

DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA E GEOLOGIA

# Neutrino Oscillation Results from the MINOS/MINOS+ Experiment

16<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Tau Lepton Physics

30/9/2021

**S. Germani - Università degli Studi di Perugia** On behalf of the MINOS+ Collaboration



# **Neutrino Oscillation Questions for MINOS**



S. Germani - UniPG



• Mass Hierarchy?

• Maximal Mixing in  $\theta_{23}$ ?

• Sterile Neutrinos?



### **MINOS Detectors**



- 735 km from target
- 5.4 ktons

• On-axis long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiments

- Identical detector technology
- Magnetized steel tracking sampling calorimeters

S. Germani - UniPG

#### **Near Detector**

- 980 tons





## **Neutrino Events**

### v<sub>μ</sub> Charged Current (CC)





# **Neutrino Events**

### v<sub>μ</sub> Charged Current (CC)



### v<sub>e</sub> Charged Current (CC)



# Neutrino Events

#### v<sub>μ</sub> Charged Current (CC) v<sub>e</sub> Charged Current (CC) **Neutral Current (NC)**





# **Beam Neutrinos Exposure**



MINOS	MINOS-
(2005-2012)	(2013-20)

- 60.75 kt-yr exposure

### **|6**)

23.61 x 10<sup>20</sup> protons on target (11 years of accelerator neutrino data)

(13 years of atmospheric neutrino data)



7

### **Three Falvours Oscillation Results**



### Far Detector Beam Data



 $\approx 1 - \cos^2 \theta_{13} \sin^2(2\theta_{23})$  sin  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$ 







### **Atmospheric Data**



S. Germani - UniPG

### **Independent from Beam Data**

• Different baselines

### Fit in neutrino energy and $\cos(\theta_{zen})$



TAU2021

### **Beam and Atmospheric Constraints**



Results consistent with the combined fit with a p-value of 22%

S. Germani - UniPG

Beam Best Fit  
Normal Hierarchy  
$$\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.48 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$$
  
 $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.38$ 

**Atmospheric Best Fit Normal Hierarchy**  $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.11 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$  $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.52$ 



# **Combined Fit**



S. Germani - UniPG

**Best fit in Normal Hierarchy**  $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.40^{+0.08}_{-0.09} \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{eV}^2$  $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.43 \ (0.39 \leftrightarrow 0.63)$ 

Inverted Hierarchy  $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.45^{+0.07}_{-0.08} \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{eV}^2$  $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.42 \ (0.39 \leftrightarrow 0.49)$ 

**Disfavor maximal mixing:**  $0.91\sigma$  $0.55\sigma$ **Preference for lower octant:** Preference for normal hierarchy:  $0.45\sigma$ 



# **Combined Fit**

**Comparing with Other Experiments** 

**Best fit in Normal Hierarchy**  $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.40^{+0.08}_{-0.09} \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{eV}^2$  $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.43 \ (0.39 \leftrightarrow 0.63)$ 

**Inverted Hierarchy**  $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.45^{+0.07}_{-0.08} \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{eV}^2$  $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.42 \ (0.39 \leftrightarrow 0.49)$ 

S. Germani - UniPG

#### Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 131802 (2020)





### **Beyond Three Flavour Standard Oscillation**



### **Sterile Neutrinos 3+1 Model**

#### **New Mass Eigenstate**



S. Germani - UniPG



 3 mass scales:  $\Delta m_{21}^2, \Delta m_{32}^2, \Delta m_{41}^2$ • 6 mixing angles:  $\theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13}, \theta_{14}, \theta_{24}, \theta_{34}$ • 3 CP-violating phases:  $\delta_{13}$ ,  $\delta_{14}$ ,  $\delta_{24}$ 



# **4 Flavour Oscillations at MINOS**



S. Germani - UniPG



Large  $\Delta m^{2}_{41}$ : Large oscillations at the ND

### 16



# **Sterile Neutrino Fit - Data Sampels**



S. Germani - UniPG

#### **Two Detector Fit**

### **CC EVENTS** Sensitive to $\Delta m_{41}^2$ and $\theta_{24}$

**NC EVENTS** Sensitive to  $\Delta m_{41}^2$  and  $\theta_{24}$ Sensitive to  $\theta_{34}$ 



### **MINOS+ Exclusion**

#### Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 091803 (2019)



S. Germani - UniPG

### Fit $\theta_{23}$ , $\theta_{24}$ , $\Delta m_{32}^2$ , and $\Delta m_{41}^2$ Fix $\delta_{13}$ , $\delta_{14}$ , $\delta_{24}$ , and $\theta_{14}$ to zero (no sensitivity)

- Simultaneous 2 detector fit over long baseline
- Improvement over previous FD/ND ratio method
- Improvement with MINOS+ extra statistics



# MINOS+/Daya Bay/Bugey-3 Exclusion

### Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 071801



 $4|U_{e4}|^2|U_{\mu4}|^2 = \sin^2\theta_{24}\sin^2 2\theta_{14} \equiv \sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e}$ 



# MINOS+/Daya Bay/Bugey-3 Exclusion



 $4|U_{e4}|^2|U_{\mu4}|^2 = \sin^2\theta_{24}\sin^2 2\theta_{14} \equiv \sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e}$ 





### Sterilre driven $\nu_e$ appearance



# Sterile-driven $\nu_e$ Appearance

3-flavor terms







- MINOS+ data set is at high energy
- Standard v<sub>e</sub> sample drops off above 6 GeV
- Signal events are more compact showers
- Background to v<sub>e</sub> events in MINOS+ manageable at high energy



### 3 flavour $\nu_e$

### Background -like **Events**





# 3 flavour $\nu_e$

 $u_e$  like Events Low Energy











S. Germani - UniPG

### **Signal Region** $\nu_{e}$ like Events

#### **Consistent with 3-flavor** oscillations

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Joint $\nu_e - \nu_\mu$ Fit

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Using MINOS/MINOS+ appearance and disappearance

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Joint $\nu_e - \nu_\mu$ Fit

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Using MINOS/MINOS+ appearance and disappearance

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Joint $\nu_e - \nu_\mu$ Fit

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Using MINOS/MINOS+ appearance and disappearance

#### S. Germani - UniPG

### Complementary to MINOS+/Daya **Bay/Bugey-3 Combination**

 $10^{3}$ 90% C.L. Allowed 10<sup>2</sup> — MiniBooNE (2018) Dentler et al. (2018) Gariazzo et al. (2019) 10 **MINOS+ PRELIMINARY**  $(eV^2)$  $\Delta m^2_{41}$ **10**<sup>-1</sup> **MINOS & MINOS+**  $v_e$  App. +  $v_\mu$  Disapp. 90% C.L. EXCLUDED 10<sup>-2</sup> 90% C.L. (CL<sub>s</sub>) Excluded -NOMAD **10**<sup>-3</sup> --- KARMEN2 - MINOS, MINOS+, Daya Bay and Bugey-3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10<sup>-4</sup>  $10^{-6}$  $10^{-5}$  $10^{-3}$ 10<sup>-4</sup> 10<sup>-2</sup> **10**<sup>-1</sup>  $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e} = 4|U_{e4}|^2|U_{\mu 4}|^2$ 

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Conclusions

High stats long-baseline  $v_{\mu}$  disappearance: tight constraints on 3 v paradigm

High stats long-baseline  $v_{\mu}$  disappearance: tight constraints on "3+1" v paradigm and in combination with Daya Bay and Bugey-3

Exclude large parameter space of "3+1" v models from MINOS/MINOS+  $v_e$  appearance +  $v_{\mu}$  disappearance search

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

### NuMI Beamline

S. Germani - UniPG

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 120 GeV protons hit a graphite target
- - $\nu_{\mu}$  beam, focus  $\pi^+$  and K<sup>+</sup>
  - $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  beam, focus  $\pi$  and K-

Two magnetic horns focus produced pions and kaons

![](_page_30_Picture_12.jpeg)

# **Atmospheric Neutrino Exposure**

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Sterile Driven $\nu_e$

#### **Predicted MINOS+ spectrum at the MiniBooNE best fit**

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)