

# COSMOLOGICAL CONSTRAINTS ON NON-STANDARD NEUTRINO INTERACTIONS

Massimiliano Lattanzi INFN, sezione di Ferrara Based on work with F. Forastieri, P. Natoli

> COSMOLOGY 2018 DUBROVNIK, 24 OCT 2018

The presence of a background of relic neutrinos (CvB) is a basic prediction of the standard cosmological model

- Neutrinos are kept in thermal equilibrium with the cosmological plasma by weak interactions until T ~ I MeV (z ~ 10<sup>10</sup>);
- Below T ~ I MeV, neutrino free stream keeping an equilibrium spectrum:

$$f_{
u}(p) = rac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{p/T}+1}$$

- Today  $T_v = 1.9$  K and  $n_v = 113$  part/cm<sup>3</sup> per species
- Free parameters: the three masses (but cosmological evolution mostly depends on their sum)

3

Weak cross section:
$$\sigma \simeq G_F^2 T^2$$
Weak interaction rate $\Gamma = n \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim G_F^2 T^5$ Expansion rate $H \simeq \frac{T^2}{m_p}$ Interactions become ineffective at $1 \simeq \frac{\Gamma}{H} \sim G_F^2 T^3 m_p \sim \left(\frac{T}{\text{MeV}}\right)$ 

Given this, we can use conservation laws to compute the temperature, density, etc... of neutrinos at a given time.

# Effective number of relativistic species

Planck 2018 + BAO: Neff = 2.99+/- 0.17



expectation is  $N_{eff} = 3.046$  (updated calculation gives  $N_{eff} = 3.045$ ; see de Salas & Pastor 2016) (note I am showing ~ I<sup>4</sup> C<sub>1</sub>, not I<sup>2</sup> C<sub>1</sub>)

The  $\Lambda$ CDM(+ $\nu$ ) model assumes:

- only weak and gravitational interactions for v's;
- no sterile neutrinos or other light relics;
- perfect lepton symmetry (zero chemical potential);
- no entropy generation after neutrino decoupling beyond e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> annihilation;
- neutrinos are stable;
- in general, there are no interactions that could lead to neutrino scattering/annihilation/decay

See M. Gerbino's talk on Friday

Possible extensions to the standard picture:

- Non-standard interactions, e.g. scalar interactions (scattering will affect neutrino free streaming; decay changes N<sub>eff</sub>)
- Non-thermal distributions, e.g. low-reheating scenarios)  $(N_{eff} < 3.046, suppression of the spectrum)$
- Sterile neutrinos

(  $N_{eff} > 3.046$ , another free streaming species)

- Large lepton asymmetries (  $N_{\rm eff}$  > 3.046, larger average velocity)

Possible extensions to the standard picture:

- Non-standard interactions, e.g. scalar interactions (scattering will affect neutrino free streaming; decay changes N<sub>eff</sub>)
- Non-thermal distributions, e.g. low-reheating scenarios  $(N_{eff} < 3.046, suppression of the spectrum)$
- Sterile neutrinos

(  $N_{eff} > 3.046$ , another free streaming species)

- Large lepton asymmetries (  $N_{\rm eff}$  > 3.046, larger average velocity)

# Effective number of relativistic species

Planck 2018 + BAO: Neff = 2.99+/- 0.17



expectation is  $N_{eff} = 3.046$  (updated calculation gives  $N_{eff} = 3.045$ ; see de Salas & Pastor 2016) (note I am showing ~ I<sup>4</sup> C<sub>1</sub>, not I<sup>2</sup> C<sub>1</sub>)

# Effective number of relativistic species

Planck 2018 + BAO: Neff = 2.99+/- 0.17



expectation is  $N_{eff} = 3.046$  (updated calculation gives  $N_{eff}$ = 3.045; see de Salas & Pastor 2016) (note I am showing ~  $|{}^4C_1$ , not  $|{}^2C_1$ )

#### **Probing CvB perturbations**

Parameterized by the effective v sound speed and viscosity Consistent with free-streaming neutrinos ( $c_{vis}^2 = c_{eff}^2 = 1/3$ )



Planck 2015 XIII

### **CONSTRAINTS ON SECRET INTERACTIONS**





 $0\nu 2\beta$  decay  $g_{\rm ee} < (0.8 \div 1.6) \times 10^{-5}$ (flavor basis)

Collisional processes can suppress stress and affect the perturbation evolution of cosmological neutrinos



H grows as  $T^2$  (RD) and  $T^{3/2}$  (MD) so the ratio  $\Gamma/H$  *increases* with time. Neutrinos **recouple** at low temperatures! In the following I write generically

$$\Gamma_{\nu\nu} = (\dots) \times \frac{g^4}{T_{\nu}^2} \times \frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2} T_{\nu}^3 = g_{\text{eff}}^4 \times \frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2} T_{\nu}$$



Neutrino perturbations in the presence of collisions

2.

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \tau} + ik\mu \frac{q}{\epsilon} \Psi + \frac{d \ln f_0}{d \ln q} \left[ \dot{\eta} - \frac{\dot{h} + 6\dot{\eta}}{2} \mu^2 \right] = \frac{1}{f_0} \hat{C}[f],$$
  
Relaxation time approx.:  $\hat{C}[f] \simeq -\frac{1}{\tau_c} \delta f$ 

Relaxation time approx.:

(massles limit)

$$\begin{split} \delta &= -\frac{1}{3}\theta - \frac{1}{3}h \,, \\ \dot{\theta} &= k^2 \left(\frac{1}{4}\delta - \Pi\right) \,, \end{split}$$

No coll. term for monopole and dipole due to conservation of particle number and momentum in  $2 \leftrightarrow 2$  processes

Higher order momenta are driven to zero by the collisions

 $\rightarrow$  fluctuations are confined to the monopole and dipole

 $\dot{F}_{\ell} = \frac{k}{2\ell + 1} \left[ \ell F_{\ell-1} - (\ell + 1) F_{\ell+1} \right] - a \Gamma F_{\ell} \quad (\ell \ge 3).$ 

 $\dot{\Pi} = \frac{4}{15}\theta - \frac{3}{10}kF_3 + \frac{2}{15}\dot{h} + \frac{4}{5}\dot{\eta} - a\Gamma\Pi,$ 







![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### LENSING AND INTERACTING NEUTRINOS

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **CONSTRAINTS FROM PLANCK 2015**

PlanckTT(TEEE) +lowP  $g_{nn}^{4} < 2.90 (1.70) \times 10^{-27}$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Limits are 95% CL

Forastieri, ML, Natoli

### **CONSTRAINTS FROM PLANCK 2015**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

Limits are 95% CL

Forastieri, ML, Natoli

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Scalar $\nu$ interactions in the CMB spectrum

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Core Parameters paper arXiv: 1612.00021 Future generation CMB can improve limits by nearly one order of magnitude

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **MAJORON MODELS**

A simple realization of scalar neutrino interactions is found in Majoron models, in which neutrino masses arise from the spontaneous violation of lepton number

$$\mathcal{L}_{v} = y_{v}\overline{L}\Phi v_{R} - \frac{1}{2}y_{\sigma}\sigma\overline{v_{R}^{c}}v_{R} + h.c.$$

When the scalar singlet  $\sigma$  acquires a vev  $v_1 >> v_{\Phi}$  it generates the large mass term M (a Majorana mass term for the rh neutrinos) in the see saw mass matrix

$$M = \frac{y_{\sigma}v_1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Diagonalization of the mass matrix yields small neutrino masses  $m_v \sim v_{\Phi}^2/v_1$  and an interaction term between the neutrino mass eigenstates and the majoron  $J = Im(\sigma)$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = rac{iJ}{2} g_{ij} \overline{v}_i \gamma_5 v_j \qquad ext{with} \qquad g_{ij} \simeq rac{m_{v,i}}{v_1} \delta_{ij} \sim rac{v_\Phi^2}{v_1^2} \delta_{ij}$$

Our results on the scattering rate imply that the scale of lepton number breaking

$$v_1 > 300 \,\mathrm{TeV}$$

# SUMMARY

- Cosmological observations are in good agreement with the standard picture of the evolution of the neutrino background;
- the precision of the available data allows to test nonstandard scenarios with high accuracy;
- the strength of neutrino scalar interactions is constrained by CMB observations at the 10<sup>-7</sup> level (z<sub>rec</sub> < 4000 from PlanckTT+lowP+lensing);</li>
- For a simple majoron model (with diagonal couplings) g  $< 7 \ge 10^{-7}$  from PlanckTT+lowP+lensing...
- ...corresponding to a scale of lepton number breaking above ~ 300 TeV
- Limits from future experiments might improve by one order of magnitude

# **BACKUP SLIDES**

I eV for the real mass is allowed by Planck.

However, for  $m_s \sim 1 \text{ eV}$ and  $\sin^2 2\theta \sim 0.1$  (the preferred SBL solution) full thermalization ( $\Delta N_{\text{eff}}$ ~ 1) is expected.

This is at odds with Planck constraints

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

Hannestad et al. 2015

A possible solution: new ("secret") neutrino interactions in the sterile sector can prevent production in the early Universe

 $\log(g_X)$ 

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

Hannestad et al. 2014

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

For secret vector interactions,  $G_F \rightarrow G_X$ 

Interactions mediated by a massive gauge boson X (Hannestad et al. 2014; Dasgupta & Kopp 2014; Bringmann et al 2014; Mirizzi et al 2015; Chu, Dasgupta, Kopp 2015)

$$\mathcal{L}_s = g_X \bar{\nu}_s \gamma_\mu \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \gamma_5 \right) \nu_s X^\mu$$

Production of sterile neutrinos is suppressed BUT "secret" collisions can still lead to a significant late-time (T<<1 MeV) abundancy

Tensions with CMB/LSS?

The mechanism also reduces N<sub>eff</sub> = 2.7

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

# STERILE PRODUCTION AT T < 1MeV

For  $g_x > 10^{-2}$  and  $M_X < 10$  MeV, it is still possible to copiusly produce neutrinos at low (T<I MeV) temperatures, through an interplay between vacuum oscillations and collisions ("scattering-induced decoherence") (Saviano et al 2014; Mirizzi et al 2015; ) 10<sup>23</sup> Relaxation rate to chemical equilibrium: 10<sup>18</sup> 10<sup>18</sup> 10<sup>13</sup>

 $\Gamma_t \simeq \langle P(\nu_a \to \nu_s) \rangle_{\text{coll}} \Gamma_X.$ 

Number conservation and flavour equilibration i

$$n_{s,after} = n_{a,after} = 3/4 n_{a,before}$$

Then collision thermalization

binnimply  
after = 3/4 n<sub>a,before</sub>  
sions lead to  
tion and  

$$T_{\nu} = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{1/3} T_{\nu}^{\text{std}}$$

$$\int_{0}^{1/3} T_{\nu}^{\text{std}}$$

$$\int_{0}^{10^{3}} 10^{-2} \qquad \int_{0}^{10^{3}} 10^{-2} \qquad \int_{0}^{10^{-1}} 10^{-1} \qquad \int_{0}^{10^{-1}}$$

#### FREE-STREAMING OF INTERACTING STERILES

However, for  $m_s \sim IeV$  and  $T_n \sim (3/4)^{1/3}T_n^{std}$ , the density of freestreaming species is possibly too large

Problem with structure formation?

If  $G_X$  is large enough (>  $10^{10} G_F$ ) free-streaming is suppressed until the sterile state becomes non-relativistic.

Large G<sub>X</sub> will leave an imprint in CMB spectrum (see e.g. Cyr-Racine & Sigurdson 2014; Lancaster et al 2016; for active neutrinos)

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

In arXiv:1704.00626 we have studied the effect of collisions in the sterile sector on the evolution of cosmological pertubations and on the CMB spectrum.

Startinh point is the collisional Boltzmann eqn for neutrinos (monopole and dipole of the collision term are -0)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Psi_i}{\partial \tau} + i \frac{q(\vec{k} \cdot \hat{n})}{\epsilon} \Psi_i + \frac{d \ln f_0}{d \ln q} \left[ \dot{\phi} - i \frac{q(\vec{k} \cdot \hat{n})}{\epsilon} \psi \right] &= -\Gamma_{ij} \Psi_j \,, \\ \Gamma_{ij} &= \begin{bmatrix} \sin^2 \theta_s & 0 & 0 & \sin \theta_s \cos \theta_s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_s \cos \theta_s & 0 & 0 & \cos^2 \theta_s \end{bmatrix} (3/2) (\zeta(3)/\pi^2) \, a G_X^2 \, T_\nu^5 \,. \end{aligned}$$

We have assumed  $\nu_s \simeq \sin \theta_s \nu_1 + \cos \theta_s \nu_4$ , with  $\theta_s = 0.1$ 

Collisions push power towards the lowest multipoles (ell=0, 1)

Increase in density and pressure fluctuations below a critical scale

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

Parameter	$\Lambda \text{CDM}$	SACDM_GX0	SACDM	$S\Lambda CDM_Broad$	SACDM_Narrow
$\chi^2_{ m min}$	11265.1	11272.8	11269.0	11275.2	11277.6

Table 4. Best-fit  $\chi^2$  values for the models under consideration, for the PlanckTT+lowP dataset.

The model is mildly disfavoured ( $\Delta \chi^2 = 4$ ) with respect to standard LCDM (mainly because of low N<sub>eff</sub>) – this is independent of SBL anomalies

If we impose a "large" (~ eVish) sterile neutrino mass, as per SBL anomalies, the model becomes strongly disfavoured:

$$0.93 \,\text{eV} < m_s < 1.43 \,\text{eV}, \quad \Delta \chi^2 = 10.1$$
  
 $m_s = 1.27 \pm 0.03 \,\text{eV}, \quad \Delta \chi^2 = 12.5$ 

(note that this numbers do not take into account H0 tension)

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

Perturbations of non-interacting neutrinos evolve according to:

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \tau} + ik\mu \frac{q}{\epsilon} \Psi + \frac{d \ln f_0}{d \ln q} \left[ \dot{\eta} - \frac{\dot{h} + 6\dot{\eta}}{2} \mu^2 \right] = 0$$
  
massless limit,  
integrating over  
entum and  
nding the angular  
indence:  

$$\dot{\delta} = -\frac{4}{3}\theta - \frac{2}{3}\dot{h},$$

$$\dot{\theta} = k^2 \left( \frac{1}{4}\delta - \Pi \right),$$

$$\dot{\Pi} = \frac{4}{15}\theta - \frac{3}{10}kF_3 + \frac{2}{15}\dot{h} + \frac{4}{5}\dot{\eta},$$

 $\dot{F}_{\ell} = \frac{k}{2\ell+1} \left[ \ell F_{\ell-1} - (\ell+1)F_{\ell+1} \right] \quad (\ell \ge 3).$ 

In the after i mome expan dependence:

### THE MAJORON MODEL

As a concrete example, in models in which neutrinos acquire mass through sponataneous breaking of lepton number, they couple to the NG boson of the broken symmetry – the Majoron:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Y}} &= \mathsf{Y}_{u} \bar{\mathsf{Q}}_{L} \Phi^{*} u_{L}^{\mathsf{c}} + \mathsf{Y}_{d} \bar{\mathsf{Q}}_{L} \Phi d_{L}^{\mathsf{c}} + \mathsf{Y}_{e} \bar{L}_{L} \Phi e_{L}^{\mathsf{c}} + \\ &+ \mathsf{Y}_{\nu} \bar{L}_{L} \Phi^{*} \nu_{L}^{\mathsf{c}} + \tilde{\mathsf{Y}}_{\nu} \mathcal{L}_{L}^{\mathsf{T}} \Delta \mathcal{L}_{L} + \frac{\mathsf{Y}_{e}}{2} \nu_{L}^{\mathsf{c}} \nu_{L}^{\mathsf{c}} \sigma + \mathcal{H.c.} \,, \end{aligned}$$

In the see-saw limit  $<\Delta> << <\Phi> << <\sigma>$  the majoron is the following combination of the Higgs fields:

 $J \propto v_3 {v_2}^2 \Im(\Delta^0) - 2 v_2 {v_3}^2 \Im(\Phi^0) + v_1 ({v_2}^2 + 4 {v_3}^2) \Im(\sigma)$ 

### **SECRET NEUTRINO INTERACTIONS**

Consider a new ("hidden") neutrino (pseudo)scalar interaction mediated by a light boson (like e.g. in Majoron models):

$$\mathcal{L} \supset h_{ij} \bar{\nu}_i \nu_j \phi + g_{ij} \bar{\nu}_i \gamma_5 \nu_j \phi + h.c. ,$$

This induces processes like

- neutrino-neutrino scattering
- neutrino-neutrino annihilation to phi's
- neutrino decay (needs off-diagonal couplings)
- neutrinoless double beta decay.

$$\rho_{\rm rad} = \left[ \mathbf{I} + \frac{7}{8} \left( \frac{4}{|\mathbf{I}|} \right)^{4/3} N_{\rm eff} \right] \rho_{\gamma}$$

Energy density in units of "standard" neutrino density (thermally distributed with T=1.9 K)

Increasing N<sub>eff</sub> makes the Universe younger at recombination and increases the angular scale of the photon diffusion length

increased Silk damping and reduced power in the damping tail.

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

A full description of the sterile sector would require to specify (for each sterile species) its mass m<sub>s</sub> and the **full form of the distribution function**.

Two notable cases are often considered:

- thermally distributed with arbitrary temperature T<sub>s</sub>;
- à la Dodelson-Widrow: distributed proportionally to active neutrinos with an arbitrary scaling factor  $\chi_s$  (depends on the mixing angle).

This two models are equivalent from the point of view of cosmological observations as they can be remapped in the same effective model

#### **STERILE NEUTRINO PARAMETERIZATION**

In this phenomenological reparameterization

$$m_{
m s}^{
m eff} \equiv \left( {
m 94.\, I} \; \Omega_{
m s} h^2 
ight) \; {
m eV}$$

Effective mass (sets non-relativistic energy density)

Effective number of degrees of freedom  $\Delta N$  (sets relavistic energy density)

$$N_{\rm eff} = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} (T_{\rm s}/T_{
u})^{4} & {
m thermal} \ \chi_{
m s} & {
m DW} \end{array} 
ight.$$

To go back to the real mass:

$$m_{\rm s} = \begin{cases} m_{\rm s}^{\rm eff} \left(T_{\rm s}/T_{\nu}\right)^{-3} = m_{\rm s}^{\rm eff}/\Delta N_{\rm eff}^{3/4} & \text{thermal} \\ m_{\rm s}^{\rm eff}/\chi_{\rm s} = m_{\rm s}^{\rm eff}/\Delta N_{\rm eff} & {\rm DW} \end{cases}$$

#### PLANCK CONSTRAINTS ON MASSLESS STERILE NEUTRINOS

Planck constraints on  $N_{eff}$  alone (can be regarded as a massless limit for the sterile)

 $N_{eff} = 3.13 \pm 0.32 \text{ (PlanckTT+lowP)}$   $N_{eff} = 3.15 \pm 0.23 \text{ (PlanckTT+lowP+BAO)}$   $N_{eff} = 2.99 \pm 0.20 \text{ (PlanckTT,TE,EE+lowP)}$   $N_{eff} = 3.04 \pm 0.18 \text{ (PlanckTT,TE,EE+lowP+BAO)}$  (uncertainties are 68% CL)

*N*<sub>eff</sub> = 4 (i.e., one extra thermalized neutrino) *is excluded at between ~ 3 and 5 sigma.* 

Planck 2015 XIII

#### PLANCK CONSTRAINTS ON MASSIVE STERILE NEUTRINOS

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **CMB** LENSING

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

The effect is relevant at small scales (~ % effect at subdegree scales) and results in a smearing of the power spectrum at high multipoles. It also induces a non-gaussian signal.

CMB lensing probes the matter distribution of the Universe.

The CMB anisotropy pattern is distorted ("blurred") by the weak lensing effect due to the intervening structures between us and the last scattering surface

![](_page_45_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Probing CvB perturbations

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

Parameter	PlanckTT+lowP 95 % limits	PlanckTT+SIMlow 95 % limits	PlanckTTTEEE+lowP 95 % limits	PlanckTTTEEE+SIMlow 95 % limits
$\overline{\Omega_K}$	$-0.052\substack{+0.049\\-0.055}$	$-0.053^{+0.044}_{-0.046}$	$-0.040^{+0.038}_{-0.041}$	$-0.039^{+0.032}_{-0.034}$
$\Sigma m_{\nu} [eV] \ldots$	< 0.715	< 0.585	< 0.492	< 0.340
$N_{\rm eff}$	$3.13^{+0.64}_{-0.63}$	$2.97^{+0.58}_{-0.53}$	$2.99_{-0.39}^{+0.41}$	$2.91^{+0.39}_{-0.37}$
<i>Y</i> <sub>P</sub>	$0.252\substack{+0.041\\-0.042}$	$0.242^{+0.039}_{-0.040}$	$0.250\substack{+0.026\\-0.027}$	$0.244^{+0.026}_{-0.026}$
$dn_s/d\ln k$	$-0.008\substack{+0.016\\-0.016}$	$-0.004^{+0.015}_{-0.015}$	$-0.006^{+0.014}_{-0.014}$	$-0.003^{+0.014}_{-0.013}$
<i>r</i> <sub>0.002</sub>	< 0.103	< 0.111	< 0.0987	< 0.111
w	$-1.54^{+0.62}_{-0.50}$	$-1.57^{+0.61}_{-0.49}$	$-1.55^{+0.58}_{-0.48}$	$-1.59^{+0.58}_{-0.46}$
<i>A</i> <sub>L</sub>	$1.22\substack{+0.21\\-0.20}$	$1.23^{+0.20}_{-0.18}$	$1.15^{+0.16}_{-0.15}$	$1.15^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$

#### Planck Collaboration: Large-scale polarization and reionization

. Constraints on 1-parameter extensions of the base ACDM model obtained using the PlanckTT likelihood in combination

The neutrino energy density is expressed in terms of the effective number of relativistic species

$$\rho_{\rm rad} \equiv \rho_{\nu} + \rho_{\gamma} = \left[1 + \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3} N_{\rm eff}\right] \rho_{\gamma}$$

assuming the standard thermal history,  $N_{eff} = 3.046$  for the three active neutrinos (Mangano et al., 2005).

The only unknown parameter is the mass.

$$\rho_{\nu} = \sum_{\nu} m_{\nu} n_{\nu} = \left(\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu}\right) \frac{1}{4\pi^{3}} \int f(p) d^{3}p$$
$$\longrightarrow \Omega_{\nu} = \sum_{\nu} \frac{\rho_{\nu}}{\rho_{c}} = \frac{\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu}}{93.14h^{2} \text{ eV}}$$

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)