Complementarity in Dark Matter Searches

Riccardo Catena

Chalmers University

October 17 , 2018



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

CHALMERS

Overview



Example: DM Direct Detection

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Generality} \Rightarrow \textsf{Model independent} \\ \textsf{data interpretation via EFT} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Accuracy} \Rightarrow \textbf{Astrophysical and} \\ \textbf{nuclear physics uncertainties} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Diversity} \Rightarrow \textbf{Alternatives to} \\ \textbf{WIMP DM (e.g. Light Dark} \\ \textbf{Matter)} \end{array}$

 $\label{eq:Synergy} \textbf{Synergy} \Rightarrow \textbf{Collaboration with} \\ \textbf{nuclear and solid state physicists}$

Complementarity⇒ Identifying experimental inputs constraining DM properties unaccessible to direct detection

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Complementarity in WIMP DM searches



- The LHC searches for missing transverse momentum in proton collisions
- Direct detection experiments search for DM-nucleus scattering events
- Indirect detection experiments search for DM pair annihilation products

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- WIMP spin
- Hierarchy of constraints

Theoretical framework

- I will focus on a general class of simplified models for spin ≤ 1 DM interacting with quarks
 J. B. Dent, L. M. Krauss, J. L. Newstead and S. Sabharwal, Phys. Rev. D 92, no. 6, 063515 (2015)
 S. Baum, R. Catena, J. Conrad, K. Freese and M. B. Krauss, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) no.8, 083002
 R. Catena, J. Conrad and M. B. Krauss, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) no.10, 103002
- Within this framework, models can be classified in terms of WIMP and mediator spin
- Each model is characterised by 4 parameters: two masses and two coupling constants
- Each model can be mapped onto a (linear combination) of DM-nucleon interaction operators
- These operators define the non relativistic effective theory of DM-nucleon interactions (NRET)

Direct Detection – LHC Complementarity

Research question

- Direct detection experiments and the LHC are complementary in probing DM models:
 - Direct detection probes coherently enhanced DM-nucleus scattering cross sections
 - The LHC probes models with momentum or velocity suppressed scattering cross sections
- Can we exploit this type of complementarity to gain insight into the DM particle spin?
- \blacksquare Yes, if an experiment like XENONnT will be able to detection $\mathcal{O}(100)$ signal events

DM particle spin combining direct detection and LHC (I)

S. Baum, R. Catena, J. Conrad, K. Freese and M. B. Krauss, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) no.8, 083002 R. Catena, J. Conrad and M. B. Krauss, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) no.10, 103002



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

DM particle spin combining direct detection and LHC (II)

S. Baum, R. Catena, J. Conrad, K. Freese and M. B. Krauss, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) no.8, 083002 R. Catena, J. Conrad and M. B. Krauss, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) no.10, 103002



(日)、

э

Other approaches to WIMP spin identification

Large exposure & LHC Run 3 (this talk)

S. Baum, R. Catena, J. Conrad, K. Freese and M. B. Krauss, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) no.8, 083002 R. Catena, J. Conrad and M. B. Krauss, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) no.10, 103002

Large exposure & directional information

R. Catena, J. Conrad, C. Dring, A. D. Ferella and M. B. Krauss, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) no.2, 023007

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Large exposure & polarised target materials

R. Catena, K. Fridell and V. Zema, arXiv:1810.01515 [hep-ph].

Direct Detection – Neutrino Telescopes Complementarity

- Direct detection experiments and neutrino telescopes are complementary in probing DM models:
 - Direct detection probes coherently enhanced DM-nucleus scattering cross sections
 - Neutrino telescopes probe spin-dependent DM-proton scattering cross sections
- Is there any other type of interaction that neutrino telescopes can probe better than direct detection?
- Yes, inelastic DM-nucleus interactions, for large mass splittings between incoming and outgoing DM particle

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Kinematics of inelastic DM-nucleus scattering

• When there is a mass splitting δ between incoming and outgoing DM particle, one has

$$E_R^{\pm} = \frac{\mu^2}{m_T} w^2 \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\delta}{\mu w^2}} \right) - \frac{\mu}{m_T} \delta$$
$$w \ge w_{\min} \equiv \Re \sqrt{2\delta/\mu}$$

 Furthermore, when the DM particle is heavier than the target nucleus, one finds

$$E_R^- \simeq \delta; \qquad w_{\min} \simeq \Re \sqrt{2\delta/m_T}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

Solar capture rates

R. Catena and F. Hellström, arXiv:1808.08082



▶ Ξ • • • • • •

Exclusion limits from IceCube and direct detection

R. Catena and F. Hellström, arXiv:1808.08082



DM@Chalmers



Riccardo Catena (PI)



Martin Krauss (Postdoc)



Vanessa Zema (PhD student)



Anastasia Danopoulou (Master student)



Fredrik Hellström (Master student)



Anton Bäckström (Master student)



Kåre Fridell (Master student)

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへぐ

Conclusion



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?