Gamma-Ray Bursts at high and very high energies

Lara Nava
Marie Skłodowska-Curie Fellow

INAF
Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera - Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste

GRBs: powerful explosions at cosmological distances



PROMPT emission

internal shocks or reconnection

Particle acceleration: non-thermal

Radiative process: synchrotron??

Duration: seconds

Energy range: 10 keV - 10 MeV

AFTERGLOW emission

external shocks

Particle acceleration: non-thermal

Radiative process: synchrotron

Duration: weeks-months

Energy range: radio to soft X-rays

GRBs: powerful explosions at cosmological distances





PROMPT emission

internal shocks or reconnection

Particle acceleration: non-thermal

Radiative process: synchrotron??

Duration: seconds

Energy range: 10 keV - 10 MeV

AFTERGLOW emission

external shocks

Particle acceleration: non-thermal

Radiative process: synchrotron

Duration: weeks-months

Energy range: radio to soft X-rays

GRBs: powerful explosions at cosmological distances



PROMPT emission

internal shocks or reconnection

Particle acceleration: non-thermal

Radiative process: synchrotron??

Duration: seconds

Energy range: 10 keV - 10 MeV

AFTERGLOW emission

external shocks

Particle acceleration: non-thermal

Radiative process: synchrotron

Duration: weeks-months

Energy range: radio to soft X-rays

GRBs: powerful explosions at cosmological distances



PROMPT emission

internal shocks or reconnection

Particle acceleration: non-thermal

Radiative process: synchrotron??

Duration: seconds

Energy range: 10 keV - 10 MeV

AFTERGLOW emission

external shocks

Particle acceleration: non-thermal

Radiative process: synchrotron

Duration: weeks-months

Energy range: radio to soft X-rays

GRBs: powerful explosions at cosmological distances



PROMPT emission

internal shocks or reconnection

Particle acceleration: non-thermal

Radiative process: synchrotron??

Duration: seconds

Energy range: 10 keV - 10 MeV

AFTERGLOW emission

external shocks

Particle acceleration: non-thermal

Radiative process: synchrotron

Duration: weeks-months

Energy range: radio to soft X-rays

The GRB electromagnetic spectrum

AFTERGLOW

PROMPT

HIGH ENERGY

VERY-HIGH ENERGY



10^{10} Hz

10^{14} Hz

1 keV

10^{2} keV

10 MeV

1GeV

10^{2}GeV

10TeV

radio

optical

soft X-rays

hard X-rays

gamma-rays ⟶



The GRB electromagnetic spectrum

AFTERGLOW

PROMPT

HIGH ENERGY

VERY-HIGH ENERGY



- continuation of prompt/aft spectra
- Inverse Compton scattered component

10^{10} Hz — radio

10^{14} Hz — optical

1 keV — soft X-rays

10^{2} keV — hard X-rays

10 MeV — gamma-rays →

1GeV

10^{2}GeV

10TeV



The GRB electromagnetic spectrum

AFTERGLOW

PROMPT

HIGH ENERGY

VERY-HIGH ENERGY

- continuation of prompt/aft spectra
- Inverse Compton scattered component



EGRET, AGILE, Fermi-LAT

20 MeV - 300 GeV

130 GRBs detected in 9yr

The GRB electromagnetic spectrum





EGRET, AGILE, Fermi-LAT

20 MeV - 300 GeV

130 GRBs detected in 9yr

MAGIC, HESS, VERITAS

50-100 GeV — 100 TeV

no detections!



High-energy emission: Fermi-LAT observations

High-energy LAT lightcurves for 10 GRBs, 0.1-10 GeV





High-energy emission: Fermi-LAT observations

High-energy LAT lightcurves for 10 GRBs, 0.1-10 GeV



Optical lightcurves



High-energy emission: modelling and interpretation

Issue with a external shock- synchrotron interpretation of the GeV emission

Electrons are accelerated up to some maximum energy γ_{max} → Synchrotron photons are emitted up to a maximum energy $E_{syn,max}$

$$E_{syn,max} \propto \gamma_{max}^2 B \, \mathbf{\Gamma}$$

$$\simeq 50 \text{ MeV x } \mathbf{\Gamma}$$



High-energy emission: modelling and interpretation

Issue with a external shock- synchrotron interpretation of the GeV emission

Electrons are accelerated up to some maximum energy γ_{max} → Synchrotron photons are emitted up to a maximum energy $E_{syn,max}$

$$E_{syn,max} \propto \gamma_{max}^2 B \, \mathbf{\Gamma}$$

$$\simeq 50 \text{ MeV x } \mathbf{\Gamma}$$



SSC ??? (synchrotron self-Compton)

Inverse Compton component?



Inverse Compton component?



Inverse Compton component?





Tam et al. 2013

Why should we care about what happens at high energies?

- nature of radiative processes
- energetics and efficiencies
- magnetic fields
- particle acceleration
- EBL (extragal. background light)
- quantum gravity

GRB physics

more general relevance

Why should we care about what happens at high energies?

CTA

Cherenkov Telescope Array



Large-Sized Telescopes (LST)
30 - 200 GeV

Simulation GRB spectrum

Inoue et al. 2013



huge number of events!

...but small detection rate:
0.1 - 1 per year

Inverse Compton component?



Inverse Compton component?



Inverse Compton component?



Prospects for GRB detection with the CTA

…work in progress…

What are we doing in Trieste



Ongoing activity and future prospects

- Development of a code for modeling afterglow emission describing synchrotron and SCC emission, Klein-Nishina, pair production. Solution of two coupled equations for evolution of particle and photon spectra

Two examples of syn+SSC spectra and lightcurves from the code





- Application to Fermi-LAT GRBs: can high-energy photons be explained by SSC radiation?
- CTA GRB detection rate

The collaboration



Thank you for the attention!

Beniamini, LN, Barniol-Duran & Piran 2015

High-energy emission: modelling and interpretation

Consequences on the inferred prompt mechanism efficiency!!



inferred from X-rays:
internal shocks ruled out!

→ jet must be magnetically dominated

inferred from GeV:
internal shocks possible!

→ internal shocks (and matter dominated jets) are still viable

Beniamini, LN, Barniol-Duran & Piran 2015

High-energy emission: modelling and interpretation



synchrotron afterglow spectrum

afterglow flux measured at a frequency above ν_c is a proxy for $E_{blastwave}$

Beniamini, LN, Barniol-Duran & Piran 2015

High-energy emission: modelling and interpretation



synchrotron afterglow spectrum

afterglow flux measured at a frequency above ν_c is a proxy for $E_{blastwave}$

Beniamini, LN, Barniol-Duran & Piran 2015

High-energy emission: modelling and interpretation



afterglow flux measured at a frequency above ν_c is a proxy for $E_{blastwave}$



inconsistency between X-ray and GeV observations!??!!?

Beniamini, LN, Barniol-Duran & Piran 2015

High-energy emission: modelling and interpretation





afterglow flux measured at a frequency above ν_c is a proxy for $E_{blastwave}$



inconsistency between X-ray and GeV observations!??!!?

Beniamini, LN, Barniol-Duran & Piran 2015

High-energy emission: modelling and interpretation





afterglow flux measured at a frequency above ν_c is a proxy for $E_{blastwave}$



inconsistency between X-ray and GeV observations!??!!?

Beniamini, LN, Barniol-Duran & Piran 2015

High-energy emission: modelling and interpretation



afterglow flux measured at a frequency above ν_c is a proxy for $E_{blastwave}$



inconsistency between X-ray and GeV observations!??!!?





Beniamini, LN, Barniol-Duran & Piran 2015

High-energy emission: modelling and interpretation



afterglow flux measured at a frequency above ν_c is a proxy for $E_{blastwave}$



inconsistency between X-ray and GeV observations!??!!?





Beniamini, LN,
Barniol-Duran & Piran 2015

High-energy emission: modelling and interpretation

upper limits to ε_B



Density

traditionally assumed values > 10^{-2}

SSC ???
(synchrotron
self-Compton)

similar results found by:
Kumar & Barniol Duran 2009
Lemoine 2013a/b
Santana et al., 2014

Possible explanation for such small values of the magnetic field

Lemoine 2013, Lemoine+, 2013