Prediction of HE ν signals associated with GWs: effects of cocoon photons

Riki Matsui (Tohoku University)

TeVPA 2022 @ Queen's University

Co-authors Shigeo S Kimura, Kenji Toma (Tohoku University)

Outline

- Introduction
 - BNS merger
 - Late-time emission of sGRB
 - IceCube observation
- Method
 - Model : Cocoon photons
 - Estimation of ν emission
- Results & Discussion

Introduction

BNS merger /sGRB /kilonova



GW170817 (e.g. Abbott et al. 2017a, Kasliwal et al. 2017)

 \rightarrow BNS mergers cause GW emission, sGRBs and kilonovae.

Late-time emission of sGRB

Canonical sGRB :

Short activity of central engine

- \rightarrow prompt emission + afterglow
- X-ray long-term excess (Extended, Plateau)
 - → Prolonged engine activity & energy injection into jet
 - \rightarrow Mechanism ?

Dissipation process ?





Our approach

Based on prolonged engine activity model,

- Prediction of another observable phenomena by neutrino
- Constraining on physical quantity of sGRBs
- Especially dissipation radius & composition of jet
- \rightarrow Test the model



IceCube

- IceCube : Neutrino Observatory @ the South Pole
 detecting astrophysical ν with ~ 10¹⁵eV
- IceCube-Gen2 : Future plan, ~ 5 times sensitivity
- IceCube has already detected many events.
- Sources ?





Constraint on GRBs



No association of GRBs and ν (e.g. lceCube+15, 17, Abbasi 2022). but they are cosmological GRBs

→ Local sGRBs are not strongly constrained. They would associate with GWs. ($d_L < 300$ Mpc, 05)

Method

The model : Cocoon photons



Calculations



Neutrino

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{\nu_{\mu}}^{2} \frac{dN_{\nu_{\mu}}}{d\varepsilon_{\nu_{\mu}}} &\approx \frac{1}{8} f_{p\gamma} \varepsilon_{p}^{2} \frac{dN_{p}}{d\varepsilon_{p}} \bigg|_{\varepsilon_{p} = \varepsilon_{\nu_{\mu}}/0.05} \\ \text{where } f_{p\gamma} &= t_{\text{cool}}'/t_{p\gamma}' \\ t_{p\gamma}^{'-1} &= \frac{c}{2\gamma_{p}^{'2}} \int_{\bar{\varepsilon}_{th}}^{\infty} d\bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma} \sigma_{p\gamma} \kappa_{p\gamma} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma} \int_{\bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma}/2\gamma_{p}}^{\infty} d\varepsilon_{\gamma}' \varepsilon_{\gamma}^{'-2} \frac{dn_{\gamma}'}{d\varepsilon_{\gamma}'} \end{split}$$





Photon









(in co-moving flame of jet)



Results & Discussion

Timescale and ν production rate



Detectability & **C** dependence



Integration

expected number of signals (in every Γ , sin δ)



• Week dependence on Γ (cf. only internal photons $\rightarrow \propto \Gamma^{-2}$)

. 0.1 ν from 1 sGRB at $d_L = 300 \text{Mpc}$ (by lceCube)

Operation time

- . $logt_{dur}$: normal distribution
- . d_L , δ : homogeneous



 \rightarrow highly probable to find ν signals in 13 years (IceCube : 2σ , Gen2 : 3σ)

 \rightarrow r_{dis} and number of proton in jet

will be constrained by observation in the future.

distribution of t_{dur}

30 25

*F(log*₁₀(t_{dur})) 12 10

5

Choked-jet events

Some prompt jets are choked by ejecta (Moharana & Piran 17), but prolonged jets may break out. (Matsumoto & Kimura 18)



- Detection is the direct evidence of prolonged engine activity.
- But difficult to find by EM \rightarrow GW/ ν association is important.
- choked/successful ~ 0.5 (Sarin +22) \rightarrow **possible in ~20 years**

Summary

- We calculated neutrino emission from sGRB considering the prolonged jet model and cocoon photons.
- Neutrinos are efficiently emitted with Extended Emission $(t \sim 10^{2.5} \text{ s})$
- 0.1 neutrino will be found from 1 sGRBs at $d_L \sim 300 \text{ Mpc} \sim \text{detection horizon of LIGO/VIRGO/KAGRA O5}$.
- It is highly probable to detect neutrinos from sGRB in 13 years. (IceCube : 2σ , Gen2 : 3σ)
- Dissipation radius and composition of prolonged jet will **be constrained** by comparing the observation in the future with the prediction in this work.
- **GW/neutrino association is important** to detect choked-jet events and prove the model of prolonged engine activity.

Back Up

Temperature of cocoon



Also we considered

- Synchrotron cooling of π ($f_{sup,\pi}$)
- Synchrotron cooling of μ ($f_{sup,\mu}$)
- flavors of $\nu \& \nu$ oscillation (N_{ν} , $\nu_{e} \nu_{\mu} \bar{\nu}_{\mu}$)
- Secondary energy distribution of π & μ decay

$$p + \gamma \rightarrow \pi^{+} + n$$

$$\rightarrow \mu^{+} + \nu_{\mu} + n$$

$$\rightarrow e^{+} + \nu_{e} + \bar{\nu}_{\mu} + \nu_{\mu} + n$$

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}_{e}}^{2} \frac{dN_{\bar{\nu}_{e}}}{d\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}_{e}}} &\approx \frac{1}{8} f_{p\gamma} f_{sup,\pi} f_{sup,\mu} \varepsilon_{p}^{2} \frac{dN_{p}}{d\varepsilon_{p}} \bigg|_{\varepsilon_{p} = \varepsilon_{\nu_{e}}/0.05} \\ f_{p\gamma} &= t_{\text{cool}}'/t_{p\gamma}' \\ t_{p\gamma}^{'-1} &= \frac{c}{2\gamma_{p}^{'2}} \int_{\bar{\varepsilon}_{th}}^{\infty} d\bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma} \sigma_{p\gamma} \kappa_{p\gamma} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma} \int_{\bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma}/2\gamma_{p}}^{\infty} d\varepsilon_{\gamma}' \varepsilon_{\gamma}^{'-2} \frac{dn_{\gamma}'}{d\varepsilon_{\gamma}'} \end{split}$$

Cosmic-ray distribution

 $\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}_e}^2 \frac{dN_{\bar{\nu}_e}}{d\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}_e}} \approx \frac{1}{8} f_{p\gamma} f_{sup,\pi} f_{sup,\mu} \varepsilon_p^2 \frac{dN_p}{d\varepsilon_p} \bigg|_{\varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_{\nu_e}/0.05}, \quad f_{p\gamma} = t'_{\rm cool}/t'_{p\gamma} \quad : \nu \text{ production rate}$

 $t_{p\gamma}^{'-1} = \frac{c}{2\gamma_p^{'2}} \int_{\bar{\varepsilon}_{th}}^{\infty} d\bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma} \sigma_{p\gamma} \kappa_{p\gamma} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma} \int_{\bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma}/2\gamma_p}^{\infty} d\varepsilon_{\gamma}' \varepsilon_{\gamma}^{'-2} \frac{dn_{\gamma}'}{d\varepsilon_{\gamma}'} \sim n_{\gamma}' \sigma c \quad : \text{ production timescale}$

Cosmic ray
$$(\varepsilon_p^2 \frac{dN_p}{d\varepsilon_p})$$
: $\frac{dN_p}{d\varepsilon_p} = N_{\varepsilon_p,\text{nor}} (\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\varepsilon_{p,\text{cut}}})^{-p_{\text{inj}}} \exp(-\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\varepsilon_{p,\text{cut}}})$

Cutoff energy is determined by the balance between the cooling $t_{acc}^{'-1} = t_{cool}^{'-1}$

$$t'_{\rm acc} = \varepsilon'_p / ceB', B' = \sqrt{2L_{\gamma,\rm iso}\xi_B / c\Gamma_j^2 r_{\rm dis}^2}$$

π & μ cooling

$$\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}_e}^2 \frac{dN_{\bar{\nu}_e}}{d\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}_e}} \approx \frac{1}{8} f_{p\gamma} f_{sup,\pi} f_{sup,\mu} \varepsilon_p^2 \frac{dN_p}{d\varepsilon_p} \bigg|_{\varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_{\nu_e}/0.05}, \quad f_{p\gamma} = t'_{\rm cool}/t'_{p\gamma} \quad : \nu \text{ production}$$

rate

$$t_{p\gamma}^{'-1} = \frac{c}{2\gamma_p^{'2}} \int_{\bar{\varepsilon}_{th}}^{\infty} d\bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma} \sigma_{p\gamma} \kappa_{p\gamma} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma} \int_{\bar{\varepsilon}_{\gamma}/2\gamma_p}^{\infty} d\varepsilon_{\gamma}' \varepsilon_{\gamma}^{'-2} \frac{dn_{\gamma}'}{d\varepsilon_{\gamma}'} \sim n_{\gamma}' \sigma c \quad : \text{ production timescale}$$

Synchrotron cooling of π & μ ($f_{sup,\pi}$, $f_{sup,\mu}$)

$$f_{sup,i} = 1 - \exp(-t'_{i,cool}/t'_{i,dec})$$
$$t'_{i,dec} \propto \varepsilon'_{i}$$
$$t'_{i,cool} = t'^{-1}_{i,syn} + t'^{-1}_{add}$$
$$t'^{-1}_{i,syn} = 6\pi m_i^4 c^3 / m_e^2 \sigma_T B^2 \varepsilon'_{i}$$

Neutrino oscillation

Photomeson : $p + \gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ + n \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu + n \rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_\mu + \nu_\mu + n$

$$\phi_{\nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e} = \frac{10}{18} \phi^0_{\nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e} + \frac{4}{18} (\phi^0_{\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu} + \phi^0_{\nu_\tau + \bar{\nu}_\tau})$$

$$\phi_{\nu_{\mu}+\bar{\nu}_{\mu}} = \frac{4}{18}\phi_{\nu_{e}+\bar{\nu}_{e}}^{0} + \frac{7}{18}(\phi_{\nu_{\mu}+\bar{\nu}_{\mu}}^{0} + \phi_{\nu_{\tau}+\bar{\nu}_{\tau}}^{0})$$

where $\phi_i^0 = \frac{dN_i}{d\varepsilon_i} / 4\pi d_L^2$ is the neutrino fluences measured at the

source and d_L is luminosity distance.

Secondary distribution

muon-neutrino

$$\frac{dN_{\nu_{\mu}}}{d\varepsilon_{\nu_{\mu}}} \approx \int d\varepsilon_{\pi} g(\varepsilon_{\pi}, \varepsilon_{\nu_{\mu}}) f_{sup,\pi}(f_{p\gamma} \frac{dN_{p}}{d\varepsilon_{p}}) \bigg|_{\varepsilon_{p} = 5\varepsilon_{\pi}}, \ g(\varepsilon_{\pi}, \varepsilon_{\nu_{\mu}}) = \frac{\theta(1/4\varepsilon_{pi} - \varepsilon_{\nu_{\mu}})}{1/4\varepsilon_{\pi}}$$

Anti muon-neutrino, electron-neutrino

$$\frac{dN_{\bar{\nu}_{\mu}}}{d\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}_{\mu}}} \approx \frac{dN_{\nu_{e}}}{d\varepsilon_{\nu_{e}}} \approx \int d\varepsilon_{\mu} g(\varepsilon_{\mu}, \varepsilon_{\nu_{e}}) f_{sup,\mu} (f_{sup,\pi} f_{p\gamma} \frac{dN_{p}}{d\varepsilon_{p}}) \bigg|_{\varepsilon_{p} = 5\varepsilon_{\pi} = \frac{20}{3}\varepsilon_{\mu}}, \ g(\varepsilon_{\mu}, \varepsilon_{\nu_{e}}) = \frac{\theta(1/3\varepsilon_{\mu} - \varepsilon_{\nu_{e}})}{1/3\varepsilon_{\mu}}$$

Time scale of pion, muon





Plateau Emission



Comparison of IC with Gen2









Estimation of Operation time

$$\begin{split} q(T) &= 1 - \exp(-TR_{\mathrm{SGRB}} 4\pi \int^{300\mathrm{Mpc}} d(d_L) d_L^2 P_{n\geq 1}) \sim R_{\mathrm{SGRB}} \ (T\frac{4}{3}\pi d_L^3) \ P_{n\geq 1} \\ P_{n\geq 1} &= \int d(\ln \left(t_{\mathrm{dur}} / \mathrm{s} \right)) F(t_{\mathrm{dur}}) \langle p_{n\geq 1} \rangle_{\mathrm{ang}} \ \text{(average for duration)} \\ \langle p_{n\geq 1} \rangle_{\mathrm{ang}} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Omega \ p_{n\geq 1}(\delta) \qquad (\text{average for angle}) \\ p_{n\geq 1}(\delta) &= 1 - \exp(-\bar{N}_{\nu_{\mu}}) \qquad (\text{Poisson distribution }) \\ \bar{N}_{\nu_{\mu}} &= \int d\varepsilon_{\nu_{\mu}} \phi_{\nu_{\mu} + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}}(\varepsilon_{\nu_{\mu}}) A_{\mathrm{eff}}(\delta, \varepsilon_{\nu_{\mu}}) \qquad (\text{expected number}) \end{split}$$

Duration dependence

Not enough duration $\rightarrow r_{dis} < R_{coc} = c\beta_{coc}t_{dur}$

 \rightarrow Cocoon can't cover the dissipative region.

 \rightarrow Detectability highly depends on t_{dur}



GRB211211A : long GRB with kilonova

Repoted by Rastinejad +22

 $d_L = 350 \text{ Mpc}$

LIGO/Virgo were not in operation.

 $t_{\rm dur} \sim 50~{
m S}$

- → Non-detection of ν is consistent with the case of $r_{\rm dis} > R_{\rm coc}$
- ※ It is possible to be associated with GeV gamma-ray by Fermi/LAT at t~10⁴ s (Mei et al. 2022).



Comparison with IceCube analysis

Analyzed GRBs are cosmological (z ~ 1-2)

- → If the result is apply z~ 1-2, fluence become 100 times smaller.
- \rightarrow It is consistent.



EM observation of choked-jet

Prompt jet is choked.

- \rightarrow No prompt emission
- \rightarrow gamma-ray is not strong.
- \rightarrow It's difficult to find.

Prolonged jet break out

- \rightarrow Extended, Plateau emission
- \rightarrow It's blight in soft X-ray.
- XRT and others : FOV is small.
- MAXI (FOV: 7.3×10^{-2} str) cannot follow up
- Swift/BAT (FOV: 1.4 str): energy range is hard X-ray.
 → Future wide-field X-ray monitor is necessary.