

A Model Of EveryThing (MOET)

Below the Planck Scale

- Simple GUT models (SU(5), SO(10)) not obtained from weakly-coupled string
 - They need adjoint Higgs, ...

Flipped

- Flipped SU(5)×U(1) derived, has advantages
 - Small (5-, 10-dimensional) Higgs representations
 - Long-lived proton, neutrino masses, leptogenesis, ...
- Construct model of Starobinsky-like inflation within flipped SU(5)×U(1) framework

Inflationary Landscape

Monomial Single-field potentials



R+R² Inflation

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (R + R^2/6M^2) \,, \qquad \text{Starobinsky}$$

where $M \ll M_P$

With $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = (1 + \varphi/3M^2)g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\varphi' = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\varphi}{3M^2}\right)$ conformally equivalent to:

Old No-Scale Supergravity Model of Inflation

Volume 152B, number 3,4

PHYSICS LETTERS

SU(N, 1) INFLATION

John ELLIS, K. ENQVIST, D.V. NANOPOULOS CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

K.A. OLIVE Astrophysics Theory Group, Fermilab, Batavia, IL 60510, USA

and

M. SREDNICKI Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

Received 7 December 1984

 No 'holes' in effective potential with negative cosmological constant

JE, Enqvist, Nanopoulos, Olive & Srednicki, 1984

We present a simple model for primordial inflation in the context of SU(N, 1) no-scale n = 1 supergravity. Because the model at zero temperature very closely resembles global supersymmetry, minima with negative cosmological constants do not exist, and it is easy to have a long inflationary epoch while keeping density perturbations of the right magnitude and satisfying other cosmological constraints. We pay specific attention to satisfying the thermal constraint for inflation, i.e. the existence of a high temperature minimum at the origin.

No-Scale Supergravity

Natural vanishing of cosmological constant (tree level) with the supersymmetry scale not fixed at lowest order. (Also arises in generic 4d reductions of string theory.)

$$K = -3\ln(T + T^* - \phi^i \phi_i^*/3)$$
$$V = e^{\frac{2}{3}K} \left|\frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi^i}\right|^2$$

Globally supersymmetric potential once K (canonical) picks up a vev

No-Scale models revisited

Can we find a model consistent with Planck?

Ellis, Nanopoulos, Olive

Start with WZ model:
$$W = \frac{\mu}{2}\Phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{3}\Phi^3$$

Assume now that T picks up a vev: 2 < Re T > = c

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \frac{c}{(c - |\phi|^2/3)^2} |\partial_{\mu}\phi|^2 - \frac{V}{(c - |\phi|^2/3)^2}$$

Redefine inflaton to a canonical field χ

$$\hat{V} = |W_{\Phi}|^2$$

$$\phi = \sqrt{3c} \tanh\left(\frac{\chi}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

No-Scale models revisited

The potential becomes:

 $V = \mu^2 \left| \sinh(\chi/\sqrt{3}) \left(\cosh(\chi/\sqrt{3}) - \frac{3\lambda}{\mu} \sinh(\chi/\sqrt{3}) \right) \right|^2$ $\hat{\mu} = \mu \sqrt{(c/3)}$

For $\lambda = \mu/3$, this is exactly the R + R² potential

 $V = \mu^2 e^{-\sqrt{2/3}x} \sinh^2(x/\sqrt{6})$



 $\chi = (x + iy)/\sqrt{2}$

From R² Gravity to No-Scale Supergravity

J.Ellis, D.Nanopoulos & K.Olive, arXiv:1711.11051

- Pure R² gravity $\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \alpha R^2$
- Is conformally equivalent to De Sitter model

$${\cal A} \;=\; rac{1}{2}\int d^4x \sqrt{- ilde{g}}\left(\mu^2 ilde{R} - \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - rac{\mu^4}{4lpha}
ight)$$

- Starobinsky model also has linear R term $\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + \tilde{\alpha} R^2 \right)$
- Equivalent to SU(1,1)/U(1) no-scale
- Can introduce conformally-coupled scalars:

 A = 1/(2κ²) ∫ d⁴x√-g [δR + α̃R² 2κ² ∑_{i=1}^{N-1} (∂^μφⁱ∂_μφ[†]_i + 1/(3)|φⁱ|²R)]

 Equivalent to generalized no-scale model

How many e-Folds of Inflation?

• General expression:

JE, García, Nanopoulos & Olive, arXiv:1505.06986

$$N_* = 67 - \ln\left(\frac{k_*}{a_0 H_0}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\ln\left(\frac{V_*^2}{M_P^4 \rho_{\rm end}}\right) + \frac{1 - 3w_{\rm int}}{12(1 + w_{\rm int})}\ln\left(\frac{\rho_{\rm reh}}{\rho_{\rm end}}\right) - \frac{1}{12}\ln g_{\rm th}$$

• In no-scale supergravity models:

$$N_{*} = 68.659 - \ln\left(\frac{k_{*}}{a_{0}H_{0}}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\ln\left(A_{S*}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\ln\left(N_{*} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}\frac{\phi_{\text{end}}}{M_{P}} + \frac{3}{4}e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\varphi_{\text{end}}}{M_{P}}}\right) \\ + \frac{1 - 3w_{\text{int}}}{12(1 + w_{\text{int}})} \left(2.030 + 2\ln\left(\Gamma_{\phi}/m\right) - 2\ln(1 + w_{\text{eff}}) - 2\ln(0.81 - 1.10\ln\delta)\right) \\ - \frac{1}{12}\ln g_{\text{tr}},$$

Equation of state during inflaton decay Inflaton decay rate

Amplitude of

perturbations

Prospective constraint on inflaton models?



Flipped SU(5)×U(1) GUT Model

JE, Garcia, Nagata, Nanopoulos & Olive, arXiv:1704.07331

• Fields:
$$F_{i} = (\mathbf{10}, 1)_{i} \ \ni \{d^{c}, Q, \nu^{c}\}_{i}$$

- Matter: $\overline{f}_{i} = (\overline{5}, -3)_{i} \ \ni \{u^{c}, L\}_{i}$,
 $\ell_{i}^{c} = (\mathbf{1}, 5)_{i} \ \ni \{e^{c}\}_{i}$,
- Singlets: $\phi_{a} = (\mathbf{1}, 0), a = 0, \dots, 3$
• Superpotential: $W = \lambda_{1}^{ij}F_{i}F_{j}h + \lambda_{2}^{ij}F_{i}\overline{f}_{j}\overline{h} + \lambda_{3}^{ij}\overline{f}_{i}\ell_{j}^{c}h + \lambda_{4}HHh + \lambda_{5}\overline{H}\overline{H}\overline{h}$
• No-scale Kähler potential:
 $K = -3\ln\left[T + \overline{T} - \frac{1}{3}\left(|\phi_{a}|^{2} + |\ell^{c}|^{2} + f^{\dagger}f + h^{\dagger}h + \overline{h}^{\dagger}\overline{h} + F^{\dagger}F + H^{\dagger}H + \overline{H}^{\dagger}\overline{H}\right)\right]$
• D-terms: $D^{a}D^{a} = \left(\frac{3}{10}g_{5}^{2} + \frac{1}{80}g_{X}^{2}\right)\left(|\overline{\nu}_{i}^{c}|^{2} + |\overline{\nu}_{H}^{c}|^{2} - |\overline{\nu}_{H}^{c}|^{2}\right)^{2}$
• Symmetry breaking: SU(5) × U(1) \rightarrow SU(3)_C × SU(2)_L × U(1)_Y
• Proton lifetime: $\tau_{p} = 4.6 \times 10^{35} \times \left(\frac{M_{32}}{10^{16} \text{ GeV}}\right)^{4} \times \left(\frac{0.0374}{\alpha_{5}(M_{32})}\right)^{2}$ yrs

Starobinsky-Like Inflation

JE, Garcia, Nagata, Nanopoulos & Olive, arXiv:1704.07331

- Need superpotential: $W \supset m\left(\frac{S^2}{2} \frac{S^3}{3\sqrt{3}}\right)$
- Identify inflaton S with some combination of Φ_a , consider 2 scenarios:
- 1) Hierarchy of scalars^{*} with one light eigenstate Φ_0^{D} :

$$\begin{split} \mu_D^{ab} &= \operatorname{diag}\left(m/2, \mu_D^{11}, \mu_D^{22}, \mu_D^{33}\right), \qquad \mu_D^{ab} \leq M_{\mathrm{GUT}} \quad : \quad \operatorname{det} \mu^{ab} \ll M_{\mathrm{GUT}}^4 \\ \bullet \text{ ``Starobinsky'' condition: } &- 3\sqrt{3}\,\lambda_{8,D}^{000} = m \\ \hline V_F &\simeq \frac{3}{4}m^2\left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{2/3}\,s}\right)^2 + \frac{3}{4}\sinh^2(\sqrt{2/3}\,s)\sum_i |\lambda_6^{i0}|^2\left(|\tilde{\nu}_{\bar{H}}^c|^2 + |\tilde{\nu}_i^c|^2\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8}m^2e^{\sqrt{2/3}s}\left(|\tilde{\nu}_{\bar{H}}^c|^2 + \sum_i |\tilde{\nu}_i^c|^2\right) + \cdots . \end{split}$$

* Consider later scenario 2) no scalar mass hierarchy

Starobinsky-Like Inflation in Scenario (2)

Multiple light singlet states: correction to Starobinsky potential: $\Delta V_{inf} \sim \frac{\sqrt{3} m \sinh(\sqrt{2/3} s)}{2(1 + \tanh(s/\sqrt{6}))} \frac{\Lambda_1^2}{\Lambda_2} \sim m \frac{\sqrt{3}\Lambda_1^2}{8\Lambda_2} e^{\sqrt{2/3} s}$ where $\lambda_8^{00i}S \sim \mu^{0i} \sim \Lambda_1 \ \lambda_8^{0ij}S \sim \mu^{ij} \sim \Lambda_2$ V/m^2 --- 68% Planck+BKP+BAO 1.2 $\Lambda_1^2/m\Lambda_2 = 10^{-2}$ 0.007 $10^{-3.3}$ 1.00.006 $N_{*} = 50$ $10^{-3.4}$ 0.8 10^{-3} ► 0.005 0.6 $N_{*} = 60$ 0.0040.4 $\Lambda_1^2/m\Lambda_2$ 0.2 0.0030.9550.9600.9650.9700.9750.9800.9850.9900 2 6 8 10 4 Multi-field effects not a problem, steep valley:

Full Numerical Calculations

JE, Garcia, Nagata, Nanopoulos & Olive, arXiv:1704.07331



• Constraints including numerical calculations of evolution of inflaton and other scalar fields

Neutrino Masses & Mixing

- Consider 2 options:
- (A) Inflaton decouples from neutrinos
 - Inflaton decays to Higgs(inos): leptogenesis difficult
- (B) Inflaton couples to neutrinos

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{mass}}^{(i')} = -rac{1}{2} egin{pmatrix}
u_{i'} &
u_{i'}^c & ilde{S} \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_2^{i'i'} \langle ar{h}_0
angle & 0 & \lambda_6^{i'0} \langle ilde{
u}_{ar{H}}^c
angle \\ 0 & \lambda_6^{i'0} \langle ilde{
u}_{ar{H}}^c
angle & m \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix}
u_{i'} \\
u_{i'}^c \\
u_{i'}^c \\
 ilde{S} \end{pmatrix} + ext{h.c.}$$

 Double seesaw mass matrix, 2 heavy states, couplings
 W = λ₂^{i'j} (cos θN_{i'1} − sin θN_{i'2}) L_jh_u where tan 2θ = −<sup>2λ₆^{i'0}⟨ν̃_H⟩/m

 Constraints from neutrino data, easier leptogenesis
</sup>

Neutrino Masses & Inflaton Coupling

JE, Garcia, Nagata, Nanopoulos & Olive, arXiv:1704.07331

- To avoid overproduction of dark matter via gravitinos if no later entropy $|y| < 2.7 \times 10^{-5} \left(1 + 0.56 \frac{m_{1/2}^2}{m_{3/2}^2}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{m_{\text{LSP}}}\right)$
- With entropy factor Δ , if inflaton couples to neutrinos: $|\lambda_2^{i'j}\sin\theta| \lesssim 10^{-5}\Delta$
- Normal neutrino mass hierarchy preferred

$$m_{
u_1} \simeq 10^{-9} imes \left(rac{|\lambda_6^{10}|}{10^{-3}}
ight)^{-2} \left(rac{|\langle ilde{
u}_{ ilde{H}}^c
angle|}{10^{16} ext{ GeV}}
ight)^{-2} \quad \left(rac{m}{3 imes 10^{13} ext{GeV}}
ight) ext{ eV} rac{m_{
u_2} \simeq |\delta m^2|^{rac{1}{2}} \simeq 9 imes 10^{-3} ext{ eV}}{m_{
u_3} \simeq |\Delta m^2|^{rac{1}{2}} \simeq 5 imes 10^{-2} ext{ eV}}$$

• Weak or strong reheating? Much much extra entropy?

Entropy Release & Baryogenesis

JE, Garcia, Nagata, Nanopoulos & Olive, arXiv:1704.07331

• Entropy release

$$\Delta \simeq 8 imes 10^3 \lambda_{1,2,3,7}^{-2} \left(rac{g_{d\Phi}}{43/4}
ight)^{1/4} \left(rac{915/4}{g_{
m dec}}
ight) \left(rac{\langle \Phi
angle}{5 imes 10^{15} \,{
m GeV}}
ight) \left(rac{10 \,{
m TeV}}{m_{F,ar{f},\ell^c, ilde{\phi}_a}/|m_{\Phi}|}
ight)^{1/2}$$

- Relaxes gravitino production constraint, little effect on number of inflationary e-folds: $\Delta N_*^{\text{max}} \simeq -4 \times 10^{-3} \ln \Delta$
- Standard leptogenesis if inflaton couples to neutrinos:

$$\begin{split} \epsilon &\simeq -\frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\left(U_{\nu^c}^{\dagger}(\lambda_2^D)^2 U_{\nu^c}\right)_{11}} \sum_{i=2,3} \operatorname{Im} \left[\left(U_{\nu^c}^{\dagger}(\lambda_2^D)^2 U_{\nu^c}\right)_{i1}^2 \right] \frac{m}{M_i} \\ \frac{n_B}{s} &\simeq 3.8 \times 10^{-11} \,\delta f \lambda_{1,2,3,7}^2 \lambda_6^{-2} \left(\frac{43/4}{g_{d\Phi}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{915/4}{g_{reh}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{g_{dec}}{915/4}\right) \left(\frac{y}{10^{-5}}\right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{5 \times 10^{15} \,\mathrm{GeV}}{\langle \Phi \rangle}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_{F,\bar{f},\ell^c,\tilde{\phi}_a}^2 / |m_{\Phi}|}{10 \,\mathrm{TeV}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m}{3 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{1/2} \end{split}$$

No-Scale Framework for Particle Physics & Dark Matter

 Incorporating LHC constraints, Higgs mass, flavour, supersymmetric dark matter, Starobinskylike inflation, leptogenesis, neutrino masses, ...



Tj.Li, J.Maxin, D.Nanopoulos / Physics Letters B 764 (2017) 167-173



All points $0.1093 \le \Omega h^2 \le 0.1221$ and $172.2 \le m \le 174.4$ GeV

Below the Planck Scale

• Starobinsky-like inflation can be embedded within flipped SU(5)×U(1) model

Almost

of Every

Flipped

Model (

- Inflaton coupling to neutrinos preferred for baryogenesis – implications for neutrino masses
- Prefer strong reheating after inflation for same reason
- Example how inflation can connect string theory (noscale supergravity, GUT derived from string) with particle physics accessible to experiment (neutrinos, dark matter, proton decay, LHC, ...)

E, Garcia, Nagata, Nanopoulos & Olive, arXiv:1704.07331

THANK YOU VERY MUCH