**T-NiSQ** of STRONG-2020 Ministry C33) oroject ZO <u>С</u> S 65 Via Ш Juant the Δ Ð  $\overline{a}$ grant support g ation O acknowle ັດ 0 Z G.S. (grar (grar Sciel ikerbasque

**Basque Foundation for Science** 

#### QCD on and off the lattice

Sep 18-20, 2023 Universität Regensburg

#### the physics of







#### [aka Schäfer Fest]

#### a selective review



Gunar.Schnell @ desy.de

#### Connecting hadron/nuclear community with Lattice QCD

#### "Lattice Forum" (LatFor) Initiative (2001–2006)

- Procure significant computing resources for hadron and particle physics in Germany
- Hardware platforms under discussion: QCDOC, apeNEXT, PC clusters
- Several meetings involving the lattice, hadron and nuclear communities
- Strong link to FAIR: PANDA, CBM

#### Nucleon Structure in Lattice QCD (1997 — ...)

- Structure functions
- Nucleon spin
- Strangeness in the nucleon
- Form factors, charges
- Generalised Parton Distributions
- Large-momentum Effective Theory LaMET









#### Connecting hadron/nuclear community with Lattice QCD

#### "Lattice Forum" (LatFor) Initiative (2001–2006)

- Procure significant computing resources for hadron and particle physics in Germany
- Hardware platforms under discussion: QCDOC, apeNEXT, PC clusters
- Several meetings involving the lattice, hadron and nuclear communities
- Strong link to FAIR: PANDA, CBM

#### Nucleon Structure in Lattice QCD (1997 — ...)

- Structure functions
- Nucleon spin
- Strangeness in the nucleon
- Form factors, charges
- Generalised Parton Distributions
- Large-momentum Effective Theory LaMET











#### HERA measurement of spin

[C. Papanicolas (1989)]

from spin of quarks.



gunar.schnell @ desy.de

# the (original) quest: proton spin

- "You think you understand something? Now add spin ..." [Jaffe]
- The understanding of the proton changed dramatically with the finding of EMC that the proton spin hardly comes



[Jaffe & Manohar (1990)]

Schäfer Fest - Regensburg - Sept. 20th, 2023



Deep-Inelastic Scattering probing the structure of the nucleon



exploit spin correlations (e.g., virtual photon couples only to spin-1/2 quarks with opposite spin)

Cross-section difference provides access to quark polarization

In praxis form asymmetries to cancel systematics:

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

$$\frac{\sigma_{\frac{3}{2}} - \sigma_{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sigma_{\frac{3}{2}} + \sigma_{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
  
Schäfer Fest - Regensburg - Sept. 2





#### polarized lepton beams

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

# experimental prerequisites



**(E, p)** 





# experimental prerequisites



**(E, p)** 







Iarge-acceptance spectrometer

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

# experimental prerequisites



Schäfer Fest - Regensburg - Sept. 20th, 2023

(**E**, **p**)







- Iarge-acceptance spectrometer
- good particle identification (PID)

# experimental prerequisites



**(E, p)** 





- polarized beams
  - polarized electron beam at SLAC
    - oplarized at source; high intensity
  - tertiary polarized muon beam at NA of SPS at CERN
    - highly polarized (weak meson decays); low intensity

# experimental situation in the 1980s





- polarized beams
  - polarized electron beam at SLAC
    - oplarized at source; high intensity
  - tertiary polarized muon beam at NA of SPS at CERN
    - highly polarized (weak meson decays); low intensity
- polarized targets
  - solid (e.g. NH<sub>3</sub>) targets -> high density, but large dilution

# experimental situation in the 1980s





- polarized beams
  - polarized electron beam at SLAC
    - oplarized at source; high intensity
  - tertiary polarized muon beam at NA of SPS at CERN
    - highly polarized (weak meson decays); low intensity
- polarized targets
  - solid (e.g. NH<sub>3</sub>) targets -> high density, but large dilution
- statistical precision: ~ \_

# experimental situation in the 1980s

- (f... dilution factor)  $f P_B P_T \sqrt{N}$
- solid targets  $f \approx 0.2 \rightarrow$  directly scales uncertainties (as do P<sub>B</sub> & P<sub>T</sub>)



#### new developments

self-polarized leptons in storage rings -> HERA

• why not combine for double-polarization experiment with excellent figure of merit?

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

highly polarized gas targets





#### new developments

self-polarized leptons in storage rings -> HERA

- figure of merit?
- 1987: two groups with similar ideas (North America ... R. Milner & Europe ... K. Rith)
  - head to DESY to measure spin asymmetries at HERA
  - two separate LOIs beginning of 1988

highly polarized gas targets

• why not combine for double-polarization experiment with excellent





## new developments

self-polarized leptons in storage rings -> HERA

- why not combine for double-polarization experiment with excellent figure of merit?
- 1987: two groups with similar ideas (North America ... R. Milner & Europe ... K. Rith)
  - head to DESY to measure spin asymmetries at HERA
  - two separate LOIs beginning of 1988
- DESY management sympathetic, but ...
  - common effort -> 12/1988 common collaboration 1990 proposal) and ...

highly polarized gas targets





- demonstration of high longitudinal electron beam polarization
  - demonstration of transverse self-polarization of HERA e<sup>±</sup>
  - Successful spin rotation to obtain longitudinal polarization

• demonstration of high flux with high polarization from polarized sources ...

- ... and demonstration of storage-cell technique
- no compromises for HERA flagship colliders H1 and Zeus

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

#### ... conditions for approval





## beam polarization

- tiny asymmetry in spin-flip amplitude by emission of synchrotron radiation -> build-up of self polarization
  - alignment
  - (installed winter 1993/94) -> both helicities

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

• degree of transverse polarization depends critically on machine energy and magnet

Inditudinal polarization through (movable) spin rotators in front / behind experiment







## beam polarization

- tiny asymmetry in spin-flip amplitude by emission of synchrotron radiation -> build-up of self polarization
  - alignment
  - (installed winter 1993/94) -> both helicities
- HERA polarization
  - 11/1991: 8% ... first demonstration of self-polarization at HERA
  - 9/1992: 60% ... polarization sufficient for HERMES
  - 5/1994: 60% longitudinal polarization

• two independent Compton polarimeters at East and West Hall 11 gunar.schnell @ desy.de

• degree of transverse polarization depends critically on machine energy and magnet

Inditudinal polarization through (movable) spin rotators in front / behind experiment















vom 24. Novembe

Erste Mess von Polarisation de in HER/

Letzte Woche wurde in HERAzum ersten Mal di Ausrichtung ihrer "Spins", beobachtet. Im Bereic West wurde dazu ein Laserstrahl auf die umlau es wurden die an den Elektronen zurückgestreu Laserstrahl war im Wechsel (90mal in der Sekt Bei einer Strahlenergie von 26,67 GeV wurde auf der Elektronen von etwa 8% gemessen. Durch nigungsspannung in HERA konnte ihre Polaris variiert werden. Eine in 10MeV-Energieschritte Strukturen, die von Depolarisationsresonanzen herrühren.

#### ektronen

91

isation von Elektronen, die eraden Abschnitts HERA-Elektronen gerichtet, und tonen nachgewiesen. Der nks und rechts polarisiert. /eiseein<u>Polarisationsgrad</u> ränderung der Beschleuezielt und reproduzierbar hgeführte Messung zeigt

Elektronen besitzen die Eigenschaft kleiner Kreisel, sie haben einen "Eigendrehimpuls" oder "Spin". In der Teilchenphysik gibt es einige Fragestellungen, die nur mit solchen "polarisierten" Elektronen untersucht werden können.

#### gunar.schnell

POLARIZATION (%)













#### HERMES gas targets

novel pure gas target:

- internal to HERA lepton ring
- Iongitudinally polarized: <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>2</sup>H, <sup>3</sup>He
- transversely polarized: <sup>1</sup>H
- rapid spin reversal every 60...180s
- unpolarized (<sup>1</sup>H ... Xe)







To Spectrometer

- demonstration of high longitudinal electron beam polarization
  - demonstration of transverse self-polarization of HERA e<sup>±</sup>
  - Successful spin rotation to obtain longitudinal polarization

• demonstration of high flux with high polarization from polarized sources ...

- ... and demonstration of storage-cell technique
- no compromises for HERA flagship colliders H1 and Zeus

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

## ... conditions for approval









- demonstration of high longitudinal electron beam polor
  - demonstration of transverse self-polarization
  - successful spin rotation to obtain longit

- demonstration of high flux with
- and demonstration
- no compromises for HERA flagship colliders H1 and Zeus

## ... conditions for approval

JQ,

Lation

rization from polarized sources ...

age-cell technique









- demonstration of high longitudinal electron beam polor
  - demonstration of transverse self-polarization
  - successful spin rotation to obtain longit

demonstration of high flux with

- and demonstration
- no compromises for HERA flagship colliders H1 and Zeus

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

## ... conditions for approval

Lation

rization from polarized sources ...

age-cell technique











urg - Sept. 20<sup>th</sup>, 2023





# HERMES (1998-2005) schematically



ell @ desy.de gunar.s



# HERMES (1998-2005) schematically



ell @ desy.de gunar.s

- lepton/hadron separation
- RICH: pion/kaon/proton discrimination in momentum range of 2 GeV < p < 15 GeV 16

Schäfer Fest - Regensburg - Sept. 20th, 2023



bread & butter physics

# inclusive DIS (one-photon exchange)

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \sigma(s,S)}{\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}Q^2} = \frac{2\pi \alpha^2 y^2}{Q^6} \mathbf{L}_{\mu\nu}(s) \mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu}(S)$ 

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

DI







# inclusive DIS (one-photon exchange)



gunar.schnell @ desy.de









Physics Letters B 404 (1997) 383-389

#### Measurement of the neutron spin structure function $g_1^n$ with a polarized <sup>3</sup>He internal target

**HERMES** Collaboration

, Y. Sakemi<sup>ab</sup>, I. Savin<sup>g</sup>, K.P. Schüler<sup>e</sup>,

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

# polarized structure function $g_1(x)$

PHYSICS LETTERS B













The hémes

Top row: Bruce Bray, Kalen Martens, Richard Milner, Marc Beckmann, Mike Vetterli, Wolfgang Lorenzon, Eric Belz Bottom row: Ralf Kaiser, Johan Blouw, Greg Rakness, Michael Spengos, Armand Simon, Gunnar Schnell, Erhard Steffens

Л

HERMES vs. SLAC E154: 3-2

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

#### Soccer Team

(Caltech, May 1996)

#### Schäfer Fest - Regensburg - Sept. 20th, 2023





• by late 90's, Andreas had long left the US West Coast and finally settled in Regensburg (after a pit stop in Heidelberg)

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

## Andreas & HERMES



- Regensburg (after a pit stop in Heidelberg)
- for a long time had been an experimentalist's friend:

  - contributed to useful tools, like PEPSI

## Andreas & HERMES

• by late 90's, Andreas had long left the US West Coast and finally settled in

#### already before his Regensburg time, had given important physics input

Computer Physics Communications 71 (1992) 305-318 North-Holland

#### PEPSI – a Monte Carlo generator for polarized leptoproduction

L. Mankiewicz Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 16, W-6900 Heidelberg, Germany

A. Schäfer Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Frankfurt, Postfach 11 19 32, W-6000 Frankfurt, Germany

and

M. Veltri Max-Planck Institut für Kernphysik, Postfach 10 39 80, W-6900 Heidelberg, Germany

Received 20 December 1991; in revised form 18 February 1992

We describe PEPSI (Polarized Electron Proton Scattering Interactions) a Monte Carlo program for polarized deep inelastic leptoproduction mediated by electromagnetic interaction, and explain how to use it. The code is a modification of the LEPTO 4.3 Lund Monte Carlo for unpolarized scattering. The hard virtual gamma-parton scattering is generated according to the polarization-dependent QCD cross-section of the first order in  $\alpha_S$ . PEPSI requires the standard polarization-independent JETSET routines to simulate the fragmentation into final hadrons.

ochard regenerally ochi. Lu




- by late 90's, Andreas had long left the US West Coast and finally settled in Regensburg (after a pit stop in Heidelberg)
- for a long time had been an experimentalist's friend:

  - Iready before his Regensburg time, had given important physics input contributed to useful tools, like PEPSI
- a look at the HERMES author data base reveals:

regensburg [join Jan 99] [dq] [hipt] [azim] [f2] [gdhr] schaefer

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

### Andreas & HERMES



- by late 90's, Andreas had long left the US West Coast and finally settled in Regensburg (after a pit stop in Heidelberg)
- for a long time had been an experimentalist's friend:

  - Iready before his Regensburg time, had given important physics input contributed to useful tools, like PEPSI
- a look at the HERMES author data base reveals:

regensburg [join Jan 99] [dq] [hipt] [azim] [f2] [gdhr] schaefer

co-authored 67 HERMES papers

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

### Andreas & HERMES





gunar.schnell @ desy.de

### Andreas & HERM

<ul> <li>present</li> <li>STAFF, Regensburg U.</li> </ul>	
	Updated on Sep
II 🕞 claim	Citation Summary
etries in semi-inclusive deep-in target	elastic scattering on a transversely
A. Airapetian (Michigan U.) et al. (Aug, ett. 94 (2005) 012002 • e-Print: hep-e	2004) x/0408013 [hep-ex]
<b>∃ cite</b>	☐ reference search → 774 c

ES		
14, 2023		
ted ∨ #1		
itations		





Years	Target	DIS (Milion)	Polarization
1996-1997	H <sub>II</sub>	3.5	0.851 ± 0.033
1998-2000	DII	10.2	0.845 ± 0.028
2001-2005	$H_{\perp}$	~6	0.74 ± 0.06





## polarized structure function $g_1(x)$







## polarized structure function $g_1(x)$







Schäfer Fest - Regensburg - Sept. 20th, 2023

### Saturation close to full integral?

### $\Delta \Sigma \stackrel{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}{=} \frac{1}{\Delta C_{\mathrm{S}}} \begin{bmatrix} 9\Gamma_{1}^{d} \\ 1 - \frac{3}{2}\omega_{D} \end{bmatrix}$ $-\frac{1}{4}a_8\Delta C_{\rm NS}$ theory theory 0.05±0.05 hyperon-decay data

 $\Gamma_1 \dots \text{ integral of } g_1(x)$ 







### Saturation close to full integral?

#### $9\Gamma_1^d$ $\Delta \Sigma \stackrel{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}{=}$ $\frac{1}{4}a_8\Delta C_{\rm NS}$ $\overline{\Delta C_{\rm S}} \left[ \frac{1 - \frac{3}{2}\omega_D}{1 - \frac{3}{2}\omega_D} \right]$ theory theory 0.05±0.05 hyperon-decay data

#### MS $\Delta \Sigma$

### most precise single-experiment result: only 1/3 of nucleon spin from quarks

 $\Gamma_1$  ... integral of  $g_1(x)$ 









### $\Box$ unpolarized DIS: F<sub>2</sub> & $\sigma^d/\sigma^p$





### $\Box$ unpolarized DIS: F<sub>2</sub> & $\sigma^d/\sigma^p$

### $\mathbf{M}$ tensor structure function $b_1$

Can HERMES do more than "just" inclusive  $g_1$ ?





### $\Box$ unpolarized DIS: F<sub>2</sub> & $\sigma^d/\sigma^p$

 $\mathbf{M}$  tensor structure function  $\mathbf{b}_1$ 

### transverse: g2





### I unpolarized DIS: $F_2 \& \sigma d / \sigma P$

 $\mathbf{M}$  tensor structure function  $b_1$ 

- $\mathbf{M}$  transverse:  $\mathbf{g}_2$
- 2-photon exchange in incl. DIS





### $\Box$ unpolarized DIS: F<sub>2</sub> & $\sigma^d/\sigma^p$

 $\mathbf{M}$  tensor structure function  $\mathbf{b}_1$ 

- $\mathbf{M}$  transverse:  $\mathbf{g}_2$
- 2-photon exchange in incl. DIS



# semi-inclusive DIS



(E', p')



## semi-inclusive DIS asymmetries







## semi-inclusive DIS asymmetries







## helicity density - flavor separation

• first 5-flavor extraction of  $\Delta q$ 



Physics Letters B Volume 230, Issues 1–2, 26 October 1989, Pages 141-148

### The valence and strange-sea quark spin distributions in the nucleon from semi-inclusive deep inelastic lepton scattering

Leonid L. Frankfurt, Mark I. Strikman, Lech Mankiewicz<sup>1</sup>, Andreas Schäfer, Ewa Rondio, Andrzej Sandacz, Vassilios Papavassiliou









### helicity density - flavor separation

• first 5-flavor extraction of  $\Delta q$ 

no hint for sea quark pol's
 -> in contrast to incl. DIS

gunar.schnell @ desy.de



28







$$A_{1,p}^{h^+-h^-} \stackrel{\text{lolt}}{=} \frac{4g_1^{u_v} - g_1^{d_v}}{4f_1^{u_v} - f_1^{d_v}}$$



... going 3D

#### **Evidence for a Single-Spin Azimuthal Asymmetry in Semi-inclusive Pion Electroproduction**







#### **Evidence for a Single-Spin Azimuthal Asymmetry in Semi-inclusive Pion Electroproduction**







#### **Evidence for a Single-Spin Azimuthal Asymmetry in Semi-inclusive Pion Electroproduction**







## ... remembering puzzling asymmetries



gunar.schnell @ desy.de

### large left-right asymmetries that persist even to RHIC energies

Schäfer Fest - Regensburg - Sept. 20th, 2023









### what's the origin of these SSA?

#### fragmentation effect?

[J.C. Collins, NPB 396 (1993) 161]

 $\pi$ 

correlating transverse quark spin with transverse momentum







### what's the origin of these SSA?

#### fragmentation effect?

[J.C. Collins, NPB 396 (1993) 161]

π

correlating transverse quark spin with transverse momentum

gunar.schnell @ desy.de



#### quark-distribution effect?



[D.W. Sivers, PRD 41 (1990) 83]

correlating transverse quark momentum with transverse spin of nucleon





### a short history of naive time reversal

- 1978: Kane, Pumplin & Repko: transverse-spin asymmetries suppressed in pQCD
- 1990: Sivers proposes transverse spin-momentum correlation for quark distributions
- 1993: Collins dislikes (& disproves) idea, introduces similar correlation in fragmentation
- 1996: Mulders&Tangerman: compendium of azimuthal asymmetries 1998: Boer&Mulders: naive T-odd observables -> Boer-Mulders distribution
- 2002: Brodsky, Hwang & Schmidt: resurrection of Sivers idea







## a short history of naive time reversal

- 1978: Kane, Pumplir
- 1990: Sivers propo distributions
- 1993: Collins dislike fragmentation
- 1996: Mulders&Tar
- 1998: Boer&Mulder
- 2002: Brodsky, Hw

PHYSICAL REVIEW D covering particles, fields, gravitation, and cosmology

spin asymmetry

A. Schäfer, L. Mankiewicz, P. Gornicki, and S. Güllenstern Phys. Rev. D 47, R1(R) – Published 1 January 1993

Article

ABSTRACT

We discuss the probable size of a single-spin asymmetry in high-energy proton-proton collisions recently proposed by Qiu and Sterman. We derive an upper bound from estimating the contribution of the postulated coherent gluon field to the total energy carried by gluons. We conclude that the observable asymmetry should not exceed a few percent.

Received 9 November 1992

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.47.R1

©1993 American Physical Society

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

**Rapid Communication** 

#### Quantitative estimate for single transverse

**Export Citation** PDF

ies suppressed in pQCD

### elation for guark

ilar correlation in

#### symmetries

**Nulders** distribution

rs idea

Schäfer Fest - Regensburg - Sept. 20th, 2023







# Spin-momentum structure of the nucleon $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \left( \gamma^+ + \lambda \gamma^+ \gamma_5 \right) \Phi \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left| f_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right|$

 $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \left( \gamma^{+} - s^{j} i \sigma^{+j} \gamma_{5} \right) \Phi \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left| f_{1} \right|$ 

quark pol.

nucleon pol

	U	$\mathbf{L}$	Т
U	$f_1$		$h_1^\perp$
L		$g_{1L}$	$h_{1L}^{\perp}$
Т	$f_{1T}^{\perp}$	$g_{1T}$	$h_1, h_{1T}^{\perp}$

$$+ S^{i} \epsilon^{ij} k^{j} \frac{1}{m} f_{1T}^{\perp} + \lambda \Lambda g_{1} + \lambda S^{i} k^{i} \frac{1}{m} g_{1T}$$

$$+ S^{i} \epsilon^{ij} k^{j} \frac{1}{m} f_{1T}^{\perp} + s^{i} \epsilon^{ij} k^{j} \frac{1}{m} h_{1}^{\perp} + s^{i} S^{i} h_{1}$$

$$+ s^{i} (2k^{i}k^{j} - k^{2}\delta^{ij})S^{j} \frac{1}{2m^{2}} h_{1T}^{\perp} + \Lambda s^{i}k^{i} \frac{1}{m} h_{1L}^{\perp} ,$$

- each TMD describes a particular spin-momentum correlation
- functions in black survive integration over transverse momentum
- functions in green box are chiral-odd
- functions in red are naive T-odd









### Spin-momentum structure of the nucleon

$$+ S^{i} \epsilon^{ij} k^{j} \frac{1}{m} f_{1T}^{\perp} + \lambda \Lambda g_{1} + \lambda S^{i} k^{i} \frac{1}{m} g_{1T}$$

$$+ S^{i} \epsilon^{ij} k^{j} \frac{1}{m} f_{1T}^{\perp} + s^{i} \epsilon^{ij} k^{j} \frac{1}{m} h_{1}^{\perp} + s^{i} S^{i} h_{1}$$

$$s^{i}(2k^{i}k^{j} - k^{2}\delta^{ij})S^{j}\frac{1}{2m^{2}}h_{1T}^{\perp} + \Lambda s^{i}k^{i}\frac{1}{m}h_{1L}^{\perp}$$
,

each TMD describes a particular spin-momentum **Boer-Mulders** tion

> functions in black survive integration over transverse momentum

functions in green box are chiral-odd pretzelosity In red are naive T-odd


























# the "trouble" with transversity chiral-odd transversity involves quark helicity flip $f_1^{q} = \bigcirc \qquad g_1^{q} = \bigcirc - \bigcirc \qquad h_1^{q} = \bigcirc \qquad h_$















# transverse spin transfer (polarized final-state hadron) 2-hadron fragmentation

Collins fragmentation

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

need to couple to chiral-odd fragmentation function:







### A. & A.

- In 2002, Alessandro Bacchetta joins Andreas' group as a young researcher and later AvH Fellow
- beginning of a remarkably successful program on transverse-spin physics at HERMES



gunar.schnell @ desy.de



### **Probing the transverse spin of quarks** in deep inelastic scattering



### Alessandro Bacchetta





# probing TMDs in semi-inclusive DIS





gunar.schnell @ desy.de

### in SIDIS\*) couple PDFs to:

\*) semi-inclusive DIS with unpolarized final state





# probing TMDs in semi-inclusive DIS





gunar.schnell @ desy.de



\*) semi-inclusive DIS with unpolarized final state





# probing TMDs in semi-inclusive DIS



### gives rise to characteristic azimuthal dependences

gunar.schnell @ desy.de



### ordinary FF: $D_1^{q \rightarrow h}$

\*) semi-inclusive DIS with unpolarized final state









### transverse polarization of quarks leads to large effects!







transverse polarization of quarks leads to large effects!

opposite in sign for charged pions







- transverse polarization of quarks leads to large effects!
- opposite in sign for charged pions
- disfavored Collins FF large and opposite in sign to favored one









- transverse polarization of quarks leads to large effects!
- opposite in sign for charged pions
- disfavored Collins FF large and opposite in sign to favored one

leads to various cancellations in SSA observables







- transverse polarization of quarks leads to large effects!
- opposite in sign for charged pions
- disfavored Collins FF large and opposite in sign to favored one

leads to various cancellations in SSA observables







# Was Collins then right about Sivers?







# Was Collins then right about Sivers?

### no! -> first evidence of naive-T-odd Sivers function





# Was Collins then right about Sivers?

no! -> first evidence of naive-T-odd Sivers function

however, Sivers predicted wrong sign





- however, Sivers predicted wrong sign
- better: chromodynamic-lensing picture

[M. Burkardt, PRD66 (2002) 014005]





[M. Burkardt, PRD66 (2002) 014005]





# Was Collins then right about Sivers?









# Was Collins then right about Sivers?



_		
	I	
_		





Published for SISSA by 🙆 Springer

RECEIVED: July 31, 2020 ACCEPTED: October 4, 2020 PUBLISHED: December 2, 2020

JHE

Д

 $\vdash$ 

 $\mathbb{N}$ 

 $\mathbb{N}$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\mathbb{N}$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\vdash$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

Azimuthal single- and double-spin asymmetries in semi-inclusive deep-inelastic lepton scattering by transversely polarized protons

### The HERMES Collaboration

A. Airapetian,  $^{13,16}$  N. Akopov,  $^{26}$  Z. Akopov,  $^{6}$  E.C. Aschenauer,  $^{7}$  W. Augustyniak,  $^{25}$ **R.** Avakian,  ${}^{26,a}$  A. Bacchetta,  ${}^{21}$  S. Belostotski,  ${}^{19,a}$  V. Bryzgalov,  ${}^{20}$  G.P. Capitani,  ${}^{11}$ E. Cisbani,<sup>22</sup> G. Ciullo,<sup>10</sup> M. Contalbrigo,<sup>10</sup> W. Deconinck,<sup>6</sup> R. De Leo,<sup>2</sup> E. De Sanctis,<sup>11</sup> M. Diefenthaler,<sup>9</sup> P. Di Nezza,<sup>11</sup> M. Düren,<sup>13</sup> G. Elbakian,<sup>26</sup> F. Ellinghaus,<sup>5</sup> A. Fantoni,<sup>11</sup> L. Felawka,<sup>23</sup> G. Gavrilov,<sup>6,19,23</sup> V. Gharibyan,<sup>26</sup> D. Hasch,<sup>11</sup> Y. Holler,<sup>6</sup> A. Ivanilov,<sup>20</sup> H.E. Jackson,<sup>1,a</sup> S. Joosten,<sup>12</sup> R. Kaiser,<sup>14</sup> G. Karyan,<sup>6,26</sup> E. Kinney,<sup>5</sup> A. Kisselev,<sup>19</sup> V. Kozlov,<sup>17</sup> P. Kravchenko,<sup>9,19</sup> L. Lagamba,<sup>2</sup> L. Lapikás,<sup>18</sup> I. Lehmann,<sup>14</sup> P. Lenisa,<sup>10</sup> W. Lorenzon,<sup>16</sup> S.I. Manaenkov,<sup>19</sup> B. Marianski,<sup>25,a</sup> H. Marukyan,<sup>26</sup> Y. Miyachi,<sup>24</sup> A. Movsisyan,<sup>10,26</sup> V. Muccifora,<sup>11</sup> Y. Naryshkin,<sup>19</sup> A. Nass,<sup>9</sup> G. Nazaryan,<sup>26</sup> W.-D. Nowak,<sup>7</sup> L.L. Pappalardo,<sup>10</sup> P.E. Reimer,<sup>1</sup> A.R. Reolon,<sup>11</sup> C. Riedl,<sup>7,15</sup> K. Rith,<sup>9</sup> G. Rosner,<sup>14</sup> A. Rostomyan,<sup>6</sup> J. Rubin,<sup>15</sup> D. Ryckbosch,<sup>12</sup> A. Schäfer,<sup>21</sup> G. Schnell,<sup>3,4,12</sup> B. Seitz,<sup>14</sup> T.-A. Shibata,<sup>24</sup> V. Shutov,<sup>8</sup> M. Statera,<sup>10</sup> A. Terkulov,<sup>17</sup> M. Tytgat,<sup>12</sup> Y. Van Haarlem,<sup>12</sup> C. Van Hulse,<sup>12</sup> D. Veretennikov,<sup>3,19</sup> I. Vilardi,<sup>2</sup> S. Yaschenko,<sup>9</sup> D. Zeiler,<sup>9</sup> **B.** Zihlmann<sup>6</sup> and P. Zupranski<sup>25</sup> <sup>1</sup>Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439-4843, U.S.A. <sup>2</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bari, 70124 Bari, Italy <sup>3</sup>Department of Theoretical Physics, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, 48080 Bilbao, Spain <sup>4</sup>IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, 48013 Bilbao, Spain <sup>5</sup>Nuclear Physics Laboratory, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0390, U.S.A. <sup>6</sup>DESY, 22603 Hamburg, Germany

<sup>7</sup>DESY, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany

<sup>8</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

<sup>a</sup>Deceased.

OPEN ACCESS, © The Authors. Article funded by  $SCOAP^3$ .

https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2020)010

Azi  $\sin(\phi)$  $\sin(\phi)$  $\sin(3\phi)$  $\sin($  $\sin\left(2\phi\right)$  $\sin{(2\phi)}$  $\cos(\phi)$  $\cos(\phi)$ COS  $\cos{(2\phi)}$ 

muthal	modulation	Significant non voniching Fourier ampli						
muthal modulation		Significant non-vanisning Fourier ampli						
		$\pi^+$	$\pi^-$	$K^+$	$K^{-}$	p	$\pi^0$	
$+\phi_S)$	[Collins]	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		
$-\phi_S)$	[Sivers]	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$(\checkmark)$	
$\phi - \phi_S)$	[Pretzelosity]							
$(\phi_S)$		$(\checkmark)$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$			
$\phi - \phi_S)$								
$\phi + \phi_S)$				$\checkmark$				
$-\phi_S)$	[Worm-gear]	$\checkmark$	$(\checkmark)$	$(\checkmark)$				
$+\phi_S)$								
$(\phi_S)$				$\checkmark$				
$\phi - \phi_S)$								
		-					-	





Published for SISSA by 🖉 Springer

RECEIVED: July 31, 2020 ACCEPTED: October 4, 2020 PUBLISHED: December 2, 2020

 $\square$ 

ΉE

Д

 $\vdash$ 

 $\mathbb{N}$ 

 $\mathbb{N}$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\mathbb{N}$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\vdash$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

Azimuthal single- and double-spin asymmetries in semi-inclusive deep-inelastic lepton scattering by transversely polarized protons

### The HERMES Collaboration

A. Airapetian,  $^{13,16}$  N. Akopov,  $^{26}$  Z. Akopov,  $^{6}$  E.C. Aschenauer,  $^{7}$  W. Augustyniak,  $^{25}$ **R.** Avakian,  ${}^{26,a}$  A. Bacchetta,  ${}^{21}$  S. Belostotski,  ${}^{19,a}$  V. Bryzgalov,  ${}^{20}$  G.P. Capitani,  ${}^{11}$ E. Cisbani,<sup>22</sup> G. Ciullo,<sup>10</sup> M. Contalbrigo,<sup>10</sup> W. Deconinck,<sup>6</sup> R. De Leo,<sup>2</sup> E. De Sanctis,<sup>11</sup> M. Diefenthaler,<sup>9</sup> P. Di Nezza,<sup>11</sup> M. Düren,<sup>13</sup> G. Elbakian,<sup>26</sup> F. Ellinghaus,<sup>5</sup> A. Fantoni,<sup>11</sup> L. Felawka,<sup>23</sup> G. Gavrilov,<sup>6,19,23</sup> V. Gharibyan,<sup>26</sup> D. Hasch,<sup>11</sup> Y. Holler,<sup>6</sup> A. Ivanilov,<sup>20</sup> H.E. Jackson,<sup>1,a</sup> S. Joosten,<sup>12</sup> R. Kaiser,<sup>14</sup> G. Karyan,<sup>6,26</sup> E. Kinney,<sup>5</sup> A. Kisselev,<sup>19</sup> V. Kozlov,<sup>17</sup> P. Kravchenko,<sup>9,19</sup> L. Lagamba,<sup>2</sup> L. Lapikás,<sup>18</sup> I. Lehmann,<sup>14</sup> P. Lenisa,<sup>10</sup> W. Lorenzon,<sup>16</sup> S.I. Manaenkov,<sup>19</sup> B. Marianski,<sup>25,a</sup> H. Marukyan,<sup>26</sup> Y. Miyachi,<sup>24</sup> A. Movsisyan,<sup>10,26</sup> V. Muccifora,<sup>11</sup> Y. Naryshkin,<sup>19</sup> A. Nass,<sup>9</sup> G. Nazaryan,<sup>26</sup> W.-D. Nowak,<sup>7</sup> L.L. Pappalardo,<sup>10</sup> P.E. Reimer,<sup>1</sup> A.R. Reolon,<sup>11</sup> C. Riedl,<sup>7,15</sup> K. Rith,<sup>9</sup> G. Rosner,<sup>14</sup> A. Rostomyan,<sup>6</sup> J. Rubin,<sup>15</sup> D. Ryckbosch,<sup>12</sup> A. Schäfer,<sup>21</sup> G. Schnell,<sup>3,4,12</sup> B. Seitz,<sup>14</sup> T.-A. Shibata,<sup>24</sup> V. Shutov,<sup>8</sup> M. Statera,<sup>10</sup> A. Terkulov,<sup>17</sup> M. Tytgat,<sup>12</sup> Y. Van Haarlem,<sup>12</sup> C. Van Hulse,<sup>12</sup> D. Veretennikov,<sup>3,19</sup> I. Vilardi,<sup>2</sup> S. Yaschenko,<sup>9</sup> D. Zeiler,<sup>9</sup> **B.** Zihlmann<sup>6</sup> and **P.** Zupranski<sup>25</sup> <sup>1</sup>Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439-4843, U.S.A. <sup>2</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bari, 70124 Bari, Italy <sup>3</sup>Department of Theoretical Physics, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, 48080 Bilbao, Spain <sup>4</sup>IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, 48013 Bilbao, Spain <sup>5</sup>Nuclear Physics Laboratory, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0390, U.S.A. <sup>6</sup>DESY, 22603 Hamburg, Germany

<sup>7</sup>DESY, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany

<sup>8</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

<sup>a</sup>Deceased.

OPEN ACCESS, (c) The Authors Article funded by  $SCOAP^3$ .

https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2020)010





Published for SISSA by 🖉 Springer

RECEIVED: July 31, 2020 ACCEPTED: October 4, 2020 PUBLISHED: December 2. 2020

 $\square$ 

ΗE

Д

 $\vdash$ 

 $\mathbb{N}$ 

 $\mathbb{N}$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\mathbb{N}$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\vdash$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

Azimuthal single- and double-spin asymmetries in semi-inclusive deep-inelastic lepton scattering by transversely polarized protons

### The HERMES Collaboration

A. Airapetian,  $^{13,16}$  N. Akopov,  $^{26}$  Z. Akopov,  $^{6}$  E.C. Aschenauer,  $^{7}$  W. Augustyniak,  $^{25}$ **R.** Avakian,  ${}^{26,a}$  A. Bacchetta,  ${}^{21}$  S. Belostotski,  ${}^{19,a}$  V. Bryzgalov,  ${}^{20}$  G.P. Capitani,  ${}^{11}$ E. Cisbani,<sup>22</sup> G. Ciullo,<sup>10</sup> M. Contalbrigo,<sup>10</sup> W. Deconinck,<sup>6</sup> R. De Leo,<sup>2</sup> E. De Sanctis,<sup>11</sup> M. Diefenthaler,<sup>9</sup> P. Di Nezza,<sup>11</sup> M. Düren,<sup>13</sup> G. Elbakian,<sup>26</sup> F. Ellinghaus,<sup>5</sup> A. Fantoni,<sup>11</sup> L. Felawka,<sup>23</sup> G. Gavrilov,<sup>6,19,23</sup> V. Gharibyan,<sup>26</sup> D. Hasch,<sup>11</sup> Y. Holler,<sup>6</sup> A. Ivanilov,<sup>20</sup> H.E. Jackson,<sup>1,a</sup> S. Joosten,<sup>12</sup> R. Kaiser,<sup>14</sup> G. Karyan,<sup>6,26</sup> E. Kinney,<sup>5</sup> A. Kisselev,<sup>19</sup> V. Kozlov,<sup>17</sup> P. Kravchenko,<sup>9,19</sup> L. Lagamba,<sup>2</sup> L. Lapikás,<sup>18</sup> I. Lehmann,<sup>14</sup> P. Lenisa,<sup>10</sup> W. Lorenzon,<sup>16</sup> S.I. Manaenkov,<sup>19</sup> B. Marianski,<sup>25,a</sup> H. Marukyan,<sup>26</sup> Y. Miyachi,<sup>24</sup> A. Movsisyan,<sup>10,26</sup> V. Muccifora,<sup>11</sup> Y. Naryshkin,<sup>19</sup> A. Nass,<sup>9</sup> G. Nazaryan,<sup>26</sup> W.-D. Nowak,<sup>7</sup> L.L. Pappalardo,<sup>10</sup> P.E. Reimer,<sup>1</sup> A.R. Reolon,<sup>11</sup> C. Riedl,<sup>7,15</sup> K. Rith,<sup>9</sup> G. Rosner,<sup>14</sup> A. Rostomyan,<sup>6</sup> J. Rubin,<sup>15</sup> D. Ryckbosch,<sup>12</sup> A. Schäfer,<sup>21</sup> G. Schnell,<sup>3,4,12</sup> B. Seitz,<sup>14</sup> T.-A. Shibata,<sup>24</sup> V. Shutov,<sup>8</sup> M. Statera,<sup>10</sup> A. Terkulov,<sup>17</sup> M. Tytgat,<sup>12</sup> Y. Van Haarlem,<sup>12</sup> C. Van Hulse,<sup>12</sup> D. Veretennikov,<sup>3,19</sup> I. Vilardi,<sup>2</sup> S. Yaschenko,<sup>9</sup> D. Zeiler,<sup>9</sup> **B.** Zihlmann<sup>6</sup> and P. Zupranski<sup>25</sup> <sup>1</sup>Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439-4843, U.S.A. <sup>2</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bari, 70124 Bari, Italy <sup>3</sup>Department of Theoretical Physics, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, 48080 Bilbao, Spain <sup>4</sup>IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, 48013 Bilbao, Spain <sup>5</sup>Nuclear Physics Laboratory, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0390, U.S.A. <sup>6</sup>DESY, 22603 Hamburg, Germany

<sup>7</sup>DESY, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany

<sup>8</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

<sup>a</sup>Deceased.

OPEN ACCESS, (c) The Authors Article funded by  $SCOAP^3$ .

https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2020)010



# sub-leading twist!



- clearly non-zero asymmetries
- opposite sign for pions (Collins-like behavior)
- striking z dependence and in particular magnitude

### similar observation at COMPASS



exclusive reactions

# n = 1



gunar.schnell @ desy.de

[ ]



# n = 1



gunar.schnell @ desy.de

[ ]





# n = 1



gunar.schnell @ desy.de

[ ]





deeply virtual Compton scattering

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

# GPDs in exclusive reactions

GPDs can be accessed through measurements of hard exclusive lepton-nucleon scattering processes.

hard exclusive meson production



- beam polarization P<sub>B</sub>
- beam charge CB
- here: unpolarized target

Fourier expansion for  $\phi$ :

calculable in QED (using FF measurements)













- beam polarization P<sub>B</sub>
- beam charge CB
- here: unpolarized target

Fourier expansion for  $\phi$ :

 $|\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{BH}}|^{2} = \frac{\mathcal{K}_{\mathsf{BH}}}{\mathcal{P}_{1}(\phi)\mathcal{P}_{2}(\phi)} \sum_{n=0}^{2} c_{n}^{\mathsf{BH}} \cos(n\phi)$  $|\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{DVCS}}|^{2} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathsf{DVCS}} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{2} c_{n}^{\mathsf{DVCS}} \cos(n\phi) + P_{B} \sum_{n=1}^{1} s_{n}^{\mathsf{DVCS}} \sin(n\phi) \right]$ 













- beam polarization P<sub>B</sub>
- beam charge CB
- here: unpolarized target

Fourier expansion for  $\phi$ :

 $|\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{BH}}|^{2} = \frac{\mathcal{K}_{\mathsf{BH}}}{\mathcal{P}_{1}(\phi)\mathcal{P}_{2}(\phi)} \sum_{n=0}^{2} c_{n}^{\mathsf{BH}} \cos(n\phi)$  $|\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{DVCS}}|^{2} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathsf{DVCS}} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{2} c_{n}^{\mathsf{DVCS}} \cos(n\phi) + P_{\mathsf{E}}\right]$ n=0 $\mathcal{I} = \frac{C_B K_{\mathcal{I}}}{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})} \left| \sum_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})} \right|^{\mathcal{S}}$  $\mathcal{P}_1(\varphi)\mathcal{P}_2(\psi) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ n=0 \end{bmatrix}$ 



$$\cos(n\phi) + P_B \sum_{n=1}^{1} s_n^{\text{DVCS}} \sin(n\phi)$$
$$c_n^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(n\phi) + P_B \sum_{n=1}^{2} s_n^{\mathcal{I}} \sin(n\phi)$$











- beam polarization P<sub>B</sub>
- beam charge CB
- here: unpolarized target

Fourier expansion for  $\phi$ :

 $|\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{BH}}|^{2} = \frac{\mathcal{K}_{\mathsf{BH}}}{\mathcal{P}_{1}(\phi)\mathcal{P}_{2}(\phi)} \sum_{n=0}^{2} c_{n}^{\mathsf{BH}} \cos(n\phi)$  $|\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{DVCS}}|^{2} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathsf{DVCS}} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{2} c_{n}^{\mathsf{DVCS}} \cos(n\phi) - \frac{1}{2}\right]$  $\mathcal{I} = \frac{C_B K_{\mathcal{I}}}{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$  $\mathcal{P}_1(\varphi)\mathcal{P}_2(\varphi) \begin{bmatrix} -\\ n=0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

bilinear ("DVCS") or linear in GPDs



$$\cos(n\phi) + P_B \sum_{n=1}^{1} s_n^{\text{DVCS}} \sin(n\phi)$$
$$c_n^{\mathcal{I}} \cos(n\phi) + P_B \sum_{n=1}^{2} s_n^{\mathcal{I}} \sin(n\phi)$$











### again a sine modulation ...

- Bethe Heitler has no beam-spin asymmetry -> DVCS!!!



gunar.schnell @ desy.de

### exploit HERA beam-helicity reversal for beam-spin asymmetry

### $\frac{1}{|P_1|} \frac{N^+(\phi) - N^-(\phi)}{N^+(\phi) + N^-(\phi)}$ $A_{LU}(\phi) =$





### again a sine modulation ...

- Bethe Heitler has no beam-spin asymmetry -> DVCS!!!



gunar.schnell @ desy.de

### exploit HERA beam-helicity reversal for beam-spin asymmetry



### CLAS, PRL 87 (2001) 182002 still keeping "first" in the title on arXiv :-)











### ... beam-charge asymmetry ...







# ... a wealth of azimuthal amplitudes

Beam-charge asymmetry: GPD H

Beam-helicity asymmetry: GPD H

PRD 75 (2007) 011103 NPB 829 (2010) 1 JHEP 11 (2009) 083 PRC 81 (2010) 035202 PRL 87 (2001) 182001 JHEP 07 (2012) 032

Transverse target spin asymmetries: GPD E from proton target

> JHEP 06 (2008) 066 PLB 704 (2011) 15

Longitudinal target spin asymmetry: GPD H JHEP 06 (2010) 019 Double-spin asymmetry: NPB 842 (2011) 265 GPD H


# June 30th, 2007 (around midnight)

gunar.schnell @ desy.de







# June 30th, 2007 (around midnight)



gunar.schnell @ desy.de







#### • data taking finished in 2007, but work continued ...

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

# ... this was not the end



#### • data taking finished in 2007, but work continued ... final surveys, calibrations, data production

gunar.schnell @ desy.de

# ... this was not the end



- data taking finished in 2007, but work continued ...
  - final surveys, calibrations, data production
  - joined "Data Preservation in HEP" (DPHEP) initiative in 2009 -> "finished" work on HERMES (& HERA) archive in 2016 --> lesson learnt: it's never too early to start preservation!!!

# ... this was not the end



- data taking finished in 2007, but work continued ...
  - final surveys, calibrations, data production
  - joined "Data Preservation in HEP" (DPHEP) initiative in 2009 -> "finished" work on HERMES (& HERA) archive in 2016 --> lesson learnt: it's never too early to start preservation!!!
  - still many analysis and publications:



# ... this was not the end



Schäfer Fest - Regensburg - Sept. 20th, 2023



Production



Momentum

Structure

### Transversity TMDs

Production







Momentum

Structure

Andreas at work



Hadron

Production



