



# First Result of the LZ Dark Matter Experiment

Sam Eriksen

TeVPA 2022 11<sup>th</sup> August 2022







- Direct detection of dark matter via nuclear recoils
- Scintillation and charge signals
- 3D event reconstruction



## **Calibration Source Deployment Tubes (3 Total)**

17T Gd-loaded liquid scintillator

120 Outer Detector PMTs

11 11

2T LXe Skin Veto

131 Skin PMTs

Sam Eriksen

11 August 2022

60,000 gallons of ultrapure water

## 494 LXe PMTs

7T Active LXe Target

Neutron Calibration Conduit (2 total)

## Dual-Phase Time Projection Chamber





- Large Detector
  - 1.5 m diameter x 1.5 m height
  - 7 tonnes active Liquid Xenon
- High Light Collection Efficiency
  - 253 x 3" PMTs for top array
  - 241 x 3" PMTs for bottom array
  - PTFE everywhere

Sam Eriksen













- 2 tonnes LXe
- 93x1" and 38x2" PMTs
- Anti-coincidence for *γ-ray*



#### **Outer Detector**



- 17 tonnes Gd-loaded scintillator
- 120 8" PMTs
- anti-coincidence for neutrons and γ-ray







#### Sam Eriksen







#### Sam Eriksen

#### 11 August 2022

6





## Goals for SR1

- 1. Demonstrate physics capability
- 2. Demonstrate competitive sensitivity

## Key Info;

- 116 calendar days, 60 live days
- Electron drift live time 5-8ms
- Stable detector conditions:
  - Liquid temperature 174.1K (0.02%)
  - Gas circulation at 3.3t/day
  - Drift field: 193 V/cm (32kV cathode)



## **Engineering run**

- Not blinded or salted
- Data cuts developed on calibrations and outside WIMP region

#### Sam Eriksen





## Injected tritiated methane

- Source of β ERs
- 0-18.6keV

## **External Deuterium-deuterium (DD)**

- Monoenergetic 2.45MeV
- Up to 10<sup>9</sup> neutrons per second

## Tuning detector response model

- Noble Element Simulation Technique (NESTv2.3.7)<sup>1</sup>
- $g_1 = 0.114 \pm 0.002$  phd/photon
- $g_2 = 47.1 \pm 1.1$  phd/electron
- 80.5% extraction efficiency



<sup>1</sup> NEST: <u>https://nest.physics.ucdavis.edu/</u>





## **ER backgrounds**

- Dissolved β-emitters
- Dissolved EC
- γ emitters
- Solar Neutrinos

## **NR** backgrounds

- Neutrons from (α,n) and spontaneous fission
- ${}^{8}B$  solar CEvNS

## Accidentals

Coincident S1 and S2s





Source	Expected Events
$\beta$ decays + Det. ER	$218\pm36$
$ u  { m ER} $	$27.3 \pm 1.6$
$^{127}$ Xe	$9.2\pm0.8$
$^{124}$ Xe	$5.0 \pm 1.4$
$^{136}$ Xe	$15.2 \pm 2.4$
${}^{8}\mathrm{B}~\mathrm{CE}\nu\mathrm{NS}$	$0.15\pm0.01$
Accidentals	$1.2 \pm 0.3$
Subtotal	$276\pm36$
$^{37}\mathrm{Ar}$	[0, 291]
Detector neutrons	$0.0^{+0.2}$
$30{\rm GeV/c^2}$ WIMP	_
Total	_

Radon Backgrounds

Z

Sam Eriksen





Rn-222 (α)	4.37 ± 0.31 (stat)	
Ρο-218 (α)	4.51 ± 0.32 (stat)	
Ρο-214 (α)	2.56 ± 0.21 (stat)	

30000

40000

50000

Corrected-S1 Area [phd]

60000

70000

80000



- Activated Xenon and other contaminants
- Rates informed via spectra fits above ROI

lsotope (decay)	Activity (µBq/kg)
Rn-222 (α)	4.37 ± 0.31 (stat)
Ρο-218 (α)	4.51 ± 0.32 (stat)
Pb-214 (β)	3.26 ± 0.13 (stat) ± 0.57 (sys)
Ρο-214(α)	2.56 ± 0.21 (stat)







- Electron capture
- Monoenergetic 2.8 keV ER
- 35 d half-life
- Naturally occurs in atmosphere
- Also by cosmic spallation of natural Xenon





- Activity constrained based on Xe delivery schedule to SURF
- ~100 events expected in SR1 with a large uncertainty  $^{\rm 1}$

<sup>1</sup> LZ Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 105, 082004 (2022), <u>2022.02858</u>

#### Sam Eriksen



#### Cut development

- Non-WIMP ROI
- Calibration data

## **Event Selection**

- Single Scatter
- Fiducial Volume
- Region-of-Interest
- S1/S2 shape cuts
- Veto detector anti-coincidence

## **Rejection of livetime**

- When detector was unstable
- High TPC rates



### 60.3±1.2 live days





## **Region of Interest**

- 3 < S1c < 80 photons detected
- three-fold PMT coincidence
- S2 > 600 phd
- Log10(S2c) < 5

## **Fiducial Volume**

- Radial cut for <0.01 wall BGs</li>
- 86 us < drift < 936.5 us
- wall BGs negligible
- 5.5±0.2 tonnes

#### Key

Events surviving all selections
 Skin-prompt-tagged events
 OD-prompt-tagged events

120 200 100 Drift Time [ $\mu$ s] 400  $z \, [\mathrm{cm}]$ 80 60 600 40 800 20 1000  $50^{2}$  $70^{2}$  $20^2 \ 30^2$  $40^{2}$  $60^{2}$ Reconstructed  $r^2$  [cm<sup>2</sup>]

#### Sam Eriksen





- 335 events
- PDFs created via energy deposit and detector response simulations
- Profile Likelihood Ratio analysis







## Best fit is with zero WIMP events



#### Sam Eriksen





- 90% CL upper limit on WIMPnucleon  $\sigma_{SI}$  at 5.9 x  $10^{-48} cm^2$
- Frequentist, two-sided profilelikelihood-ratio (PLR) test statistic



## <u>Key</u>

- Observed limit
- --- Median expected sensitivity

Reporting Conventions: EPJC 81, 907 (2021)



Sam Eriksen





arXiv:2207.03764

#### 11 August 2022

nuclear form factor

# Conclusions and the future

- All LZ systems are performing well!
- World-leading WIMP sensitivity
- Preparations for year long run and eventual 1000 live days of data
- More physics to be done
  - S2-only, Migal effect, Effective Field Theory
  - Mirror DM, leptophilic DM, etc...
  - Astrophysical neutrinos
  - Rare decays;  $0v\beta\beta$  from Xenon isotopes etc...



- <u>XLZD consortium</u> formed
  - Next-generation project
  - White paper









# 35 Institutions, 250 scientists, engineers, and technicians

•Black Hills State University •Brandeis University Brookhaven National Laboratory Brown University Center for Underground Physics •Edinburgh University •Fermi National Accelerator Lab. Imperial College London •Lawrence Berkeley National Lab. Lawrence Livermore National Lab. LIP Coimbra Northwestern University Pennsylvania State University Royal Holloway University of London •SLAC National Accelerator Lab. South Dakota School of Mines & Tech South Dakota Science & Technology Authority •STFC Rutherford Appleton Lab. •Texas A&M University •University of Albany, SUNY University of Alabama University of Bristol University College London University of California Berkeley University of California Davis University of California Los Angeles University of California Santa Barbara University of Liverpool University of Maryland University of Massachusetts, Amherst University of Michigan University of Oxford University of Rochester University of Sheffield University of Wisconsin, Madison Portugal Korea US UK

Sam Eriksen





Science and Technology Facilities Council





#### 11 August 2022

Institute for Basic Science















Projected Sensitivity Phys.Rev.D 101, 052002 (2020)

#### Sam Eriksen

# Low E excess





• XENON1T possible excess of ER at low energy

**XENONNT SR1** 

- XenonNT saw excess no excess
- LZ analysis of region ongoing









- Isolated S1 pulses
- Isolated S2 pulses
- Occasionally can be within 1ms of each other



• Events with >1ms drift time are accidentals



events/tonne/year

# Unusual shape





#### **Possible reasons:**

- Background under-fluctuation
- Unaccounted for signal inefficiency

 Calibrations and Xe127 M-shell counts are as expected under signal acceptance





## **Calibrations:**

- Optical calibration system
- External γ-ray
- Neutron sources

## OD Veto:

- TPC-OD veto window: 1200 µs
- OD veto threshold: 200 keV
- Neutron Veto efficiency: 89±1%

## Skin Veto:

• <sup>127</sup>*Xe* Veto efficiency: 78±5%





- Neutron backgrounds with OD tag are 7.75 times larger than without
- Only 5% of non-neutron backgrounds should be OD tagged
- Fit to events passing all WIMP search cuts except OD-Veto for data driven constraint on Det. NR

<0.2 neutrons in SR1

Consistent with simulation-derived estimate of 0.06 events in 60 live days





 S1 and S2 position-corrected using <sup>131m</sup>Xe and <sup>83m</sup>Kr

Doke

TPC energy

Z





# Livetime Vetos

- Large S2s induce pulse trains. Longer than event window
- High pulse rates lead to pile-up. Mimic real S1s and S2s
- Remove periods after S2s. Excludes ~30% live time
- Area to optimize

SEs

"Progenitor" S2

Sam Eriksen

Amplitude

