

### Why search for doubly charged Higgs?

- Doubly charged Higgs bosons can arise in various BSM theories
  - Left-right symmetric models, Higgs triplet models, little Higgs model, type-II seesaw models, ...
- Closely related to generation mechanism of neutrino mass.
- Hint for the existence of supersymmetry.
- Can decay to a pair of same-sign leptons which are rare in SM.



Feynman diagrams for several doubly charged Higgs production channel. arXiv:1105.1379v1





### Previous study by ATLAS on $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow l^{\pm}l'^{\pm}$

- Used pp data sample with Integrated luminosity 36.1  $fb^{-1}$  collected in 2015 and 2016 by the ATLAS detector at the LHC at  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV
- Only pair production via the Drell–Yan process was considered
- Total assumed branching ratio of H<sup>±±</sup> is
  B(H<sup>±±</sup> → l<sup>±</sup>l'<sup>±</sup>) + B(H<sup>±±</sup> → X) = 100%, while "X"
   does not enter any of the SRs. Only *e* and μ were
   considered.
- Partial decay width of  $H^{\pm\pm}$  to leptons is given by:

$$\Gamma(H^{\pm\pm} \to l^{\pm}l'^{\pm}) = \frac{1}{1+\delta_{ll'}} \frac{|\tilde{h}_{ll'}|^2 m_{H^{\pm\pm}}}{16\pi}, \tilde{h}_{ll'} = \begin{cases} 2h_{ll'} & l = l' \\ h_{ll'} & l \neq l' \end{cases}$$

• Masses studied:  $200 \leq m_{H^{\pm\pm}} \leq 1300~{\rm GeV}$ 



Drell-Yan pair production



### Previous study by ATLAS on $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow l^{\pm}l'^{\pm}$

- Used pp data sample with Integrated luminosity 36.1  $fb^{-1}$  collected in 2015 and 2016 by the ATLAS detector at the LHC at  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV
- Only pair production via the Drell–Yan process was considered
- Total assumed branching ratio of  $H^{\pm\pm}$  is  $B(H^{\pm\pm} \to l^{\pm}l'^{\pm}) + B(H^{\pm\pm} \to X) = 100\%$ , while "X" does not enter any of the SRs. Only e and  $\mu$  were considered.
- Partial decay width of  $H^{\pm\pm}$  to leptons is given by:

$$\Gamma(H^{\pm\pm} \to l^{\pm}l'^{\pm}) = \frac{1}{1+\delta_{ll'}} \frac{|\tilde{h}_{ll'}|^2 m_{H^{\pm\pm}}}{16\pi}, \tilde{h}_{ll'} = \begin{cases} 2h_{ll'} & l = l' \\ h_{ll'} & l \neq l' \end{cases}$$

• Masses studied:  $200 \le m_{H^{\pm\pm}} \le 1300 \text{ GeV}$  $\left|\tilde{h}_{ll'}\right|^2 = 2\left|m_{\nu}^{ij}\right|^2 / v_{\Delta}^2$ 





Branching ratios of  $H^{\pm\pm}$  into different final states vs. mass of  $H^{\pm\pm}$  for  $v_{\Lambda} = 1$  GeV,  $h_{ll} = 0.01$ . arXiv:1105.1379v1

### Previous study by ATLAS on $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow l^{\pm}l'^{\pm}$

- Used pp data sample with Integrated luminosity 36.1  $fb^{-1}$  collected in 2015 and 2016 by the ATLAS detector at the LHC at  $\sqrt{s}$ =13 TeV
- Only pair production via the Drell–Yan process was considered
- Total assumed branching ratio of H<sup>±±</sup> is B(H<sup>±±</sup> → l<sup>±</sup>l'<sup>±</sup>) + B(H<sup>±±</sup> → X) = 100%, while "X" does not enter any of the SRs. Only *e* and μ were considered.
- Partial decay width of  $H^{\pm\pm}$  to leptons is given by:

$$\Gamma(H^{\pm\pm} \to l^{\pm}l'^{\pm}) = \frac{1}{1+\delta_{ll'}} \frac{|\tilde{h}_{ll'}|^2 m_{H^{\pm\pm}}}{16\pi}, \tilde{h}_{ll'} = \begin{cases} 2h_{ll'} & l = l' \\ h_{ll'} & l \neq l' \end{cases}$$

• Masses studied:  $200 \le m_{H^{\pm\pm}} \le 1300 \text{ GeV} |\tilde{h}_{ll'}|^2 = 2 |m_{\nu}^{ij}|^2 / v_{\Delta}^2$ 



 $\rightarrow ab)$ 

 $Br(H^{++}$ 

Branching ratios of  $H^{\pm\pm}$  into different final states vs. vacuum expectation value. arXiv:1611.09594v2





arXiv: 1710.09748v1

What's next??



### Add tau to the analysis!

- Excellent probe to new physics due to heavy mass (larger coupling to the SM Higgs)
- Based on the lower limits on  $H^{\pm\pm}$ , it's likely that tau appears in the decay products
- Only interested in hadronic decay modes of tau





### What am I working on...

- Add hadronically decaying taus to the current analysis framework (TNAnalysis)
- Apply selections on the ntuples (Sherpa 2.2.1  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$  and data taken from 2015 to 2017)
  - $p_T \geq 30 \text{ GeV}$
  - Trigger matching (HLT\_tau35\_medium1\_tracktwo\_tau25\_medium1\_tracktwo)
  - Truth info matching (only for MC)
- Use data-driven method to perform charge flip rate estimation



#### Charge flip for tau

- Types of charge flip for electrons
  - Stiff tracks (high  $p_T \rightarrow$  straighter tracks)
  - Trident events
- Assume Poissonian distribution for expected number of charge flipped events  $\lambda$

$$P(N_{SS};\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{N_{SS}}e^{-\lambda}}{N_{SS}!}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a function of the charge flip probability  $\epsilon(p_T, \eta) = f(\eta) \cdot \sigma(p_T)$ . Require  $f(\eta)$  to be normalized.

• The expected number of charge flipped events:

$$\lambda_{i,j} = \epsilon_i (1 - \epsilon_j) N_{AS}^{ij} + (1 - \epsilon_i) \epsilon_j N_{AS}^{ij}$$

Maximum likelihood method

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda; N_{SS}) = \prod_{N_{SS}} P(N_{SS}; \lambda) = \prod_{N_{SS}} \frac{\lambda^{N_{SS}} e^{-\lambda}}{N_{SS}!}$$



#### $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ mass spectrum of MC



#### $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ mass spectrum of data





# Current results on charge-flip rate for MC without prongness







### What about charge-flip rate including prongness?

- •Still working on it...
- •Challenges
  - •Two times more parameters to minimize
    - $\epsilon(p_T, \eta, prongness) = f(\eta) \cdot \sigma(p_T) \cdot Y(prongness)$
  - The current minimization method need to be modified as normalization requirement on  $\eta$  does not seem to work well if we have  $\eta_{1-prong}$  and  $\eta_{3-prong}$



### Future plans

- •Perform charge-flip rate on 1-prong and 3-prong taus.
- Data have huge background. More studies on the background is required.

#### ng taus. the background is



### Backup slides



### Previous $H^{++} + H^{--} \rightarrow l^+ l^+ l^- l^-$ analysis

- Selection:
  - e and  $\mu$
  - 2-, 3-, and 4-lepton final states
  - b-jet veto
  - Z veto on 1P3L & 2P4L
  - $\Delta R$ ,  $p_T$  cuts
- Signal regions
  - 1P2L, 1P3L, 2P4L
- Control regions
  - Opposite-charge control region
  - Diboson control region
  - Diboson in 4*l* region
- Validation regions
  - Same-charge validation region
  - 3*l* validation region
  - 4*l* validation region



# arXiv: 1710.09748v1





## Relative uncertainties in the total background yield estimation



arXiv: 1710.09748v1



### Summary of the results from previous study

| Branching ratio assumption                      | Type of $H^{\pm\pm}$ | Lower lir        |
|---|----------------------|------------------|
| $Br(H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow l^{\pm\pm}) = 100\%$ | $H_L^{\pm\pm}$       | Vary be<br>and a |
| $Br(H^{\pm\pm} \to l^{\pm\pm}) = 10\%$          | $H_L^{\pm\pm}$       | 45               |
| $Br(H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow l^{\pm\pm}) = 100\%$ | $H_R^{\pm\pm}$       | Vary be<br>and   |
| $Br(H^{\pm\pm} \to l^{\pm\pm}) = 10\%$          | $H_R^{\pm\pm}$       | 32               |

mit for mass

etween 770 870 GeV

0 GeV

etween 660 760 GeV

0 GeV



#### Charge-flip rate for electrons

