Transverse momentum distributions on and off the lattice

Alexey Vladimirov

Universidad Complutense de Madrid September 20, 2023

QCD on and off the lattice

▲口▶ ▲圖▶ ▲필▶ ▲필▶ - 필 -

Sep 18–20, 2023 Universität Regensburg













$$\text{TMD}^{[\Gamma]}(x,b) = \int d\lambda e^{-ix\lambda P^+} \langle P, S | \bar{q}(\lambda n + b) [\text{infinite staple link}] \frac{\Gamma}{2} q(0) | P, S \rangle$$

- The gauge link is along light-cone
- Type and properties of TMD depend on Γ

æ

・ロト ・日下・ ・ヨト

There are 8 TMD distributions each parametrizes a particular relation between spin, momentum and orbital momentum

		Quark Polarization			
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)	
Nucleon Polarization	υ	$f_1(x,k_T^2) \bullet$ Unpolarized		$h_1^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$ boer-Mulders	
	L		$g_1(x,k_T^2) \xrightarrow{\bullet}_{Helicity}$	$h_{1L}^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$ \longrightarrow \cdot	
	т	$f_{1T}^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$ \bullet - • Sivers	$g_{1T}(x,k_T^2)$ \bullet - \bullet Kozinian-Mulders, "worm" gear	$h_{1}(x,k_{T}^{2}) \stackrel{\bullet}{\bigoplus} - \stackrel{\bullet}{\bigoplus} \\ \frac{1}{Transversity} \\ h_{1T}^{\perp}(x,k_{T}^{2}) \stackrel{\bullet}{\bigoplus} - \stackrel{\bullet}{\bigoplus} \\ \frac{1}{Pretzelosity} \end{cases}$	



- 12

There are 8 TMD distributions each parametrizes a particular relation between spin, momentum and orbital momentum

		Quark Polarization			
		Unpolarized (U)	Longitudinally Polarized (L)	Transversely Polarized (T)	
Nucleon Polarization	υ	$f_1(x,k_T^2) \bullet$ Unpolarized		$h_1^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$ Boer-Mulders	
	L		$g_1(x,k_T^2) \xrightarrow{\bullet}_{Helicity}$	$h_{1L}^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$ \longrightarrow \cdot	
	т	$f_{1T}^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$ • - • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	$g_{1T}(x,k_7^2)$ $f_{1T}(x,k_7^2)$ - $f_{1T}(x,k_7^2)$ - $f_{1T}(x,k_7^2)$	$h_{1}(x,k_{T}^{2}) \stackrel{\bullet}{\bigoplus} - \stackrel{\bullet}{\bigoplus}_{Transversity}$ $h_{1T}^{\perp}(x,k_{T}^{2}) \stackrel{\bullet}{\bigoplus} - \stackrel{\bullet}{\bigoplus}_{Pretzelosity}$	

Only a few distributions have 1D analog Rest are due to non-zero OAM



æ

To get tomographic picture of nucleon one must combine several distributions



Tomography state-of-the-art [M.Bury, A.Prokudin, AV, Dec. 2020]

- ▶ Global fit of ~ 20 experiments
- ▶ Multiple processes: $p + p \rightarrow \gamma/Z, \ p + p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow \gamma/Z, \ p + \pi \rightarrow \gamma, \ p + \gamma \rightarrow h, \dots$
- \blacktriangleright N²LO perturbative accuracy: N²LO evolution, N²LO perturbative matching, ...

This picture is already outdated, due to the recent progress...

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq_T} = \sigma_0 \int \frac{d^2b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i(bq_T)} C\left(\frac{Q}{\mu}\right) F(x_1, b; \mu, \zeta) F(x_2, b; \mu, \bar{\zeta})$$







Main scales:

The invariant mass of photon: $|q^2| = Q^2$ Transverse component of photon momentum: q_T

 $Q \gg \Lambda \quad Q \gg q_T$



臣

TMD factorization theorem



臣

TMD factorization theorem





臣

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dq_T} &= \sigma_0 \int \frac{d^2b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i(bq_T)} C\left(\frac{Q}{\mu}\right) F(x_1, b; \mu, \zeta) F(x_2, b; \mu, \bar{\zeta}) \\ & \swarrow \\ \frac{d\sigma}{dq_T} &= \sigma_0 \int \frac{d^2b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i(bq_T)} C\left(\frac{Q}{\mu}\right) R[\mathcal{D}](b; \mu) F(x_1, b) F(x_2, b) \end{aligned}$$







王

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト







æ



8/32

Collins-Soper kernel \sim Wilson loop

[AV,19]



$$\mathcal{D}(b,\mu) = \lambda_{-} \frac{ig}{2} \frac{\mathrm{Tr} \int_{0}^{1} d\beta \langle 0|F_{b+}(-\lambda_{-}n+b\beta)W_{C'}|0\rangle}{\mathrm{Tr} \langle 0|W_{C'}|0\rangle} + Z_{\mathcal{D}}(\mu)$$
Alexey Vladimirov
TMD
September 20, 2023
9/32

Relation to the static potential

In SVM the potential between two quark sources (confining potential) is [Brambilla,Vairo,hep-ph/9606344]

$$V(\boldsymbol{b}) = 2\int_0^{\boldsymbol{b}} d\boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{b}-\boldsymbol{y})\int_0^{\infty} d\boldsymbol{r}\Delta(\sqrt{\boldsymbol{r}^2+\boldsymbol{y}^2}) + \int_0^{\boldsymbol{b}} d\boldsymbol{y}\boldsymbol{y}\int_0^{\infty} d\boldsymbol{r}\Delta_1(\sqrt{\boldsymbol{r}^2+\boldsymbol{y}^2})$$





・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト



Task: decorrelate 3 functions 2D+2D+1D

- ▶ Requires tonns of data (precise and at different energy)
- ▶ Requires a perfect understanding of the theory

・ロト ・日下 ・ヨト

ART23

[V.Moos, I.Scimemi, AV, P.Zurita, 2305.07473]

* data included for the first time







- ▶ Z-boson at 8 (y-diff.)
- ▶ Z-boson at 13 TeV (0.1% prec.!)

► CMS

- Z-boson at 7 and 8 TeV
- Z-boson at 13 TeV (y-diff.)
- ▶ \mathbf{Z}/γ up to $Q = 1000 \mathbf{GeV}$

▶ LHCb

- Z-boson at 7 and 8 TeV
- ▶ Z-boson at 13 TeV (y-diff.)

Further more:

- Z-boson at Tevatron
- ▶ W-boson at Tevatron
- **Z-boson at RHIC**
- DY at PHENIX
- ▶ DY at FERMILAB (fix target)

627 data points

vs. 457 in SV19 vs. 484 in MAP22





Very presice test of TMD evolution



臣

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



TOTAL ($N_{\rm pt} = 627$): $\chi^2 / N_{\rm pt} = 0.96^{+0.09}_{-0.01}$



æ

(日)、<日)、<</p>

ART23



Extra features of analyses:

- Flavor dependent NP-ansatz (first time!)
 - ▶ 2 parameters per flavor
 - ▶ u, d, \bar{u}, \bar{d} , rest
- ▶ New parametrization for Collins-Soper kernel (3 parameters)
- ► Consistent inclusion of the PDF uncertainty (first time!)
- \blacktriangleright artemide

æ



Very small uncertanties (despite huge uncertanties in TMDPDFs)



æ

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

More accurate estimation of uncertainty





< 17 b

More accurate estimation of uncertainty



Image: A math black

Check sign-change



$$\begin{split} f_{1T}^{\perp}(sea) &\to -f_{1T}^{\perp}(sea) \\ \chi^2/N_{pt} &= 0.88^{+0.16}_{-0.06} \text{ vs. } \chi^2/N_{pt} &= 1.00^{+0.22}_{-0.08} \end{split}$$

Current data does not check sign-change! If there would be DY at anti-proton...



These are only few example of internal problems of TMD phenomenology

- Normalization issues
- ▶ Large- q_T tail
- ▶ Strong model bias
- ▶ Lack of data for polarized TMD distributions
- ▶ ...

Lattice computations can help to resolve many of these issues



(日)、<日)、<</p>



Alike hadron tensor with "instant"-to-light current

$$W^{[\Gamma]}(y; P, S, v; L) = \langle P, S | J^{\dagger}(y) \frac{\Gamma}{2} J(0) | P, S \rangle$$
$$J_i(y; v, L) = [\infty_T + vL, vL + y] [vL + y, y] q_i(y)$$



æ



[Ebert, Stewart, Zhao, 19] [Ji, Liu, Liu, 19] [A.Schafer, AV, 20]

$$W^{[\Gamma]}(\ell, b; S, P; v, L, \mu) = |C_H|^2 \underbrace{\Phi^{[\Gamma]}(\ell, b; S, P, \mu, \zeta)}_{\text{TMD}} \underbrace{\Psi(b; v, L; \mu, \bar{\zeta})}_{\text{"instant-jet"}} + \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{M}{P_+}, \frac{1}{bP^+}, \frac{b}{L}, \frac{\ell}{L}, \ell\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}\Big)$$

- ▶ Standard TMD factorization (a la Drell-Yan hadron tensor)
 - ▶ Collinear modes (hadron)
 - V-modes (for Wilson line)
 - ▶ Soft moder (overlap)



æ

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



► Factorization theorem is confirmed at NNLO [O.del Rio, AV,23]

$$|C_H|^2 = 1 + a_s C_F \left(-\mathbf{L}^2 + 2\mathbf{L} - 4 + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right) + a_s^2 (\text{see} \ [2304.14440]) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^3)$$

▶ Factorization theorem proven at LP, and NLP

[S.Rodini, AV,22]

Factorization theorem for leading-counting qTMDs

$$\begin{split} W^{[\Gamma]}(\ell, b; S, P; v, L, \mu) &= |C_H|^2 \Phi^{[\Gamma]}(\ell, b; S, P, \mu, \zeta) \Psi(b; v, L; \mu, \bar{\zeta}) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{M}{P_+}, \frac{1}{bP^+}, \frac{b}{L}, \frac{\ell}{L}, \ell\Lambda_{\rm QCD}\Big) \\ &\quad \zeta\bar{\zeta} &= (2(\hat{p}v))^2 \mu^2 \end{split}$$



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三田

How to use it?

- 1. Direct usage
 - \blacktriangleright Fourier transform to x-space
 - Function Ψ ("reduced soft factor") [Ji, NPB955(2020); Zhang, PRL125(2020); Li, PRL128(2022); Chu, 2302.09961]



Difficult to estimate systematics $_{\circ, \circ}$

How to use it?

- 2. Extraction of evolution only
 - ▶ Fourier transform to *x*-space
 - ▶ Function Ψ ("reduced soft factor")

$$\begin{split} \frac{W(x,b;P_1,...)}{W(x,b;P_2,...)} &= \frac{|C(P_1)|^2 \Psi(x,b;\zeta_1,..) \underbrace{\Phi(b,..)}}{|C(P_2)|^2 \Psi(x,b;\zeta_2,..) \underbrace{\Phi(b,..)}} \\ &= R[\zeta_1 \to \zeta_2] \frac{|C(P_1)|^2 \underbrace{\Psi(x,b;\zeta_2,..)}}{|C(P_2)|^2 \underbrace{\Psi(x,b;\zeta_2,..)}} = \left(\frac{(vP_1)}{(vP_2)}\right)^{\mathcal{D}(b,\mu)} \frac{|C(P_1,\mu)|^2}{|C(P_2,\mu)|^2} \end{split}$$

Very direct access to the CS kernel

* There is an alternative approach without Fourier transform (directly in ℓ -space), but it requires extra hypothesis about some ratios [A.Schafer, AV, 20]





Alexey Vladimirov

September 20, 2023 25 / 32





PRO

- ▶ Can access large-b
- ▶ Can study "exotic" sources
- ▶ Directly in b-space

CONTRA

- ▶ Large power corrections
- Lattice artifacts
- Unknown scheme factor

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト



æ

Similar ratio can be extracted from colliders

- Requires Fourier transform to b-space
- ▶ Requires measurement in specially prepared bins





æ

In future lattice will be preciser, but experiment will be also preciser.

The true power of lattice simulations is access to "difficult" or impossible for experiment channels

- ► x-moments of TMDs
- ▶ Gluon CS-kernel
- ▶ Gluon TMDs
- \blacktriangleright Meson TMDs
- ► Higher-twist TMDs
- ▶

Latest example: test of of universality of CS kernel

[Hai-Tao Shu, M.Schlemmer, T.Sizmann, A.Schafer, et al: 2302.06502]

Collins-Soper kernel is the evolution kernel for TMDs and it universal for

- ▶ All TMDPDFs/TMDFFs of twist-2 (all types and hadrons)
- All TMDPDFs/TMDFFs of twist-3 (all types and hadrons) [AV, et al, 2008.01744], [Ebert, at al, 2112.09771]
- ▶ All quasi-partonic TMDPDFs/TMDFFs of twist-3 (all types and hadrons) [AV, et al, 2008.01744]



 $K = -2\mathcal{D}$

æ

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

NLP TMD factorization is very complicated! [Rodini,AV:2211.04494]

$$\begin{split} F(x,b;\mu) &= \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{(2|x|(vP))^2}{\zeta} \right)^{-\mathcal{D}(b,\mu)} \left\{ \mathbb{C}_{11}(\mathbf{L}_p,\mu) A(x,b;\mu,\zeta) \right. \\ &+ \mathbb{C}_{11}(\mathbf{L}_p,\mu) \left(\mathbf{\Psi}_2(b) + \hat{\mathcal{D}}(b) \ln\left(\frac{\mu(2|x|(vP))}{\zeta}\right) \right) B(x,b;\mu,\zeta) \\ &+ \int_{-1}^1 dx_2 \left(\mathbb{C}_R(\mathbf{L}_p,x,x_2) C(\tilde{x},b;\mu,\zeta) + s\pi \mathbb{C}_I(\mathbf{L}_p,x,x_2) D(\tilde{x},b;\mu,\zeta) \right) \right\} \end{split}$$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > = Ξ



NLP TMD factorization is very complicated! [Rodini,AV:2211.04494]





NLP TMD factorization is very complicated!

[Rodini,AV:2211.04494] $\underset{\bigstar}{F}(x,b;\mu) \,=\, \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{(2|x|(vP))^2}{\zeta} \right)^{-\mathcal{D}(b,\mu)} \Big\{ \mathbb{C}_{11}(\mathbf{L}_p,\mu) A(x,b;\mu,\zeta)$ Twist-3 $+\mathbb{C}_{11}(\mathbf{L}_{p},\mu)\left(\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{2}(b)+\hat{\mathcal{D}}(b)\ln\left(\frac{\mu(2|x|(vP))}{\zeta}\right)\right)B(x,b;\mu,\zeta)$ Derivative aTMD twist-2 $+\int_{-1}^{1} dx_2 \left(\mathbb{C}_R(\mathbf{L}_p, x, x_2) C(\tilde{x}, b; \mu, \zeta) + s\pi \mathbb{C}_I(\mathbf{L}_p, x, x_2) D(\tilde{x}, b; \mu, \zeta) \right) \Big\}$ twist-3 Derivative F gTMD A D Twist-3 TMDs Е 2h 2ho $\langle \bar{q}Gq \rangle$ $2h_{\rm BT}^{A\perp}$ $2h_{\odot7}^{A\pm}$ 2h., $2h_{\alpha L}$ $2h_{oT}^{D\pm}$ $2h_{OT}^{D\perp}$ 62M2 24 $-\mathbf{f}_{OL}^{\perp} + \mathbf{g}_{OL}^{\perp} = \mathbf{f}_{OL}^{\perp} + \mathbf{g}_{OL}^{\perp}$ $\mathbf{f}_{0}^{\pm} = \mathbf{g}_{0}^{\pm}$ $-\mathbf{f}_{0}^{\pm}-\mathbf{g}_{0}^{\pm}$ ĵ. $-\mathbf{f}_{nr}^{\pm} + \mathbf{g}_{nr}^{\pm}$ $f_{\rm the}^{\pm} + g_{\rm the}^{\pm}$ 6 qTMDs (out of 16) $-\mathbf{f}_{0T} - \mathbf{g}_{0T} = -\mathbf{f}_{0T} + \mathbf{g}_{0T}$ $\mathbf{f}_{OL}^{\perp} + \mathbf{g}_{OL}^{\perp}$ $\mathbf{f}_{OL}^\perp = \mathbf{g}_{OL}^\perp$ -91 can be used to determine $f_{\rm m}^{\pm} + g_{\rm m}^{\pm}$ $f_{\pm}^{\pm} = g_{\pm}^{\pm}$ CS-kernel G_T^{\perp} $\mathbf{f}_{0T}^{\perp} + \mathbf{g}_{0T}^{\perp}$ $\mathbf{f}_{0:T}^{\perp} = \mathbf{g}_{0:T}^{\perp}$ $-h_{1T}^{\perp} + \dot{h}_1 - \frac{b^2 M^2}{4} \dot{h}_{1T}^{\perp} - h_1 + \frac{b^2 M^2}{4} h_{1T}^{\perp}$ (alike in twist-2 case) H_T^{\perp} 2hdi $-2\mathbf{h}_{0T}^{A\perp}$ -2h -2h. $2h_{\odot}$ $-2h_{1L}^{\perp} - b^2 M^2 \dot{h}_{1L}^{\perp}$ -2h.... $2h_{\alpha L}$ $-h_{1T}^{\perp} - \dot{h}_1 - \frac{b^2 M^2}{4} \dot{h}_{1T}^{\perp} = h_1 + \frac{b^2 M^2}{4} h_{1T}^{\perp}$ $-2h_{cor}^{D\perp}$ $2h_{\pm}^{D\pm}$ イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

NLP TMD factorization is very complicated!





 $K = -2\mathcal{D}$



æ

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Conclusion



Outline of talk:

- ART23 extraction
 - \triangleright N⁴LL
 - Larger data set (mainly due to LHC data)
 - ▶ (more) Accurate determination of uncertanties
 - ▶ artemide: https://github.com/VladimirovAlexey/artemide-public

▶ Universality of CS kernel

- ▶ Evolution for different polarizations is the same
- Evolution for twist-2 and twist-3 TMDs is the same
- Evolution for pion and proton TMDs is the same