

Interpreting u -channel Cross Sections

Zachary Sweger
University of California, Davis



UC DAVIS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA



**CALIFORNIA EIC
CONSORTIUM**



BERKELEY LAB


Bringing Science Solutions to the World

Supported in part by




UPDATE: Benchmark Tutorial

- ePIC has been running tutorials for TDR readiness




UPDATE: Benchmark Tutorial

- ePIC has been running tutorials for TDR readiness
- I've been contacted to organize a tutorial on developing benchmarks



UPDATE: Benchmark Tutorial

- ePIC has been running tutorials for TDR readiness
- I've been contacted to organize a tutorial on developing benchmarks
- Tutorial was on October 3rd! (It was recorded & posted)
- <https://eic.github.io/tutorial-developing-benchmarks/>
- <https://youtu.be/McHpRBADjnM?si=s-HVco1XF8VjhhBZ>



This lesson is in the early stages of development (Alpha version)

Home Code of Conduct Setup Episodes Extras License Improve this page

Search...

Developing Benchmarks

Benchmarks are scripts that run detector simulations and analyze the resulting data to extract quantities related to the detector performance.


Prerequisites

The following tutorial assumes basic knowledge of shell. It may be practical to use eic-shell for benchmark development, as it is the environment that will closely match the one during benchmark execution. It also assumes that you are a member of the [EIC organization](#) on GitHub and belong to the “epic-devs” team. You also need to have your local ssh key added to GitHub so that you can push.

Schedule


	Setup	Download files required for the lesson
00:00	1. Excercise 1: Setting up your first benchmark	
00:20	2. Excercise 2: Workflow management with Snakemake	How does one share data analysis workflows?
00:40	Finish	

Interpreting u -channel Cross Sections






Diffractive Scattering

- Scattering has long been used to image the nucleus
- Think of black disk diffraction. Diffraction pattern \rightarrow disk size. But partial absorption complicates picture




Diffractive Scattering

- Scattering has long been used to image the nucleus
- Think of black disk diffraction. Diffraction pattern \rightarrow disk size. But partial absorption complicates picture
- Send in a high-energy projectile (such as a photon or proton) and measure diffractive dips
- Larger momenta \rightarrow greater resolving power for small sizes!
- p_T (transverse momentum) and b (transverse scattering distance) are conjugate variables!



D.K. Papoulias et al. / Physics Letters B 800 (2020) 135133


Nucleons Change with Momentum Fraction




- We can look inside nucleons to see what makes them up

M. Krasny et al. European Physical Journal C. 69. 379-397. 10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1417-0 (2010)

Nucleons Change with Momentum Fraction




- We can look inside nucleons to see what makes them up
- Most of nucleon's momentum comes from valence quarks (up, down)




M. Krasny et al. European Physical Journal C. 69. 379-397. 10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1417-0 (2010)

Nucleons Change with Momentum Fraction




- We can look inside nucleons to see what makes them up
- Most of nucleon's momentum comes from valence quarks (up, down)
- When we look deeper, MANY sea quarks and gluons contribute as well
- up(u), down(d), charm(c), strange(s), antiquarks ($\bar{u}, \bar{d}, \bar{c}, \bar{s}$) and gluons (g)




M. Krasny et al. European Physical Journal C. 69. 379-397. 10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1417-0 (2010)

EIC White Paper (2012)

Nucleons Change with Momentum Fraction




- We can look inside nucleons to see what makes them up
- Most of nucleon's momentum comes from valence quarks (up, down)
- When we look deeper, MANY sea quarks and gluons contribute as well
- up(u), down(d), charm(c), strange(s), antiquarks ($\bar{u}, \bar{d}, \bar{c}, \bar{s}$) and gluons (g)
- Nucleons and the nucleus change with energy
- We aim to measure these nucleus/nucleon distributions at high energies




M. Krasny et al. European Physical Journal C. 69. 379-397. 10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1417-0 (2010)

EIC White Paper (2012)


- Scattering mediated by virtual photon at EIC
- Image nucleus by scattering off nucleus' "gluon cloud"




- Scattering mediated by virtual photon at EIC
- Image nucleus by scattering off nucleus' "gluon cloud"




- Meson production similarly images nuclei




- Scattering mediated by virtual photon at EIC
- Image nucleus by scattering off nucleus' "gluon cloud"



- Meson production similarly images nuclei




J/ψ production cross section




- Scattering mediated by virtual photon at EIC
- Image nucleus by scattering off nucleus' "gluon cloud"
- Cross-section is a Fourier transform of the shape
- Inverse transform to get nuclear shape (low-x gluons)

low-x gluon density vs impact parameter




J/ψ production cross section



Transforming Forward ($ep \rightarrow e'p'X$) Cross Section

Forward cross sections \rightarrow nucleon form factors

- We measure meson/photon production Xsec vs momentum transfer t




Transforming Forward ($ep \rightarrow e'p'X$) Cross Section

Forward cross sections \rightarrow nucleon form factors

- We measure meson/photon production Xsec vs momentum transfer t
- By transforming this in the transverse plane, we can map transverse distribution of partons within proton (or nucleus)


Cross Section for X production



Momentum transfer $-t$ (GeV)

$$F(b) \propto \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\sqrt{t_{\max}}} dp_T p_T J_0(bp_T) \sqrt{\frac{d\sigma_c}{dt}}$$

Resolution down to $b \sim 1/\sqrt{t_{\max}}$





Contributions to Meson Production

t-channel

vs

u-channel





Contributions to Meson Production

t-channel

vs

u-channel


- proton momentum slightly modified



Contributions to Meson Production


t-channel

- proton momentum slightly modified
- meson produced near midrapidity



vs


u-channel



Contributions to Meson Production


t-channel

- proton momentum slightly modified
- meson produced near midrapidity
- relatively large cross section



vs


u-channel



Contributions to Meson Production

t-channel


- proton momentum slightly modified
- meson produced near midrapidity
- relatively large cross section



vs

u-channel


- proton momentum largely modified



Contributions to Meson Production

t-channel


- proton momentum slightly modified
- meson produced near midrapidity
- relatively large cross section



vs

u-channel


- proton momentum largely modified
- meson produced near beam proton's momentum



Contributions to Meson Production

t-channel


- proton momentum slightly modified
- meson produced near midrapidity
- relatively large cross section



vs

u-channel

- proton momentum largely modified
- meson produced near beam proton's momentum
- suppressed cross section (1/10-1/100 of t-channel)




u-channel Processes We've Simulated




u -channel Processes We've Simulated

- Omega meson production: $\omega \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$ } Phys. Rev. C 106, 015204 (2022)




u -channel Processes We've Simulated

- Omega meson production: $\omega \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$
 - Rho meson production: $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
- } Phys. Rev. C 106, 015204 (2022)




u -channel Processes We've Simulated

- Omega meson production: $\omega \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$
 - Rho meson production: $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
 - Pion production: $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
- } Phys. Rev. C 106, 015204 (2022)
- } Phys. Rev. C 108, 055205 (2023)



u -channel Processes We've Simulated

- Omega meson production: $\omega \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\gamma$
 - Rho meson production: $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
 - Pion production: $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
 - DVCS: γ
- } Phys. Rev. C 106, 015204 (2022)
- } Phys. Rev. C 108, 055205 (2023)




Why u-Channel Production?

Why u-Channel Production?

t -channel scattering off pomeron
maps gluon density distribution

Phys. Rev. C 87, 024913 (2013)



Why u-Channel Production?

u-channel processes necessarily involve exchange of baryon number

*D.H. Tompkins SLAC (1970)
Backward Photoproduction of π^0
mesons at 6 to 18 GeV*






Fig. 1.1--Figure indicates a "backward" reaction mediated by baryon exchange. Particles P_2 and P_4 are baryons while particles "a" and "b" are not. Particle "b" is taken to be emitted in the backward direction in the center-of-mass system.

Why u-Channel Production?




- In t-channel production, the shape of this cross-section is meaningful

Why u-Channel Production?




- In t-channel production, the shape of this cross-section is meaningful
- It encodes information about the size of the production region


Why u-Channel Production?



u-channel follows suggestively similar behavior



Transition Distribution Amplitudes




- Mathematical structure around u-channel mechanism is similar to t-channel
- Forward collinear QCD factorization is in terms of GPDs
- Backward collinear factorization is in terms of TDAs: transition distribution amplitudes describing exchange of 3 quarks
- Similar limit as $\Delta_T \rightarrow 0$ yields impact-parameter space interpretation

Fig. 11 Forward and backward collinear factorization schemes.

EPJ A, Vol. 57 342 (2021)


Connection to Baryon Junctions

- In our 2022 paper (PRC 106, 015204), Spencer makes connection between u-channel mechanism and baryon junctions
- Junction model can help explain baryon transport to midrapidity
- Far-forward mesons and a stopped baryon are expected in γ +Junction scattering
- Is interpretation of TDAs in the transverse plane able to provide insight into distribution of baryon number within proton?



Existing Data: π^0 at SLAC


Existing Data: π^0 at SLAC



- Backward photoproduction of π^0 measured at SLAC in 1969

Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 725 (1969)


Existing Data: π^0 at SLAC



- Backward photoproduction of π^0 measured at SLAC in 1969
- Real photons, four energies above the hadron resonance region
- Wide range of Mandelstam u

Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 725 (1969)


Existing Data: ω at NINA (Daresbury)



- Backward photoproduction of ω measured at Daresbury Laboratory's NINA synchrotron in 1977

Phys. Lett. B **72**, 144 (1977)

Existing Data: ω at NINA (Daresbury)




- Backward photoproduction of ω measured at Daresbury Laboratory's NINA synchrotron in 1977
- Real photons, two energies above the hadron resonance region
- Wide range of Mandelstam u , very fine bins

Phys. Lett. B **72**, 144 (1977)



Transformation Procedure

1. Grab an existing dataset



Transformation Procedure



1. Grab an existing dataset
2. To get closer to p_T , calculate and subtract off u_{\min} , corresponding to exactly backward production (180°)



Transformation Procedure


3. Transform to impact-parameter space

$$F(b) \propto \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\sqrt{u_{max}}} dp_T p_T J_0(bp_T) \sqrt{\frac{d\sigma}{du}}$$




Transformation Procedure

3. Transform to impact-parameter space
4. Bootstrap data many times and re-transform
 - a. vary data points according to errors
 - b. vary upper limit of integration by $\pm 20\%$



Transformation Results



Work in progress



- $F(b)$ is density of production region in impact parameter space
- We can approximate source radius with width at half-max
 $b_0 = 0.41 \pm 0.03$ fm
- Regions of negative $F(b)$ are unphysical artifacts of finite transformation range



Transformation Results

- At $W=3.5$ GeV, limited data results in slightly wider transverse shape
- At other energies, interaction region size converges quickly



Transformation Results



- ω transform has larger spread, esp. for $b < 0.2 \text{ fm}$





Transformation Results

- ω transform has larger uncertainty, esp. for $b < 0.2 \text{ fm}$
- Both cross sections give similar size

Work in progress





Transformation Results




u -channel at the EIC

- Currently all the best u -channel data is for photoproduction ($Q^2=0$)
- EIC may provide opportunity to describe Q^2 evolution of this “object”
- Studies suggest that certain channels like ρ production will be measurable



Conclusions

$$F(b)/\int |F(b)| db$$



- Instructed tutorial on developing benchmarks on October 3rd.
- Spencer and I are working on interpreting u-channel production/scattering cross sections
- By transforming to the transverse plane, these cross-sections may map the distribution of baryon number in the nucleon.
- Early studies suggest an ``object'' with size $b_0 \cong 0.4 \text{ fm}$. This is still a work in progress!



Thank you for your attention!

zsweger@ucdavis.edu