

# The THDMa revisited

Tania Robens

based on arXiv:2105.06231/ work in progress

Rudjer Boskovic Institute

Phenomenology 2021 Symposium  
26th May 21

**setup: 2 Higgs Doublet Model** (Type II), + **pseudoscalar**  
 $a$  (mixing with  $A$ ), + **dark matter candidate**  $\chi$  (fermionic)

- **DM couples to additional field in gauge-eigenstates**

⇒ promoted by LHC Dark Matter Working group in Phys.Dark Univ. 27 (2020) 100351

original literature: S. Ipek ea, [Phys. Rev. D90 (2014), no. 5 055021]; J. M. No, [Phys. Rev. D93 (2016), no. 3 031701]; D. Goncalves ea, [Phys. Rev. D95 (2017)]; M. Bauer ea, [JHEP 05 (2017) 138]; P. Tunney ea, [Phys. Rev. D96 (2017)]

⇒ **highly scrutinized by LHC experiments**

**Interesting at  $e^+e^-$  colliders ??**

# THDMa: Lagrangian/ parameters

$$V_{\text{THDM}} = \mu_1 H_1^\dagger H_1 + \mu_2 H_2^\dagger H_2 + \lambda_1 (H_1^\dagger H_1)^2 + \lambda_2 (H_2^\dagger H_2)^2 \\ + \lambda_3 (H_1^\dagger H_1)(H_2^\dagger H_2) + \lambda_4 (H_1^\dagger H_2)(H_2^\dagger H_1) + \left[ \mu_3 H_1^\dagger H_2 + \lambda_5 (H_1^\dagger H_2)^2 + h.c. \right]$$

$$V = \frac{1}{2} m_P^2 P^2 + \lambda_{P_1} H_1^\dagger H_1 P^2 + \lambda_{P_2} H_2^\dagger H_2 P^2 + (i b_P H_1^\dagger H_2 P + h.c.)$$

$$V_\chi = i y_\chi P \bar{\chi} \gamma_5 \chi$$

THDMa scalar sector particle content:  $h, H, H^\pm, a, A, \chi$

parameters:

$v, m_h, m_H, m_a, m_A, m_{H^\pm}, m_\chi; \cos(\beta - \alpha), \tan \beta, \sin \theta; y_\chi, \lambda_3, \lambda_{P_1}, \lambda_{P_2}$

# THDMa: Implemented constraints

[see also Abe et al., JHEP, 01:114, 2020; Arcadi et al., JHEP, 06:098, 2020]

## Theory

- **boundedness of potential** from below
- **perturbativity of couplings**
- **perturbative unitarity**

## Experiment

- $v$ ,  $m_{h/H}$  : input
- **electroweak precision** through  $S$ ,  $T$ ,  $U$
- $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ ,  $B \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ ,  $\Delta M_s$
- $\Gamma_{125}$
- **direct searches and 125 GeV signal strength** through HiggsBounds/ HiggsSignals
- upper limit on **relic density**, direct detection [Phys. Rev., D90(5):055021]
- **(pseudo) recast from current LHC searches**

also using: own codes, Spheno, Sarah, MadDM, Madgraph

## WG recommendation:

$$\begin{aligned} m_H = m_A = m_{H^\pm}, m_\chi &= 10 \text{ GeV}, \\ \cos(\beta - \alpha) &= 0, \tan \beta = 1, \sin \theta = 0.35, \\ y_\chi &= 1, \lambda_3 = \lambda_{P_1} = \lambda_{P_2} = 3 \end{aligned}$$

⇒ **effectively 2-d scan**

- here; let everything float


## Scan ranges:

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \theta &\in [-1; 0.8], \cos(\beta - \alpha) \in [-0.08; 0.1], \tan \beta \in [0.52; 9], \\ m_H &\in [500; 1000] \text{ GeV}, m_A \in [600; 1000] \text{ GeV}, \\ m_{H^\pm} &\in [800; 1000] \text{ GeV}, m_a \in [5 \text{ GeV}; m_A], m_\chi \in [0 \text{ GeV}, m_a/2] \\ y_\chi &\in [-\pi; \pi], \lambda_{P_1} \in [0; 10], \lambda_{P_2} \in [0; 4\pi], \lambda_3 \in [-2; 4\pi]. \end{aligned}$$

# B- physics constraints



Constraints from  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ ,  $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ ,  $\Delta M_s$

- $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ : use fit from updated calculation of Misiak ea, [JHEP 2006 (2020) 175, Eur.Phys.J. C77 (2017) no.3, 201],  $\Rightarrow \tan \beta_{\min}(m_{H^\pm})$
- $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ ,  $\Delta M_s$ : via SPheno, compare to LHC combination [ATLAS-CONF-2020-049], HFLAV value [arXiv:1909.12524]




$$R_\gamma^{\text{exp}} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(s+d)\gamma}{\mathcal{B}_{\text{clv}}} = (3.22 \pm 0.15) \times 10^3,$$
$$\Delta M_s (\text{ps}^{-1}) = 17.757 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.007,$$
$$(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)^{\text{comb}} = [2.69_{-0.35}^{+0.37}] \times 10^{-9}$$

Constraints on mass differences  
 $m_{H^\pm} - m_H, m_{H^\pm} - m_A, m_A - m_H$




compare to THDM  $\Rightarrow$



# Direct searches and signal strength

Via HiggsBounds/ HiggsSignals



$\cos(\beta - \alpha) > 0.04$ :

$h_{125} \rightarrow ZZ$

[CMS Run I, Phys. Rev. D

89 (2014) 092007]

## Relevant BSM searches:

$H/A \rightarrow \tau\tau$  [ATLAS Run II, Phys.Rev.Lett. 125 (2020) no.5, 051801],


$H \rightarrow h_{125}h_{125}$  [ATLAS 2018 data, JHEP 1901 (2019) 030],

$A \rightarrow H/h_{125}Z$  [ATLAS/ CMS 2018 data, Phys.Lett. B783 (2018) 392-414, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019)




# Dark matter constraints

using MadDM



color coding:  $m_\chi$



color coding:  $m_a - 2m_\chi$

**dominant channels:**  $\chi \bar{\chi} \rightarrow t \bar{t}, b \bar{b}$ , depending on  $m_a$

**main result:**  $|m_a - 2m_\chi| \leq 300 \text{ GeV}$

## Model widely promoted by LHC Dark matter working group

⇒ searches considered:

- 1  $h + \cancel{E}_\perp$ : ATLAS, Run II dataset [ATLAS-CONF-2021-006]
- 2  $ll + \cancel{E}_\perp$ : CMS, Run II dataset [Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 13]
- 3  $W^+\bar{t}/W^-t + \cancel{E}_\perp$ : ATLAS, Run II dataset [arXiv:2011.09308]
- 4  $H^+\bar{t}b, H^+ \rightarrow t\bar{b}$ : ATLAS, Run II dataset [JHEP, 06:151; arXiv:2102.10076]
- 5  $t\bar{t}, b\bar{b} + \cancel{E}_\perp$ : ATLAS, Run II dataset [Eur.Phys.J. C78 (2018) no.1, 18; JHEP 2104 (2021) 174; JHEP 2105 (2021) 093; JHEP, 04:165, 2021]


- (4), (5) not relevant due to  $\tan\beta \gtrsim 1$ ,  $m_b$  small
- others: cut out some part, dominantly via  $h + \cancel{E}_\perp$
- **but:** all parameter float ⇒ no 2-dim clear distinction

# Signatures at $e^+e^-$ colliders

**a priori: as standard THDM**


- new feature: **new scalar  $a$ ; mixing: both  $a/A$  can decay invisibly**
  - interesting channels:  $ha, hA, Ha, HA$
  - mass ranges: between 200GeV and 2 TeV
  - most promising:  **$HA, Ha$  at 3 TeV**
- ⇒ **cross sections up to 1 fb**
- ⇒ **dominant final states:  $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}; t\bar{t} + \cancel{E}$**

# Can the $\cancel{E}$ channel ever be dominant ?



$t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  and  $t\bar{t} + \cancel{E}$  final states

[color coding  $m_A + m_H$ ]



...including  $Ha$  channel

[color coding  $0.5 \times (m_a + m_A) + m_H$ ]

bottom line: **can find regions where  $t\bar{t} + \cancel{E}$  dominates**

# Summary and outlook


**First scan of THDMa that combines all bounds in a consistent way, letting all unknown parameters float**

- if B-physics as strict bound:  
**all heavy scalars have masses  $\gtrsim 500$  GeV !** [might be different in fit]
  - DM set bound on  $|m_a - 2 m_\chi|$
  - **for  $e^+e^-$ : new signatures  $X + \cancel{E}$**  [new wrt THDM]
  - presented here:  **$HA/a$  production at 3 TeV**
- ⇒ **regions in parameter space where  $t\bar{t} + \cancel{E}$  dominant**
- a lot to be done...:  $ha$  at small center of mass energies, simulation including background, ....


**Thanks for listening**

# Appendix

# BRs and rates, $HA$ , 3 TeV



BR for  $HA$  final states



...convoluted with production  
cross sections

[color coding  $t\bar{t}$  final states]

## "Best" point

$$\begin{aligned} m_H &= 643 \text{ GeV}, & m_A &= 907 \text{ GeV}, & m_a &= 653 \text{ GeV}, \\ \sin \theta &= -0.626, & \cos(\beta - \alpha) &= 0.0027, & \tan \beta &= 3.55, \\ \Gamma_H &= 2.41 \text{ GeV}, & \Gamma_A &= 52.5 \text{ GeV}, & \Gamma_a &= 26.5 \text{ GeV} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{BR}(H \rightarrow t\bar{t}) \sim 0.94, \quad \text{BR}(A \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}) \sim 0.63, \quad \text{BR}(a \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}) \sim 0.95$$

$$\sigma_{HA} = 0.51 \text{ fb}, \quad \sigma_{Ha} = 0.39 \text{ fb} \implies \sigma_{t\bar{t}+\cancel{E}} \sim 0.66 \text{ fb}$$

$$[m_\chi = 277 \text{ GeV}, y_\chi = -1.73]$$

$$[m_{H^\pm} = 814 \text{ GeV}, \Gamma_{H^\pm} = 12.1 \text{ GeV}; \lambda_3 = 8.63, \lambda_{p_1} = 0.18, \lambda_{p_2} = 2.98]$$