10 years of Higgs boson measurements lessons learned and plans for the future

Roberto Salerno LLR - Ecole Polytechnique









The Brout-Englert-Higgs (BEH) mechanism

"In one slide"

- The economical¹⁾ way to endow fundamental particles with mass while keeping the theory gauge invariant and predictive
- The field is responsible for the spontaneous breaking of electroweak symmetry

1) less economical (Higgs doublets, families of Higgses, ...) or more complicated (Higgs-less solutions, Technicolor, ...) routes exist







The Brout-Englert-Higgs (BEH) mechanism

"In one slide"

- The economical¹⁾ way to endow fundamental particles with mass while keeping the theory gauge invariant and predictive
- The field is responsible for the spontaneous breaking of electroweak symmetry



1) less economical (Higgs doublets, families of Higgses, ...) or more complicated (Higgs-less solutions, Technicolor, ...) routes exist



1 new particle the Higgs boson (H) 1 unknown the Higgs boson mass (m_H)





The Higgs boson is special

It is a fundamental scalar particle (spin 0) and its theory is unlike anything else has been seen in Nature!

A gauge interaction with vector bosons

 $\mathcal{J} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{mv} F^{mv}$ +iTX1 $+ Y_i Y_i Y_j \phi$

A Yukawa interaction with the fermions

A potential V(ϕ)~- $\mu^2(\phi\phi^{\dagger})$ + $\lambda(\phi\phi^{\dagger})^2$ the keystone of the BEH mechanism and SM







LHC : a new dimension in particle physics

The world's largest and most powerful particle accelerator



21 metres long, 15 metres wide and 15 metres high 4 Tesla field (~10⁶ times the magnetic field of the Earth)





The Higgs boson production and decay "just a reminder"

 σ =49 pb / 6.9M Higgs in 140fb⁻¹









Years of unprecedented moments in HEP



"Intermezzo"

In 2010 LHC started to deliver proton-proton collisions

4		1
7		1
		1

	1		
_	_	_	
1			

"intermezzo"

In 2010 LHC started to deliver proton-proton collisions 50 years of particle physics ... in few weeks of data taking

U. Milano-Bicocca - 27/02/2023 - Roberto Salerno - 9

4	
/	

Years of unprecedented moments in HEP

Years of unprecedented moments in HEP

Years of unprecedented moments in HEP

The big five

High mass resolution decay modes: $H \rightarrow ZZ$ / $H \rightarrow YY$ Lower mass resolution decay modes: $H \rightarrow WW / H \rightarrow bb / H \rightarrow \tau \tau$

The open boxes on July 4th 2012

The New York Eimes

COBS.COM

Wednesday, July 4, 2012 Last Update: 6:54 AM ET

TRY A TIMES DIGITAL SUBSCRIPTION: 4 WEEKS FOR 99¢.

Discovery of **New Particle Could Redefine Physical World**

Search

By DENNIS OVERBYE 21 minutes ago The discovery by physicists at CERN's Large Hadron Collider, if confirmed to be the Higgs boson particle, could lead to a new understanding of how the universe began.

 The Lede Blog: What in the World Is a Higgs Boson? 4:16 AM ET

abrice Coffrini/Agence France-Presse — Getty

More than a billion people saw the news on TV, on five thousand broadcasts on a thousand TV stations Ten thousand news articles in a hundred countries

In praise of charter schools Britain's banking scandal spreads Volkswagen overtakes the rest A power struggle at the Vatican Economist.com When Lonesome George met Nora

A giant leap for

🕼 Tarvitset uudennan version mediasoittimesta. Areenan ohjelmat tojujyat Elash playerin versiolla 10.1. taj uudennalla

July 2013 European Physics Society HEPP Prize

"Discovery of a Higgs boson, a scalar particle whose associated field breaks electroweak symmetry and generates mass" awarded to the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations

October 2013 Nobel Prize

"For the theoretical discovery of a mechanism that contributes to our understanding of the origin of mass of subatomic particles, and which recently was confirmed through the discovery of the predicted fundamental particle, by the ATLAS and CMS experiments at CERN's LHC" awarded jointly to François Englert and Peter W. Higgs

Years of unprecedented moments in HEP

Years of unprecedented moments in HEP

Years of unprecedented moments in HEP

Complete characterisation of the Higgs boson profile

(exploiting both H-Z, H-W, and H- γ interactions and its couplings with 3rd/2nd-family fermion)

Study of the Higgs boson self-coupling

Complete characterisation of the Higgs boson profile

(exploiting both H-Z, H-W, and H- γ interactions and its couplings with 3rd/2nd-family fermion)

Study of the Higgs boson self-coupling

Complete characterisation of the Higgs boson profile

(exploiting both H-Z, H-W, and H- γ interactions and its couplings with 3rd/2nd-family fermion)

The Higgs boson as a tool to reveal the mysteries of Universe

(Dark matter, BSM,)

The experimental H profile

Citation: R.L. Workman et al. (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022, 083C01 (2022)

H^0

In the following H^0 refers to the signal that has been discovered in the Higgs searches. Whereas the observed signal is labeled as a spin 0 particle and is called a Higgs Boson, the detailed properties of H^0 and its role in the context of electroweak symmetry breaking need to be further clarified. These issues are addressed by the measurements listed below.

Charged Higgs Bosons (H^{\pm} and $H^{\pm\pm}$)", respectively.

H⁰ MASS VALUE (GeV) DOCUMENT ID 125.25±0.17 OUR AVERAGE ¹ SIRUNYAN $125.46 \!\pm\! 0.16$

J = 0

Concerning mass limits and cross section limits that have been obtained in the searches for neutral and charged Higgs bosons, see the sections "Searches for Neutral Higgs Bosons" and "Searches for

TECN COMMENT Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below. 20L CMS pp, 13 TeV, $\gamma\gamma$, $ZZ^*
ightarrow 4\ell$

boson mass is free input parameter of the theory

The Higgs boson mass is not a prediction of the theory but the Higgs

boson mass is free input parameter of the theory

boson mass is an important¹⁾ ingredient in SM predictions of many "m_H-dependent" SM observables: Higgs boson observables : couplings, branching ratios, width

mixing angle,

- The Higgs boson mass is not a prediction of the theory but the Higgs
- The Higgs boson mass measurement is not a test of the SM but the Higgs
 - Electroweak observables : mass of the W boson, mass of the top quark, effective weak

boson mass is free input parameter of the theory

boson mass is an important¹⁾ ingredient in SM predictions of many "m_H-dependent" SM observables: Higgs boson observables : couplings, branching ratios, width

mixing angle,

scales and ultimately with the vacuum stability

1) I would argue that is the most important

- It was the second se
- The Higgs boson mass measurement is not a test of the SM but the Higgs
 - Electroweak observables : mass of the W boson, mass of the top quark, effective weak

The Higgs boson mass value is connected to the Fermi and the Planck

m_H and effects on EWK observables

Approximated parametrisation for the mass of W boson (m_W) : $M_{\rm W} = M_{\rm W}^0 - c_1 \,\mathrm{dH} - c_2 \,\mathrm{dH}^2 + c_3 \,\mathrm{dH}^4 + c_4 (\mathrm{dh} - 1) - c_5 \,\mathrm{d\alpha} + c_6 \,\mathrm{dt} - c_7 \,\mathrm{dt}^2$ $-c_8 \,\mathrm{dH} \,\mathrm{dt} + c_9 \,\mathrm{dh} \,\mathrm{dt} - c_{10} \,\mathrm{d\alpha}_8 + c_{11} \,\mathrm{dZ},$

where

$$\frac{1}{100 \text{ GeV}}, \quad dh = \left(\frac{M_{\text{H}}}{100 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{2}, \quad dt = \left(\frac{m_{\text{t}}}{174.3 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{2} - 1, \\ -1, \quad d\alpha = \frac{\Delta\alpha}{0.05907} - 1, \quad d\alpha_{\text{s}} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{s}}(M_{\text{Z}})}{0.119} - 1,$$

m_H and effects on EWK observables

Approximated parametrisation for the mass of W boson (m_W) : $M_{\rm W} = M_{\rm W}^0 - c_1 \,\mathrm{dH} - c_2 \,\mathrm{dH}^2 + c_3 \,\mathrm{dH}^4 + c_4 (\mathrm{dh} - 1) - c_5 \,\mathrm{d\alpha} + c_6 \,\mathrm{dt} - c_7 \,\mathrm{dt}^2$

$$\begin{array}{l} \hline \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathrm{dh} = \left(\frac{M_{\mathrm{H}}}{100 \ \mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{2}, \quad \mathrm{dt} = \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{t}}}{174.3 \ \mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{2} - 1, \\ \hline -1, \quad \mathrm{d\alpha} = \frac{\Delta\alpha}{0.05907} - 1, \quad \mathrm{d\alpha}_{\mathrm{s}} = \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(M_{\mathrm{Z}})}{0.119} - 1, \end{array}$$

Significant one loop corrections growing like the logarithm of $m_{\rm H}$.

The m_H measurement

The concept oversimplified

The m_H measurement

The concept oversimplified

"Mass Peaks"

using high resolution channels $(4\ell + \gamma \gamma)$

The m_H measurement

The concept oversimplified

"Mass Peaks"

using high resolution channels $(4\ell + \gamma \gamma)$

"Mass Measurements"

m_H: best precision to date

Combination of channels

 CMS		
Run 1: 5.1 fb ⁻¹ (7 TeV) + 19.7 fb 2016: 35.9 fb ⁻¹ (13 TeV)	1 fb ⁻¹ (7 TeV) + 19.7 fb ⁻¹ (8 TeV) 9 fb ⁻¹ (13 TeV)	
		Total (Stat. 0
Run 1 H⊸γγ		124.70 ± 0.34 (± 0.3
Run 1 H→ ZZ→ 4I		125.59 ± 0.46 (± 0.4
Run 1 Combined		125.07 ± 0.28 (± 0.2
2016 H→γγ	•===•	125.78 ± 0.26 (± 0.1
2016 H→ ZZ→ 4I		125.26 ± 0.21 (± 0.1
2016 Combined	ter	125.46 ± 0.16 (± 0.1
Run 1 + 2016		125.38 ± 0.14 (± 0.1
122 123 12	24 125 126	127 128

m_H: best precision to date

Combination of channels

CMS	
Run 1: 5.1 fb ⁻¹ (7 TeV) + 19.7 fb ⁻¹ (8 TeV) 2016: 35.9 fb ⁻¹ (13 TeV)	🗕 Total 📃 Stat. C
	Total (Stat. 0
Run 1 H→γγ ⊷ー	124.70 ± 0.34 (± 0.3
Run 1 H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I	125.59 ± 0.46 (± 0.4
Run 1 Combined	125.07 ± 0.28 (± 0.2
2016 H→γγ	125.78 ± 0.26 (± 0.1
2016 H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I	125.26 ± 0.21 (± 0.1
2016 Combined	125.46 ± 0.16 (± 0.1
Run 1 + 2016	125.38 ± 0.14 (± 0.1
122 123 124 125 126	127 128
Uncertainty 140	MeV <mark>(0.11%)</mark>

MH: best precision to date

Precision driven by statistics, but photon, electron and muon scale and resolution systematics will soon become limiting!

Some measurements still based on partia Run 2 datasets: more improvements to come, and ATLAS+CMS mass combinatio

Combination of channels

	CMS	
	Run 1: 5.1 fb ⁻¹ (7 TeV) + 19.7 fb ⁻¹ (8 TeV) 2016: 35.9 fb ⁻¹ (13 TeV)	🗕 Total 📃 Stat. C
/	Run 1 Ηγγ	Total (Stat. 0 124.70 ± 0.34 (± 0.3
	Run 1 H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I	125.59 ± 0.46 (± 0.4
	Run 1 Combined	125.07 ± 0.28 (± 0.2
	2016 H→γγ	125.78 ± 0.26 (± 0.1
al	$2016 \text{ H} \rightarrow \text{ZZ} \rightarrow 4\text{I}$	125.26 ± 0.21 (± 0.1
4 1	2016 Combined	125.46 ± 0.16 (± 0.1
n	Run 1 + 2016	125.38 ± 0.14 (± 0.1
	122 123 124 125 126	127 128
	Uncertainty 140	MeV <mark>(0.11%)</mark>





Projections on m_H

at the High-Luminosity LHC with the CMS detector

Expected m_H measurement uncertainty, given in MeV, in the two different scenarios: **Optimistic and Pessimistic**

$m_{4\ell}$ expected uncertainty (MeV)	inclusive	4μ	4e	2e2µ	2µ2e				
Optimistic									
Total	26	30	105	60	67				
Syst impact	16	11	64	31	32				
Stat only	22	28	83	51	59				
Pessimistic									
Total	30	32	206	107	112				
Syst impact	20	15	189	94	95				
Stat only	22	28	83	51	59				

Stat>Syst but same magnitude







Projections on m_H

at the High-Luminosity LHC with the CMS detector

Expected m_H measurement uncertainty, given in MeV, in the two different scenarios: **Optimistic and Pessimistic**

$m_{4\ell}$ expected uncertainty (MeV)	inclusive	4μ	4e	2e2µ	2µ2e				
Optimistic									
Total	26	30	105	60	67				
Syst impact	16	11	64	31	32				
Stat only	22	28	83	51	59				
Pessimistic									
Total	30	32	206	107	112				
Syst impact	20	15	189	94	95				
Stat only	22	28	83	51	59				

Stat>Syst but same magnitude

> than a factor 7 (210 MeV \Rightarrow 26-30 MeV) compared to the 4l current measurement

Improvements due to: a factor 10 in luminosity, the new tracker with less material, the precision and stability of the HGCal, the improvements to the barrel calorimeters, and the pileup suppression provided by the new MTD.











The Higgs boson width





A crucial parameter for BSM searches, in SM $c\tau_{\rm H} = 48$ fm, small width $\Gamma_{\rm H} = 4.1$ MeV

We have long experience with heavy EW bosons (W and Z). However, their width is $\Gamma_H \sim 2 \text{ GeV}$!







The Higgs boson width



The direct measurements it is extremely hard! In addition, the total width is the sum of all the partial widths, on the contrary of LEP, at LHC only σxBR can be measured.



A crucial parameter for BSM searches, in SM $c\tau_{\rm H} = 48$ fm, small width $\Gamma_{\rm H} = 4.1$ MeV

We have long experience with heavy EW bosons (W and Z). However, their width is $\Gamma_H \sim 2 \text{ GeV}$!







The Higgs boson width : strategies

Direct measurements on-shell line shape lifetime

Indirect measurements couplings off-shell production





The Higgs boson width : strategies

Direct measurements on-shell line shape lifetime

Indirect measurements couplings off-shell production

Limited by detector resolutions

The way out







From off-shell production



Indirect measurement

The fixed-width Breit-Wigner scheme is generally good in describe the inclusive differential ($d\sigma/dm^2$) Higgs boson production







From off-shell production



... as soon as we restrict to VV decay channel there is a large off-shell contribution above the VV threshold (high Higgs virtuality), it means that two q² propagators compensate and the cross section is enhanced.

Indirect measurement

The fixed-width Breit-Wigner scheme is generally good in describe the inclusive differential ($d\sigma/dm^2$) Higgs boson production

... but ...









l scans of $\Gamma_{\rm H}$. Left plot: Results of SM-like couplings analysis and the second se sults of the combined Roan devand Run 2 data analyses, with 2015 data included in the on-shell e, for the SM-like couplings or with three unconstrained anomalous coupling barameters?





Measurement of the Higgs boson width







After 10 years many signal strength modifiers¹⁾ measured



1) μ scale cross sections and branching fractions relative to the SM

decay channels



Higgs boson couplings

After 10 years many signal strength modifiers¹⁾ measured **5 main** production channels and **5 main** decay channels are observed



1) μ scale cross sections and branching fractions relative to the SM



decay channels



Higgs boson couplings vs. mass

Remarkable agreement with the predictions of the BEH mechanism over 3 orders of magnitude of mass!

Coupling modifier k_j : parameterisation of inclusive production and decay rates e.g. $k_j^2 = \sigma / \sigma_{SM}$









Higgs boson couplings vs. mass

Remarkable agreement with the predictions of the BEH mechanism over 3 orders of magnitude of mass!

~5% precision on k_V Observation (>5 σ) of coupling with 3rd gen. Evidence (>3 σ) of coupling with 2nd gen.

Coupling modifier k_j : parameterisation of inclusive production and decay rates e.g. $k_j^2 = \sigma / \sigma_{SM}$









Higgs boson couplings vs. mass

Remarkable agreement with the predictions of the BEH mechanism over 3 orders of magnitude of mass!

~5% precision on k_V

Observation (>5 σ) of coupling with 3rd gen.

Evidence (>3 σ) of coupling with 2nd gen.

Observation of fundamental interaction (the Yukawa) is important as observation of a fundamental particle.

Coupling modifier k_j : parameterisation of inclusive production and decay rates e.g. $k_j^2 = \sigma / \sigma_{SM}$









Projections of H couplings









- Many beyond SM scenarios predict only %-level deviations from SM !
- About 20× more Higgs bosons expected from Run3 and High-Luminosity LHC
- → Harsher experimental conditions require upgrades of our detectors









Does the Higgs boson interact with itself?



Does the Higgs boson interact with itself?

All other interactions change particle identity.

The Higgs boson cubic (λ_3^{SM}) and quartic (λ_4^{SM}) couplings are the keys to check the EWSB. The Higgs boson potential is :

$$\mathcal{U} \subset -\frac{m_h^2}{2}h^2 - \lambda_3^{SM}vh^3 - \lambda_4^{SM}h$$

Direct test of cubic coupling only with HH production #

- A self-interacting Higgs (as SM predicts) would be unlike anything yet seen in nature.









Does the Higgs boson interact with itself?

All other interactions change particle identity.

The Higgs boson **cubic** (λ_3^{SM}) and **quartic** (λ_4^{SM}) couplings are the keys to check the EWSB. The Higgs boson potential is :

$$\mathcal{U} \subset -\frac{m_h^2}{2}h^2 - \lambda_3^{SM}vh^3 - \lambda_4^{SM}h$$

Direct test of cubic coupling only with HH production⁴ #

The thermal history of the Universe'

Deviations from SM Higgs boson self-coupling cause a modified potential that allows **first-order electroweak phase** transition and hence an explanation of the observed matter vs anti-matter asymmetry!

> We need to probe size of modification down to 1.4, the expected uncertainty of the measurement should be $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$

- A self-interacting Higgs (as SM predicts) would be unlike anything yet seen in nature.

 - hep-ph/1711.00019 1st order $_{13}/\lambda_{H^3,0}$ 1.5••••• $\phi^4 \ln \phi^2$ SM* 0.20.40.6 0.81.2() λ_j







HH production cross sections within SM







HH production cross sections within SM



















Putting all together

Not a single "golden" channel but various contributions to the overall sensitivity





Putting all together : HH production

Not a single "golden" channel but various contributions to the overall sensitivity



ATLAS: ATLAS-CONF-2022-050 CMS: Nature 607, 60-68 (2022)

Combinations are key



95% CL limit on $\sigma_{\text{HH}}/\sigma^{\text{SM}_{\text{HH}}}$ 2.4(2.9) obs.(exp.) ^в 95% CL limit on $k_{\lambda} \in [-0.6; +6.6]$



Putting all together : VVHH interaction



 $k_{2V} = 0$ is excluded, with a significance of 6.6 s.d. assuming all other couplings to be SM





Constrained in the k_V and k_{2V} plane



Putting all together : VVHH interaction



 $k_{2V} = 0$ is excluded, with a significance of 6.6 s.d. assuming all other couplings to be SM





Constrained in the k_V and k_{2V} plane

Establishing the existence of the quartic coupling VVHH Key role of (HH \rightarrow bbbb) boosted searches and ML for H \rightarrow bb decay ID



Putting all together : VVHH interaction







The future : HL-LHC and beyond hopes & wishes

Exp. and obs. limits on HH production in different datasets





unmatched precision over the next ≥30 years

All future colliders combined with HL-LHC







Higgs boson in BSM searches





The role of H in searches for BSM

Few examples

H₁₂₅


Few examples

invisible decay

H₁₂₅ invisible and undetected decays



H₁₂₅



Few examples

H₁₂₅ invisible and undetected decays

H₁₂₅ flavour violating decays



invisible decay

H₁₂₅



Few examples

H₁₂₅ invisible and undetected decays

H₁₂₅ flavour violating decays



invisible decay



Few examples

H₁₂₅ invisible and undetected decays

H₁₂₅ flavour violating decays



invisible decay









Higgs boson to invisible decay

The expected SM H branching fraction to invisible decay (\mathscr{B}_{inv}) is 0.12% due to $H \to ZZ^* \to \nu \bar{\nu} \nu \bar{\nu}$ Several BSM scenario \Rightarrow anomalous and sizeable values, \mathscr{B}_{inv} is significantly enhanced.





Higgs boson to invisible decay

The expected SM H branching fraction to invisible decay (\mathscr{B}_{inv}) is 0.12% due to $H \to ZZ^* \to \nu \bar{\nu} \nu \bar{\nu}$ Several BSM scenario \Rightarrow anomalous and sizeable values, \mathscr{B}_{inv} is significantly enhanced.

In one class of models H decay in a pair of stable WIMPs. They represent a simple extension of the SM to provide a Dark Matter (DM) candidate and are able to predict the observed relic DM density via s-channel $\chi\chi \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ annihilation.

The solution of the DM problem could be found within the Higgs sector.









Higgs boson to invisible decay

The expected SM H branching fraction to invisible decay (\mathscr{B}_{inv}) is 0.12% due to $H \to ZZ^* \to \nu \bar{\nu} \nu \bar{\nu}$ Several BSM scenario \Rightarrow anomalous and sizeable values, \mathscr{B}_{inv} is significantly enhanced.

In one class of models H decay in a pair of stable WIMPs. They represent a simple extension of the SM to provide a Dark Matter (DM) candidate and are able to predict the observed relic DM density via s-channel $\chi\chi \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ annihilation.

The solution of the DM problem could be found within the Higgs sector.

Common signature : significant missing transverse momentum from the Higgs boson decay. **Identify the event** : profit of visible particles recoiling against the Higgs boson.











Search for Higgs boson to invisible decay



analyses	ATLAS	Phys.Rev.D 103 (2021) 11, 112006	arXiv:2202.07953 Eur.Phys.J.C 82 (2022) 2,
Run2 a	CMS	JHEP 11 (2021) 153	Phys.Rev.D 105 (2022) 09

ttH



ATLAS and CMS probe all production mechanisms

Phys.Lett.B 829 (2022) 137066 ATLAS-CONF-2022-007 105 Eur.Phys.J.C 81 (2021) 92007 JHEP 11 (2021) 153





Search for Higgs boson to invisible decay



al la lyses	ATLAS	Phys.Rev.D 103 (2021) 11, 112006	arXiv:2202.07953
	EXPERIMENT		Eur.Phys.J.C 82 (2022) 2,
ן אווא	CMS	JHEP 11 (2021) 153	Phys.Rev.D 105 (2022) 09



VBF Higgs boson to invisible decay

2 jets with large angular separation $\Delta \eta_{ii}$ and large invariant mass m_{ii} Veto on other objects (leptons/photons) High missing transverse momentum (trigger constraint) \rightarrow reject QCD Low $|\Delta \phi_{ii}| \rightarrow \text{reject QCD}$

 \Rightarrow Main remaining backgrounds: $Z(\nu\nu)$ + jets and $W(l\nu)$ + jets (strong and VBF productions)



arXiv:2202.07953

CMS Phys.Rev.D 105 (2022) 092007





VBF Higgs boson to invisible decay

2 jets with large angular separation $\Delta \eta_{ii}$ and large invariant mass m_{ii} Veto on other objects (leptons/photons) High missing transverse momentum (trigger constraint) → reject QCD Low $|\Delta \phi_{ii}| \rightarrow \text{reject QCD}$

 \Rightarrow Main remaining backgrounds: $Z(\nu\nu)$ + jets and $W(l\nu)$ + jets (strong and VBF productions)





arXiv:2202.07953

Phys.Rev.D 105 (2022) 092007





VBF Higgs boson to invisible decay

2 jets with large angular separation $\Delta \eta_{ii}$ and large invariant mass m_{ii} Veto on other objects (leptons/photons) High missing transverse momentum (trigger constraint) \rightarrow reject QCD Low $|\Delta \phi_{ii}| \rightarrow \text{reject QCD}$

 \Rightarrow Main remaining backgrounds: $Z(\nu\nu)$ + jets and $W(l\nu)$ + jets (strong and VBF productions)







arXiv:2202.07953

Phys.Rev.D 105 (2022) 092007



Interpretations

Upper limits on the spin-independent WIMP-nucleon cross section







Interpretations

Upper limits on the spin-independent WIMP-nucleon cross section







Lepton-Flavour Violating decays

 $H \rightarrow e\mu/\mu\tau/e\tau$ are forbidden in the SM but takes place through the LFV Yukawa couplings $Y_{ij} \neq (m_i/v)\delta_{ij}$ arising in two Higgs doublet models, extra dimensions, models with flavor symmetries, models of compositeness, ... $\begin{pmatrix} Y_{ee} & Y_{e\mu} & Y_{e\tau} \\ & Y_{\mu\mu} & Y_{\mu\tau} \\ & & Y_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}$







ating decays



Jt takes place through the LFV two Higgs doublet models, extra es, models of compositeness, ...



by $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$)

Channels: $e\tau_h$, $e\tau_\mu$, $\mu\tau_h$, $\mu\tau_e$ Jet categories: Oj, 1j, 2j (ggH), VBF BDTs to discriminate signal Joint fit to BDT outputs

Most sensitive category



Lepton-Flavour Violating decays

<u>≻</u>^ב10⁻¹

 10^{-2}

 10^{-3}

 10^{-4}

 10^{-5}

 $H \rightarrow e \mu / \mu \tau / e \tau$ are forbidden in the SM but takes place through the LFV Yukawa couplings $Y_{ij} \neq (m_i/v)\delta_{ij}$ arising in two Higgs doublet models, extra dimensions, models with flavor symmetries, models of compositeness, ...

Focus on $Y_{e\tau}$ and $Y_{\mu\tau}$ ($Y_{e\mu}$ strongly constrained by $\mu \to e\gamma$)

The upper limits on $\mathscr{B}(H \to e\tau)$ and $\mathscr{B}(H \to \mu \tau)$ are used to put constraints on $Y_{e\tau}$ and $Y_{\mu\tau}$

Better than constraints from other experiments and for $Y_{\mu\tau}$ within the naturalness limit $|Y_{\mu\tau}Y_{\tau\mu}| < \frac{m_{\mu}m_{\tau}}{r^2}$



 Y_{ee} 137 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) CMS CMS Հ**→3**µ **τ→3e** <u>≻</u> 10⁻ **τ→**μ^ 10^{-2} expected H->e expected H->un 10^{-3} 10- 10^{-5} 10^{-3} 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-4} 10^{-2} 10^{-5} 10^{-5} 10^{-4} 10^{-1} $|Y_{\mu\tau}|$ $\mathscr{B}(H \to \mu \tau) < 0.15\%$ $\mathscr{B}(H \to e\tau) < 0.22\%$





10 years

we have only started with the Higgs boson







probing Nature.

we have only started with the Higgs boson

A fundamentally different kind of particle, a new player in our team







probing Nature.

We have steadily accrued knowledge about this Higgs boson. The Higgs boson **remains** compatible with SM predictions.

we have only started with the Higgs boson

A fundamentally different kind of particle, a new player in our team







probing Nature.

We have steadily accrued knowledge about this Higgs boson. The Higgs boson **remains** compatible with SM predictions.

The coming decades are crucial to understand it and make use of it in exploring nature.

we have only started with the Higgs boson

A fundamentally different kind of particle, a new player in our team

