d(α,γ) reaction measurement at LUNA



Davide Trezzi (for the LUNA collaboration) | 2nd CUNA WORKSHOP



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO

THE BIG BANG NUCLEOSYNTHESIS ERA

Only after about *three minutes and half* after the Big Bang the temperature of the Universe was lower enough to produce deuterium (deuterium bottleneck)







LOOKING THE PAST

- Chemical evolution of the Universe destroyed primordial abundances information
- Searching for high redshift astrophysical objects
- Up to now, no Lithium can be detected in extra-galactic objects





Lithium abundance in Stellar atmospheres





⁶Li abundance measurement in ancient low-metallicity stars*

*located in our galaxy halo

- Search for metal poor stars*
- Obtain an high resolution absorption spectrum
- Fit the Lithium (⁶Li+⁷Li) absorption line in order to obtain the ⁶Li (stellar atmospheric) abundance
- Use a detailed model of stellar atmospheres and calculate the ⁶Li primordial abundance. $\rightarrow {}^{6}Li/H \simeq 10^{-11}$

Davide Trezzi (for the LUNA collaboration) | 2nd CUNA WORKSHOP

BIG BANG NUCLEOSYNTHESIS

The abundance of primordial light nuclei at the beginning of the Universe, during the Big Bang Nucleosynthesis era, can be estimated by means of:

- Cosmological Model (ΛCDM)
 - Measurement of the cosmological parameters → CMB
- Particle Physics
 - Measurement of the involved cross sections → HEP
- Nuclear Physics
 - Measurement of the involved cross sections \rightarrow Nuclear **Under**/Over ground labs

PRIMORDIAL LITHIUM-6 ABUNDANCE

Davide Trezzi (for the LUNA collaboration) | 2nd CUNA WORKSHOP

ĮNFN

10

THE ²H(α,γ)⁶Li MEASUREMENT AT LUNA* *Laboratory for Underground Nuclear Astrophysics

The LUNA 400 kV accelerator features requested for the ${}^{2}H(\alpha,\gamma){}^{6}Li$:

- The beam energy is within the BBN energy range 40-400 keV (absolute value ±0.3 keV, spread < 0.1 keV)
- High α current for low cross section measurements (< 500 µA)
- Long term stability in order to have long runs (5 eV/h)

н

$$E_{\gamma} = \frac{m_{\alpha}^{2} + m_{\alpha}^{2} - m_{Li}^{2} + 2m_{\alpha}(E_{\alpha} + m_{\alpha})}{2[E_{\alpha} + m_{\alpha} + m_{d} - p_{\alpha}\cos(\theta_{lab})]}$$
The ²H($\alpha\gamma$)⁶Li in the
BBN energy range "is" a
direct capture reaction
 \rightarrow unknown
resonances?

THE ²H(α,γ)⁶Li EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

THE ²H(α,γ)⁶Li EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

THE ²H(α,γ)⁶Li EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

THE LUNA GAS TARGET

THE ²H(α , γ)⁶Li GAS CHAMBER

THE ²H(α , γ)⁶Li GAS CHAMBER

THE ²H(α , γ)⁶Li HPGe Detector

- 137% efficiency High Purity Germanium Detector.
- Close geometry in order to increase the geometrical efficiency.
- Doppler effect: peak shape analysis.

$$E_{\gamma} = \frac{m_{\alpha}^2 + m_d^2 - m_{Li}^2 + 2m_d(E_{\alpha} + m_{\alpha})}{2[E_{\alpha} + m_{\alpha} + m_d - p_{\alpha}\cos(\theta_{lab})]}$$

Energy

Rol position and width depend on the beam energy: 1.5-1.6 MeV

THE ²H(α , γ)⁶Li HPGe Detector

Deep Underground Laboratory is mandatory → Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso (LNGS)

THE ²H(α , γ)⁶Li GAS CHAMBER

THE ²H(α , γ)⁶Li GAS CHAMBER

M. Anders et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 49 (2013) 28

INFN

$^{2}H(\alpha,\gamma)^{6}Li$ GAS TARGET SHIELDING

In order to minimize the natural background and to prevent any possible increasing of the LNGS neutron background the follow passive shields have been implemented:

- Lead castle
- Anti-Radon box
- Borated HDPE

Davide Trezzi (for the LUNA collaboration) | 2nd CUNA WORKSHOP

THE ²H(α , γ)⁶Li CALORIMETER

THE LUNA CALORIMETER

In order to measure the beam current a constant temperature gradient calorimeter has been used.

Source	Systematic uncertainties
Angular distribution	9%
Detector efficiency	8%
Beam current	3%
Temperature	3%
Pressure	1%
Target length	1%
Gas purity	1%
Beam energy	< 1%
total	13%

THE ²H(α , γ)⁶Li MEASUREMENT AT LUNA

Four different beam energies have been investigated (240, 280, 360 and 400 keV). Seven (4 in lab) years has been spent in order to take and analyze the data.

INFN

THE ²H(α , γ)⁶Li MEASUREMENT AT LUNA

Four different beam energies have been investigated (240, 280, 360 and 400 keV). Seven (4 in lab) years has been spent in order to take and analyze the data.

INFN

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO

THE ²H(α , γ)⁶Li MEASUREMENT AT LUNA

The S-factor of the ${}^{2}H(\alpha,\gamma){}^{6}Li$ nuclear reaction has been measured, providing the firs data points at BBN energies. Using the new ${}^{2}H(\alpha,\gamma){}^{6}Li$ cross section a relative BBN lithium-6 abundance:

$${}^{6}Li/H = (0.80 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-14}$$

is obtained. It is 27% lower than the value obtained when using the CF88 rate for ${}^{2}H(\alpha,\gamma){}^{6}Li$

$$S_{24}(134 \text{ keV}) = (4.0^{+0.8(\text{stat})}_{-0.9} \pm 0.5^{(\text{syst})}) \times 10^{-6} \text{ keV} b,$$

$$S_{24}(94 \text{ keV}) = (2.7^{+1.5(\text{stat})}_{-1.6} \pm 0.3^{(\text{syst})}) \times 10^{-6} \text{ keV} b.$$

THE SECOND LITHIUM PROBLEM IN 2016

- Using AlterBBN code + LUNA all data we obtain a three order of magnitude difference between observed and calculated ⁶Li primordial abundance → Second Lithium Problem
- Using different stellar atmosphere models, in one (1D) or three (3D) dimensions and with or without Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium (LTE/NLTE) different results have been obtained

M. Steffen et al., Light elements in the Universe (2010)

INFN

CONCLUSIONS: THE SECOND LITHIUM PROBLEM

- LUNA data firmly ruling out standard BBN production as a possible explanation for the reported ⁶Li detections.
- As a result, possible remaining scenarios explaining the observed ⁶Li abundance may be, under very special conditions, a stellar flare in situ production of ⁶Li or *nonstandard* physics solutions.

Cosmic lithium-6 is clearly a high interesting probe of physics beyond the **Standard Model**

Laboratory for Underground Nuclear Astrophysics

THE LUNA COLLABORATION

- A. Boeltzig, A. Formicola, M. Junker, I. Kochanek, C. Savarese | Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso/GSSI, Italy
- D. Bemmerer, M. Takacs, T. Szucs | HZDR, Germany
- C. Broggini, A. Caciolli, R. Depalo, R. Menegazzo | Università di Padova and INFN Padova, Italy
- C. Gustavino | INFN Roma1, Italy
- Z. Elekes, Zs. Fülöp, Gy. Gyurky, E. Samorjai | INR MTA-ATOMKI Debrecen, Hungary
- O. Straniero | Collurania Astronomical Observatory Teramo, Italy
- F. Cavanna, P. Corvisiero, F. Ferraro, <u>P. Prati</u>, S. Zavatarelli | Università di Genova and INFN Genova, Italy
- A. Guglielmetti, D. Trezzi | Università di Milano and INFN Milano, Italy
- A. Best, G. Imbriani, A. Di Leva | Università di Napoli "Federico II" and INFN Napoli, Italy
- G. Gervino Università di Torino and INFN Torino, Italy
- M. Aliotta, C. Bruno, T. Davinson | University of Edinburgh
- E. Fiore, V. Mossa, F. Pantaleo, V. Paticchio, R. Perrino, L. Schiavulli, A. Valentini | Università di Bari and INFN Bari, Italy

