towards understanding non-abelian axion inflation

mikko laine

aec, itp, university of bern

introduction and overview

case for (thermal) axion inflation¹

thanks to a shift symmetry, the potential remains "flat" — even in the presence of thermal corrections, evading known obstacles²

yet the friction can be large, offerring for a mechanism to remove energy from the inflaton and heat up the standard model plasma

$$egin{aligned} V_0(arphi) &\simeq m^2 f_a^2 \left[1-\cos{\left(rac{arphi}{f_a}
ight)}
ight] \ T_a &pprox 1.25\,m_{
m pl} \ , \quad m &pprox 1.09 imes 10^{-6}\,m_{
m pl} \end{aligned}$$

[within 2σ of planck data]

¹ K. Freese, J.A. Frieman and A.V. Olinto, *Natural inflation with pseudo Nambu-Goldstone bosons*, PRL 65 (1990) 3233; ...

² J. Yokoyama and A.D. Linde, *Is warm inflation possible?*, hep-ph/9809409

difference between abelian and non-abelian cases

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} \varphi \, \partial_{\mu} \varphi - V_0(\varphi) - \frac{\varphi \, \chi}{f_a} \,, \quad \chi \equiv \frac{\alpha \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^c_{\mu\nu} F^c_{\rho\sigma}}{16\pi}$$

abelian axion inflation displays remarkable tachyonic instability...³ \Rightarrow but backreaction effects are large & difficult to control?

in the non-abelian case, gauge fields self-interact strongly... \Rightarrow perhaps so much so that gauge fields thermalize? (not φ) \Rightarrow memory of their history is lost (no mode functions) \Rightarrow life could be simpler again?

³ M.M. Anber and L. Sorbo, *Naturally inflating on steep potentials through electromagnetic dissipation*, 0908.4089

remarks on thermalization

"proving" thermalization theoretically is notoriously difficult

for heavy ion collisions, $\gg 10^3$ papers, from preheating-type simulations⁴ to advanced perturbative computations⁵ to ads/cft

now even heavy quarks ($m_c > 5T_{\rm max}$) suggested to equilibrate⁶

all this is supported by that, empiricially, hydrodynamics works

⁶ e.g. F. Capellino et al, Hydrodynamization of charm quarks ..., 2312.10125

⁴ e.g. D. Bödeker, K. Rummukainen, *QCD plasma instability and thermalisation at heavy ion collisions*, 0711.1963

⁵ e.g. Y. Fu, J. Ghiglieri, S. Iqbal, A. Kurkela, *Thermalization of non-Abelian gauge theories at next-to-leading order*, 2110.01540

our philosophy

introduce a temperature-like parameter T, but keep it dynamical, viewing it as a parametrization of phase space distributions

(technically: we assume that the energy released from φ is distributed ergodically within the gauge sector)

if $T \ll H$, where H is the Hubble rate, T plays no practical role for φ [but it serves as a "seed" for the subsequent reheating]

our temperature is "classical", and often much below the gibbons-hawking temperature $H/(2\pi)$ of de sitter spacetime

non-abelian theory has a dimensionful parameter, Λ_{IR}

interaction between the inflaton and gauge fields:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} \varphi \, \partial_{\mu} \varphi - V_0(\varphi) - \frac{\varphi \, \chi}{f_a} \,, \quad \chi \equiv \frac{\alpha \, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^c_{\mu\nu} F^c_{\rho\sigma}}{16\pi}$$

gauge field self-interactions are parametrized by $\alpha = \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \Leftrightarrow \Lambda_{\text{IR}}$; for energy scale $\omega \gg \Lambda_{\text{IR}}$ or temperature $2\pi T \gg \Lambda_{\text{IR}}$,

$$\alpha \simeq \frac{6\pi}{11N_{\rm c}} \ln^{-1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\omega^2 + (2\pi T)^2}}{\Lambda_{\rm IR}} \right]$$

confinement sets in if $\max\{\omega, 2\pi T\} \ll 2\pi \Lambda_{\mathrm{IR}}$

prototypical scenarios 7 for a small and large Λ_{IR}



¹ H. Kolesova, ML, S. Procacci, Maximal temperature of ... dark sectors, 2303.17973

basic equations and the friction Υ

equations for the background solution ($\varphi = \bar{\varphi} + \delta \varphi$)

$$\begin{split} \ddot{\varphi} + (3H + \Upsilon) \dot{\bar{\varphi}} + \partial_{\varphi} V &\simeq 0 \;, \\ \dot{e}_r + 3H \big(e_r + p_r - T \partial_T V \big) - T (\partial_T^{} V) &\simeq \Upsilon \dot{\bar{\varphi}}^2 \end{split}$$

consistent with overall energy conservation e+3H(e+p)=0, where $e=e_r+\dot{\bar{\varphi}}^2/2+V-T\partial_T V$ and $p=p_r+\dot{\bar{\varphi}}^2/2-V$

the friction Υ transfers energy from $\dot{\bar{\varphi}}$ to "radiation" (e_r,p_r)

dispersive representation of Υ

 Υ originates from a coupling between φ and gauge fields

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{\varphi \chi}{f_a}, \quad \chi \equiv \frac{\alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^c_{\mu\nu} F^c_{\rho\sigma}}{16\pi}$$

through linear response theory ($\varphi \leftrightarrow \chi$), the influence of χ on φ can be related^{8,9} to the "spectral function" of χ alone,

$$\Upsilon(\omega) = \frac{1}{f_a^2} \frac{\rho(\omega)}{\omega}$$

this incorporates both vacuum decays $\varphi \to gg$ (for $\omega \gg 2\pi T$) and plasma scatterings $\varphi + X \to Y$ (for $\omega \ll 2\pi T$)

⁹ ML and S. Procacci, ... inflation with complete medium response, 2102.09913

⁸ L.D. McLerran, E. Mottola and M.E. Shaposhnikov, *Sphalerons and axion dynamics in high-temperature QCD*, PRD 43 (1991) 2027

2-point correlation functions

$$\begin{split} \rho(\omega) \; &\equiv \; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \, e^{i\omega t} \; \int_{\mathbf{x}} \Bigl\langle \frac{1}{2} \bigl[\chi(t, \mathbf{x}) \, , \, \chi(0, \mathbf{y}) \bigr] \Bigr\rangle_T \\ C_{\mathrm{S}}(\omega) \; &\equiv \; \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \, e^{i\omega t} \; \int_{\mathbf{x}} \Bigl\langle \frac{1}{2} \bigl\{ \chi(t, \mathbf{x}) \, , \, \chi(0, \mathbf{y}) \bigr\} \Bigr\rangle_T \end{split}$$

the two time orderings are related to each other,

$$C_{\mathrm{S}}(\omega) \stackrel{|\omega| \ll T}{=} \frac{2T \ \rho(\omega)}{\omega}$$

the symmetric ordering has formally a classical limit,

$$C_{\rm S}^{\rm (cl)}(\omega) \equiv \lim_{\hbar \to 0} C_{\rm S}(\omega)$$

therefore $\Upsilon(\omega)$ can be estimated via classical simulations

generate gauge configurations at t = 0 with boltzmann weight

$$Z^{(\mathsf{cl})} = \int \mathcal{D}U_i \, \mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}_i \, \delta(G) \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{g^2 T a} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\sum_{i,j} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1} - P_{ij}\right) + \sum_i \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{E}_i^2\right)\right]\right\}$$

evolve fields to t > 0 with equations of motion

$$\begin{split} a \,\partial_t U_i(x) &= i \mathcal{E}_i(x) U_i(x) , \\ a \,\partial_t \mathcal{E}_i^b(x) &= 2 \sum_{j \neq i} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ T^b \left[\, P_{ji}(x) + P_{-ji}(x) \, \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

then measure the 2-point correlator of χ , and fourier-transform



¹⁰ "direct method" and extension to $\omega \ge 0$: ML, L. Niemi, S. Procacci, K. Rummukainen, Shape of the hot topological charge density spectral function, 2209.13804 ¹¹ "cooled": G.D. Moore, M. Tassler, The sphaleron rate in SU(N) ..., 1011.1167

the full frequency dependence



 \Rightarrow we observe a "transport dip" instead of a "transport peak"

afterwards, lattice needs to be "matched" onto continuum

(i) for IR regime, $\omega < g^2 T$, rescale observables by debye mass squared, to account for interactions between IR and UV modes

(ii) for UV asymptotics, $\omega \sim 1/a$, subtract the lattice result and add the full continuum result, both within perturbation theory

$$C_{\mathsf{S}}|_{\mathrm{cont}} \simeq \frac{m_{\mathrm{D,latt}}^2}{m_{\mathrm{D,cont}}^2} \underbrace{\left[C_{\mathsf{S}}|_{\mathrm{latt}} - C_{\mathsf{S,UV}}|_{\mathrm{latt}}\right]}_{\Delta C_{\mathsf{S}}^{(\mathrm{cl})}} + C_{\mathsf{S,UV}}|_{\mathrm{cont}}$$

this leads to a reconstructed continuum expression



here $n_{
m B}$ is the bose distribution for gauge boson bose enhancement

then need to fix ω — not obvious, since want an equation in $t \Rightarrow$ forward-backward fourier transforms?

in practice, we have considered $0 \leq \omega \leq m$, with $\omega = m$ being the proven choice for reheating

application: gravitational waves

overview

• contrary to common lore, there is a thermal contribution to the tensor spectrum¹² — not flat but with a characteristic f_0^3 shape

 \bullet from the reheating stage, there could be an additional GHz signal, which might be constrained via $N_{\rm eff}$

• the presence of a non-abelian plasma with $T_{\rm max} > T_{\rm c}$ forces us to think about subsequent (dark sector?) phase transitions

all are "interesting", but none pose strong constraints on the benchmarks considered (i.e. no over-production)

¹² Y. Qiu and L. Sorbo, ... tensor perturbations in warm inflation, 2107.09754

sketch



reminder: prototypical scenarios



[have this in mind first]

f_0^3 shape: basic ingredients

the non-abelian plasma has non-trivial dissipative coefficients, like the shear viscosity $\eta \sim T^3/\alpha^2$ and the bulk viscosity ζ

the fluctuation-dissipation theorem asserts that dissipation is balanced by hydrodynamic fluctuations, whose autocorrelator is proportional to the same dissipative coefficients¹³

$$\left\{ T_{\text{hydro}}^{ij}(x) T_{\text{hydro}}^{mn}(y) \right\} = 2T \left[\eta \left(\delta^{im} \delta^{jn} + \delta^{in} \delta^{jm} \right) + \left(\zeta - \frac{2\eta}{3} \right) \delta^{ij} \delta^{mn} \right] \frac{\delta^{(4)}(x-y)}{\sqrt{-\det g}} d\xi$$

such a local white noise leads to a characteristic "hydrodynamic" shape of the gravitational wave spectrum

¹³ E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Statistical Physics, Part 2*, secs. 88-89; J.I. Kapusta, B. Müller and M. Stephanov, *Relativistic theory of hydrodynamic fluctuations with applications to heavy-ion collisions*, 1112.6405

 f_0^3 shape: general result for the tensor power spectrum 14

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(k) = \frac{32 k^{3}}{\pi m_{\mathrm{pl}}^{2}} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}^{2}(1+k^{2}\tau_{e}^{2})}{2k^{3}} + \frac{32\pi}{m_{\mathrm{pl}}^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau_{e}} \mathrm{d}\tau_{i} G_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}(\tau_{e},\tau_{i},k) T(\tau_{i}) \eta(\tau_{i})}{\mathrm{from thermal fluctuations}} \right\}$$

 $[\tau_e = {\rm end} ~ {\rm of} ~ {\rm inflation}]$

¹⁴ P. Klose, ML, S. Procacci, Gravitational wave background from vacuum and thermal fluctuations during axion-like inflation, 2210.11710

 f_0^3 shape: largest thermal contribution comes from $\sim T_{\rm max}$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(k)}{\delta \left(T\eta(\tau_{i})\right)} = \frac{32^{2} k^{3}}{m_{\mathrm{pl}}^{4}} \underbrace{\underline{G}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}(\tau_{e},\tau_{i},k)}_{\text{constant for } k \ll aH}$$

multiplying \mathcal{P}_T with the post-inflation transfer function¹⁵ yields

$$\Omega_{\rm GW} h^2 \supset A\left(\frac{f_0}{{\rm Hz}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{T\eta}{m_{\rm pl}^4}\right)_{\rm max}\,,\quad \left(T\eta\right)_{\rm max}\sim \frac{T_{\rm max}^4}{\alpha_{\rm min}^2}\,,$$

with the estimate $A \sim 10^{-9}$ for $\Lambda_{\rm IR} \ll m_{\rm pl} \Rightarrow$ "so and so"

 $^{^{15}}$ assuming frequencies that re-enter the horizon within the radiation-dominated epoch

$N_{\rm eff}$: at $\pi T \gg m$, $2 \rightarrow 2$ single-graviton (h) production

this could be from SM,¹⁶ BSM,¹⁷ or inflaton processes^{18,19}



¹⁶ J. Ghiglieri, ML, Gravitational wave background from Standard Model physics: qualitative features, 1504.02569; J. Ghiglieri, G. Jackson, ML, Y. Zhu, Gravitational wave background from Standard Model physics: complete leading order, 2004.11392

A. Ringwald, J. Schütte-Engel, C. Tamarit, 2011.04731; L. Castells-Tiestos,
 J. Casalderrey-Solana, 2202.05241; F. Muia, F. Quevedo, A. Schachner, G. Villa,
 2303.01548; M. Drewes, Y. Georis, J. Klaric, P. Klose, 2312.13855; ...

¹⁸ P. Klose, ML, S. Procacci, Gravitational wave background from non-Abelian reheating after axion-like inflation, 2201.02317

¹⁹ e.g. N. Bernal, S. Cléry, Y. Mambrini, Y. Xu, *Probing Reheating with Graviton Bremsstrahlung*, 2311.12694; A. Tokareva, *Gravitational Waves from Inflaton Decay and Bremsstrahlung*, 2312.16691; ...

$N_{ m eff}$: double-graviton (hh) rates can be added 20



²⁰ J. Ghiglieri, J. Schütte-Engel, E. Speranza, *Freezing-In Gravitational Waves*, 2211.16513; J. Ghiglieri, ML, J. Schütte-Engel, E. Speranza, *Double-graviton production from Standard Model plasma*, 2401.08766

$N_{\rm eff}$: the signal is observable only at very high $T_{\rm max}$



phase transitions: effect of matter domination

if $T_{\rm max} > T_{\rm c}$, there is a (dark sector?) thermal phase transition

if $\Upsilon \ll H_* \equiv \{$ Hubble rate at phase transition point $\}$, inflaton oscillations lead to a matter domination era, which suppresses any inside-horizon gravitational wave signal^{21,22}

$$h^2 \,\Omega_{\rm gw} \simeq 1.65 \times 10^{-5} \, \frac{g_e}{g_s} \left(\frac{100}{g_s}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\Upsilon}{H_*}\right) \left(\frac{\min\{\Upsilon,\Gamma\}}{H_*}\right)^{2/3} \frac{2}{3(1+2w_*)} \frac{e_{\rm gw,*}}{e_{r+\varphi,*}}$$

 $[\Upsilon = inflaton friction, \Gamma = equilibration rate for dark sector]$

²¹ e.g. J. Ellis, M. Lewicki and V. Vaskonen, ... gravitational waves produced in a strongly supercooled phase transition, 2007.15586; F. Ertas, F. Kahlhoefer and C. Tasillo, ... listening to phase transitions in hot dark sectors, 2109.06208

²² H. Kolesova, ML, Update on gravitational wave signals from post-inflationary phase transitions, 2311.03718

phase transitions: suppression if $\Upsilon \ll H_*$



 \Rightarrow want large Υ during reheating, or late transition (small H_*)

at the largest f_0 , phase transitions merge with scatterings!



if bubble separation (ℓ_B) is as short as the mean free path ($\ell_{\rm free}$), we have just thermal fluctuations

what should be done better?

there are many intriguing features, but...

 \Rightarrow could something more be said about the thermalization (separately of the plasma, and of φ)?

 \Rightarrow if $T_{\rm max} < T_{\rm c}$ (confinement phase), the important coefficients Υ and η become inaccurate — how to improve on them?

 \Rightarrow how much are curvature perturbations modified from cold-inflation predictions when approaching the strong regime? 23

 \Rightarrow we looked at pure gauge; how about the effect of fermions?²⁴

²³ e.g. M. Mirbabayi and A. Gruzinov, *Shapes of non-Gaussianity in warm inflation*, 2205.13227; G. Ballesteros, A. Perez Rodríguez and M. Pierre, *Monomial warm inflation revisited*, 2304.05978; G. Montefalcone, V. Aragam, L. Visinelli and K. Freese, *WarmSPy: a numerical study of cosmological perturbations in warm inflation*, 2306.16190

²⁴ e.g. K.V. Berghaus, P.W. Graham, D.E. Kaplan, G.D. Moore and S. Rajendran, *Dark* energy radiation, 2012.10549; M. Drewes and S. Zell, *On Sphaleron Heating in the Presence* of Fermions, 2312.13739

backup slide

why is the temperature stationary at early times?

suppose that Δe from inflaton compensates for the hubble dilution, so that $\dot{e}_r-T(\partial_TV)\simeq 0$

$$\dot{e}_r + 3H \left(e_r + p_r - T \partial_T V \right) - T \left(\partial_T \dot{V} \right) \simeq \Upsilon \dot{\bar{\varphi}}^2$$

$$\stackrel{e+p = Ts}{\Rightarrow} \underbrace{3T_{\text{stat}}s}_{\text{strongly T-dependent}} \simeq \underbrace{\frac{\Upsilon}{H} \frac{(\partial_{\varphi} V)^2}{(3H + \Upsilon)^2}}_{\text{weakly T-dependent}}$$

a solution exists and represents a stable fixed point!²⁵

²⁵ including the strong sphaleron rate: K.V. Berghaus, P.W. Graham and D.E. Kaplan, *Minimal warm inflation*, 1910.07525; W. DeRocco, P.W. Graham and S. Kalia, *Warming up cold inflation*, 2107.07517