assuming one final-state particle (when  $\triangleright$ there are multiple) gives poor initialenergy reconstruction performance



A. Burgman

10

 $10^{3}$ 

Event count [yr<sup>-1</sup>

## Event rate per 200 d running-year

Positive polarity $( u$ -select)	Tot. interactions Trigger	$\nu_{\mu}$ $7.25 \times 10^{7}$ $3.81 \times 10^{7}$	$\nu_e$ $3.57 \times 10^5$ $5.61 \times 10^4$	$ar{ u}_{\mu}$ 1.89 × 10 <sup>5</sup> 9.09 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	$ar{ u}_e$ 8.33 × 10 <sup>2</sup> 9.35 × 10 <sup>1</sup>
Negative polarity $(\bar{\nu}$ -select)	Tot. interactions Trigger	$egin{array}{c}  u_\mu \\ 6.88  imes 10^5 \\ 3.48  imes 10^5 \end{array}$	$ u_e $ 4.74 × 10 <sup>3</sup> 6.45 × 10 <sup>2</sup>	$ar{ u}_{\mu}$ $1.39 imes10^7$ $6.84 imes10^6$	$ar{ u}_e$ 4.12 × 10 <sup>4</sup> 5.04 × 10 <sup>3</sup>



 Efficient energy reconstruction

Quasi-elastic assumption:

$$E_{\nu} = \frac{m_F^2 - m_{IB}^2 - m_l^2 + 2m_{IB}E}{2(m_{IB} - E_l + p_l\cos\theta_l)}$$

#### A. Burgman

2021.11.23 | Partikeldagarna 2021

# Event rate per 200 d running-year

		$e$ -ID $ u_{\mu}$	$e$ -ID $ u_e$	$e ext{-}$ ID $ar u_\mu$	$e ext{-} ext{ID}~ar{ u}_e$
	Trigger	$1.09 \times 10^{7}$	$5.26 \times 10^{4}$	$2.66  imes 10^4$	$8.82  imes 10^{1}$
Positive polarity	Charged-lepton cuts	$5.72 \times 10^{5}$	$2.29  imes 10^4$	$1.43  imes 10^3$	$3.58 imes10^{1}$
$(\nu$ -select)	Neutrino cuts	$1.50  imes 10^4$	$1.10 imes10^4$	$4.11 imes10^{1}$	$3.27 \times 10^{1}$

 $S(\nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e)/B(\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu) \sim 0.7$ 

Negative polarity  $(\bar{\nu}$ -select)

 $S(\nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e)/B(\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu) \sim 1$ 

▷ Efficient increase of  $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$  fraction  $\Rightarrow$  measure  $\sigma_{\nu_e N}$ 

A. Burgman

2021.11.23 Partikeldagarna 2021

Summary

# Summary

• •

#### Summary

# Summary

## ${\rm LU~Group} \to {\rm ESSnuSB~ND}$

- ▷ Super Fine-Grained Detector ( $\sim 1 \text{ m}^3$ )
- ▷ Water-Cherenkov Detector (~ 1 kt)



## Purpose

- ▷ Measure  $\nu_{\mu}$ -flux
- ▷ Measure  $\sigma_{\nu_e N}$

A. Burgman



•

# Thank you

.

Backups

• • • • • • • • •

# Backups

A. Burgman

2021.11.23 | Partikeldagarna 2021

12 of 13

# Backup 1.1 — Super Fine-Grained Detector

Positive horn polarity (selecting  $\nu$ )

Similar to Hyper-K SuperFGD

 $\label{eq:rescaled} \begin{array}{l} \vartriangleright & 1.4 \times 1.4 \times 0.5 \mbox{ m}^3 \\ \cr & \backsim & 10^6 \mbox{ plastic scintillator cubes} \\ & \backsim & 1 \times 1 \times 1 \mbox{ cm}^3 \end{array}$ 

Mass 1030 kg C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub> 1014.55 kg C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>14</sub> 15.45 kg

	Time	Molecule	$v_{\mu}$	Ve	$\bar{v}_{\mu}$	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{e}$
C C	200 days	C8H8	57 334.5	309.178	120.694	0.557
		C18H14	828.734	4.46	1.644	0.007
		Total	58 163.3	313.638	122.339	0.565
N C	200 days	C8H8	39 471	167.746	117.034	0.4649
		C18H14	560.937	2.383	1.768	0.0066
		Total	40 031.9	170.129	118.802	0.4715

## Negative horn polarity (selecting $\bar{\nu}$ )

	Time	Molecule	$v_{\mu}$	Ve	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mu}$	$\overline{v}_{e}$
C C	200 days	C8H8	524.282	3.874	8 888.4	28.709
		C18H14	7.574	0.056	120.994	0.391
		Total	531.856	3.929	9 009.34	29.101
N C	200 days	C8H8	391.182	2.432	8 336.22	22.447
		C18H14	5.553	0.034	117.87	0.317
		Total	396.736	2.467	8 454.09	22.764

# Backup 2.1 — Separating $e^{\pm}$ from $\mu^{\pm}$

### Sub-Cherenkov cut

Reject muons below Cherenkov threshold posing as electrons



# Backup 2.2 — Separating $e^{\pm}$ from $\mu^{\pm}$

### Reco. quality cut

Reject low-brightness and closeto-wall events for reco. quality





12 of 13

# Backup 2.3 — Separating $e^{\pm}$ from $\mu^{\pm}$

### Cherenkov-ring resolution cut

Reject events too close to tank wall in propagation direction





#### A. Burgman

2021.11.23 Partikeldagarna 2021

#### 0 0 0 0 0 • 0

# Backup 3.1 — Separating $\nu_e$ from $\nu_{\mu}$

## Pion-like cut

Reject events identified as electrons, but more likely to be (neutral) pions





# Backup 3.2 — Separating $\nu_e$ from $\nu_{\mu}$

### Multi-subevent cut

Reject events with multiple subevents



