Searches for ti Resonances and Dark Matter at CMS

Doug Berry On behalf of the CMS Collaboration

30th Lake Louise Winter Institute 2015



Outline

- Talk will focus on an upcoming search for a tt resonance and present search results for the dark matter with associated top quarks
 - Overview several new t-tagging techniques
 - Discuss an upcoming Z'→tt̄ search
 - Present the current top+DM results
- Briefly discuss future analysis of 13TeV data

Process	Channels	Top Tagging	CMS PAS
	lvlvbb	No	B2G-13-008
Z'→t ī	lvjjbb	Yes	B2G-13-008
	jjjjbb	Yes	B2G-13-008
	lvlvbb	No	B2G-13-004
	lvjjbb	No	B2G-14-004
t+DM	jjb	No	B2G-12-022

Doug Berry - CMS

Boosted Tops



T-tagging

- Two different ttaggers are used in analyses
- A large cone (CA15) HEP t-tagger algorithm for somewhat boosted tops



A narrower (CA8) CMS t-tagger algorithm for very boosted tops

•

New Z'→tł̄ Search

- The Z'→tt analysis searches for a generic resonance decaying to tt pairs
 - Search looks in three different final states that contain two, one, or zero leptons (e or µ)
 - Search sets explicit limits for a Z' boson and gкк
 - The analysis is very effective at excluding heavy resonances decaying to tt pairs



Dilepton Channel

6

- Requires two leptons and two jets in the final state
- Require one or two b-tags
- Leptons are not well separated from b-jets
- 2D-isolation cut removes multi-jet background
- MET cut removes multi-jet and Z/γ* background
- 3 categories in total



Lepton+Jets Channel

- Requires exactly one lepton and two high p_T jets
- 2D-isolation cut is applied to the lepton
- MET and lepton p_T+MET cut reduces multi-jet background
- MET may not be collinear with the leading jet or lepton
- χ^2 minimization technique is used to reconstruct the tt system
- T-tagging and b-tagging are used for categorization and increased analysis sensitivity
- Total of 6 categories



All-Hadronic

- The Lorentz boost of the top quarks causes this channel to become a dijet analysis
- Two exclusive t-tagging algorithms are used (HEP and CMS), optimized to different kinematic regimes
- In addition to t-tagging, subject b-tagging, H_T, and I∆yl are used for categorization
- 12 categories in total



Previous Z'→tt Results

- Previous $Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ results were published in Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 211804 (hep-ex:1309.2030)
- The new analysis adds the dilepton and the hadronic HEP t-tagged • channels
- Also the new analysis adds t-tagging in the semi-leptonic channel • and sub-jet b-tagging in the all hadronic channels



Previous Z' \rightarrow tt Limits

 The new analysis has an expected 50% improvement in cross-section exclusion and an expected ~10% improvement in mass exclusion depending on model



Signal	Expected Limit	Observed Limit
Z' Width=1.2%	2.1 TeV	2.1 TeV
Z' Width=10%	2.7 TeV	2.6 TeV
RS gкк	2.5 TeV	2.4 TeV
	10	Doug Berry - CMS

Dark Matter + Tops

11

- Current Dark Matter + Top searches look for Dark Matter in events with one or two top quarks
- The tt+DM analyses search in the dilepton and semi-leptonic channels
- The t+DM analysis searches in the all hadronic channel





tt+DM

- Dilepton Channel (B2G-13-004)
 - Two isolated leptons and two Jets
 - Lepton invariant mass cut, Z-veto, $\Delta \varphi_{II}$ cut
 - · Cut on H_T and very large MET
- Semi-Leptonic Channel (B2G-14-004)
 - One lepton and at least 3 jets (1 b-jet)
 - Large MET cut
 - Kinematic cuts on m_T^W and m_{T2}^W
 - $\Delta \phi$ between MET and jets



tt+DM MET Distribution

 The MET distributions for the dileptonic channel (left) and semileptonic channel (right).



tt+DM Results

 The upper CL 95% limits for the fully leptonic channel (left) and the semi-leptonic channel (right) tt+DM searches



tt+DM Results Continued

 The 90% CL limit on the dark matter-nucleon spin-independent scattering cross section as a function of the dark matter mass for a scalar operator



t+DM

- Mono Top DM Search
 - Three jets with one b-tag
 - Large MET cut
 - Veto against isolated leptons
 - Veto against more than three jets.
 - B-tagging categorization is used for increased sensitivity
 - Submitted to PRL (arXiv: 1410.1149)



t+DM M_{iii} Distribution

The triple-jet invariant mass distribution for the hadronic t+DM analysis is well modeled by Monte Carlo



t+DM Results



Strategies for 13 TeV

- Searches for a Z' and dark matter and will continue at 13 TeV
 - Increase in energy will improve both the Z' and DM production cross-section
 - New t-tagging strategies will be added to analysis
- New analysis channels will be added to the DM search:
 - tt+DM Dileptonic, Semi-leptonic, and Fully Hadronic
 - t+DM Resonant Production (Fully hadronic)
- Re-examine DM EFT requirements with respect to new energy scale: $M_{inv}(\chi\chi) < 4\pi \sqrt{\frac{M_*^3}{m_q}}$
- Interpret results with different DM couplings

Summary and Conclusions

- Discussed the upcoming search of a $t\bar{t}$ resonance
 - The addition of the dilepton channel, t-tagging, and sub-jet b-tagging should result in significant improvements in sensitivity
 - Presented most recent DM limits for CMS, which are competitive at low mass scales

•

- Will add t-tagging and sub-jet b-tagging for increased sensitivity in the future
- Increased production cross sections at 13 TeV will allow for more stringent limits on Z', g_{KK}, and DM... or maybe something more exciting!

Backup

CMS and HEP t-taggers

- CMS t-tagger
 - Used on narrower CA8 jet.
 - Used on highly boosted jets (pT > 400 GeV)
 - Requires 3 sub-jets
 - M_{jet} is between 140GeV and 250GeV
 - Minimum par-wise mass must be greater than 50 GeV
 - $\tau_{3}/\tau_{2} < 0.7$
 - CSV medium working point used for sub-jet b-tagging

- HEP t-tagger
 - Large CA15 jet cone
 - Used only for moderately boosted tops (p_T > 200 GeV)
 - M_{jet} is between 140 GeV and 250 GeV
 - W mass selection in subjets
 - CSV medium working point used for sub-jet b-tagging.

Z'→tt̄ Dilepton Analysis Selection

- Two oppositely charged lepton pairs
- μµ Channel
 - Lead Muon: $p_T > 45$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.1$
 - Sub-lead Muon: $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.1$
- · μe Channel
 - Muon Selection: $p_T > 45$ GeV and $l\eta l$ <2.1
 - Electron Selection: $p_T > 20$ GeV and I $\eta | < 2.5$
- · ee Channel
 - Lead Electron: $p_T > 85$ GeV and $l\eta l$ <2.5
 - Sub-lead Electron: $p_T > 20$ GeV and I $\eta | < 2.5$

- $M_{\parallel} > 12 \text{ GeV}$
- Z Veto: 76 GeV < M_{\parallel} < 106 GeV
- MET > 30 GeV in $\mu\mu$ and ee channels
- At least 2 Jets:
 - Lead $p_T > 100$ GeV with $l\eta l < 2.5$
 - Sub-lead $p_T > 50$ GeV with $l\eta l < 2.5$
 - 2D Cut:
 - If $\Delta R_{I,j} < 0.5$: $p_{Trel(i,j)} > 15 \text{ GeV}$
- Either one CSV medium b-tag or 2 CSV loose b-tags.
- Lead Lepton $\Delta R_{I,j} < 1.2$
- Sub-lead Lepton $\Delta R_{I,j} < 1.5$

Z'→tt̄ Lepton+Jet Analysis Selection

- Lepton Selection
 - Exactly 1 muon with $p_T > 45$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.1$
 - Exactly 1 muon with $p_T > 35$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$
- Jet Selection
 - Lead Jet p_T > 150 GeV and I ηI < 2.4
 - Sub-lead Jet $p_T > 50$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$
- Veto events with more than one CA8 jet

- MET > 50 GeV
- H_{Tlep} (MET + p_{Tlep}) > 150 GeV
- · 2D Cut
 - If $\Delta R_{I,j} < 0.5$: $p_{Trel(i,j)} > 25$ GeV
- Triangular Cuts (electron channel)
 - IΔφ(j_{lead} and e)-1.5l < 1.5/75GeV X MET

Z'→tt All Hadronic Selections

- CMS t-tag Selection
 - Fires HT750 Trigger
 - Jet pT > 400 GeV
 - 3 or 4 sub-jets
 - 140 GeV < Mjet < 250 GeV
 - Minimum pairwise sub-jet mass > 50 GeV
 - $\tau_3/\tau_2 < 0.7$
 - Events are categorized on number of CSV medium sub-jet b-tags and I∆yI < 1.0

- HEP t-tag Selection
 - Either $H_T > 800$ GeV or 4 jets with $p_T > 70$ GeV
 - 2 HEP t-tagged CA 15 jets with pT > 200 GeV and lyl
 < 2.4
 - Either 0, 1, or 2 CSV working point medium btagged sub-jets.

Top+DM Object Definitions

Electrons Tight

Muons Tight

Variables	tight cuts
$ d_0(vtx) $	< 0.02 cm
$ d_z(\text{vtx}) $	< 0.1 cm
$\sigma_{i\eta i\eta}$	< 0.01(barrel), < 0.03(endcap)
$ \Delta\eta_{ m in} $	< 0.004(barrel), < 0.03(endcap)
$ \Delta \phi_{ m in} $	< 0.03(barrel), < 0.02(endcap)
H/E	< 0.12(barrel, < 0.10(endcap))
1/E - 1/p	< 0.05
pfIso03/ p_T	< 0.1
Matched conversion?	false
Missing hits	0

Jets Loose

PF Jet ID	Cuts
Neutral hadron fraction	< 0.99
Neutral EM fraction	< 0.99
Number of constituent	> 1
Below for $ \eta < 2.4$ only	
Charged hadron fraction	> 0
Charged multiplicity	> 0
Charged EM fraction	< 0.99

Variables	tight cuts
isGlobalMuon	true
isPFMuon	true
$\chi^2/d.o.f.$	< 10
Number of muon hits	> 0
Number of pixel hits	> 0
Number of matched stations	> 1
Number of tracker layers	> 5
$d_{xy}(vtx)$	< 0.2 cm
$d_z(vtx)$	< 0.5 cm
pfIso04/ p_T , $\Delta\beta$ corr.	< 0.12

tt+DM Dilepton Selections

- One tight electron or muon with p_T > 20 GeV and lηl < 2.5 and lηl < 2.1, respectively
- Two loose jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 5.0$
- $M_{II} > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- Z Veto: $76 < M_{\parallel} < 106 \text{ GeV}$
- MET > 320 GeV
- р_{Тj1} + р_{Тj2} < 400 GeV
- рті1 + рті2 > 120 GeV
- $\Delta \varphi_{\parallel} > 2.0$

Background Source	Yield
$t\bar{t}$	$0.87 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.27$
Single top	$0.48 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.09$
Di-boson	$0.32 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.05$
Drell-Yan	$0.19 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.03$
One Mis-ID lepton	$0.02 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$
Double Mis-ID leptons	$0.00 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.00$
Total Bkg	$1.89 \pm 0.53 \pm 0.39$
Data	1
Signal	$1.88 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.07$

tt+DM Dilepton Systematics

Source of systematic uncertainties	Relative error on
	total background
	(%)
Jet energy scale	15
$t\bar{t}$ +jets top p_{T} reweighting	11
Jet energy resolution	5.3
$t\bar{t}+jets Q^2$	3.7
Pileup model	3.1
tt+jets jet-parton matching	3.0
Cross section	2.7
Integrated luminosity	2.6
Electron energy scale	1.3
Misidentified lepton	1.3
Lepton identification efficiency	1.0
Trigger efficiency	0.3
Muon energy scale	0.2
Unclustered energy scale	0.2

Doug Berry - CMS

tt+DM Semi-Leptonic Selections

- 1 tight electron or muon with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $l\eta l < 2.5$ and $l\eta l < 2.1$, respectively
- 3 jets with pT>30 GeV and I $\eta I < 4.0$.
- 1 b-tagged jet at CVS medium (0.679)
- MET > 320 GeV
- M_T > 160 GeV
- $\Delta \phi_{j1,MET}$ and $\Delta \phi_{j2,MET} > 1.2$
- $M_{T2}^W > 200 \text{ GeV}$

Background Source	Yield
$t\overline{t}$	$8.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.9$
W	$5.2 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.6$
Single top	$2.3 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.1$
Di-boson	$0.5 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.2$
Drell-Yan	$0.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1$
Total Bkg	$16.4 \pm 2.2 \pm 2.7$
Data	18
Signal	$38.3 \pm 0.7 \pm 2.1$

M_{T2}^W Definition

- M_{T2}^W was originally developed for supersymmetric stop searches
- It suppresses the tt background in events where both t-quarks decay leptonically, but one of the leptons is lost
- This increases the likelihood of the event passing the MET and M_T selections
- Further description can be found in: arXiv:1203.4813



$$M_{T2}^W = \min \left\{ m_y \text{ consistent with: } \left[\dot{p} \right] \right\}$$

$$: \begin{bmatrix} \vec{p}_1^T + \vec{p}_2^T = \vec{E}_T^{miss}, p_1^2 = 0, (p_1 + p_l)^2 = p_2^2 = M_W^2, \\ (p_1 + p_l + p_{b1})^2 = (p_2 + p_{b2})^2 = m_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \}$$

Doug Berry - CMS

tt+DM Semi-Leptonic Systematics

Source of systematic uncertainties	Relative error on
	total background (%)
50% normalization error of other bkg in deriving SFs	10
Statistical error of SF _{W+jets}	1.5
tt+jets jet-parton matching	8.2
$t\bar{t}+jets Q^2$	6.6
$t\bar{t}$ +jets top p_{T} reweighting	3.9
Jet energy scale	4.0
Jet energy resolution	3.0
b-tagging correction factor (heavy flavor)	1.0
b-tagging correction factor (light flavor)	1.8
Pileup model	2.0

t+DM Selections

- 3 jets with p_T > 60, 60, and 40 GeV.
- 1 b-tagged jet at CSV medium (0.679).
- M_{jjj} < 250 GeV
- MET > 350 GeV
- Lepton Veto
 - Global muon $p_T > 10$ GeV, $l\eta l < 2.4$, and $lso_{rel}^{0.4} < 0.2$
 - pT > 20 GeV, $l\eta l < 2.5$, and $lso_{rel}^{0.3} < 0.2$

# of b tags	Zero CSVm b tag	One CSVm b tag
tī	$6 \pm 0 \pm 5$	$12 \pm 0 \pm 12$
W+jets	$18 \pm 9 \pm 7$	$3\pm1\pm2$
Z+jets	$103 \pm 33 \pm 9$	$11 \pm 10 \pm 1$
Single top	$2\pm1\pm1$	$1\pm1\pm1$
VV	$5\pm0\pm0$	$0{\pm}0{\pm}0$
QCD	6	1
sum	140±36	$28{\pm}16$
Data	143	30

tDM Systematics

nuisance parameter	$N_{ m s}^{0b(1b)}$	$N_{ ext{t}ar{ ext{t}}}^{0b(1b)}$	$N_{ t top}^{0b(1b)}$	$N_{\mathtt{V}\mathtt{V}}^{0b(1b)}$	$N_{\mathtt{W+jets}}^{0b(1b)}$	$N_{{\tt Z+jets}}^{0b(1b)}$	$N_{ t QCD}$
\mathcal{L}	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%			
E ^{miss} trigger scale	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%			
PDFs	$\lesssim 12\%$						
PDF _{tī}		10(11)%					
PDF_{top}		—	11(12)%				
PDFvv				6(8)%			
JEC	$\lesssim 15\%$	14(22)%	44(56)%	7(25)%			
JER	4(2)%	4(8)%	27(0)%	3(0)%			
b tagging	6(2)%	10(15)%	0(0)%	2(14)%			
Q ² -scale		35(76)%					—
tī matching		50(44)%					
tī reweighting		41(41)%	—		—		—
Data-driven W+jets					67(85)%		
Data-driven Z+jets						36(100)%	
p_{OCD}^{1b}		—					17%
$\widetilde{N_{ t QCD}}$							0–10K

DM Couplings

- So far only the D1 coupling has been investigated
- Considering to expanding interpretations to include more couplings that are proportional to m_q
 - D1-D4
 - C1-C2
 - R1-R2

Name	Operator	Coefficient
D1	$\bar{\chi}\chi\bar{q}q$	m_{q}/M_{*}^{3}
D2	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^5\chi\bar{q}q$	im_q/M_*^3
D3	$\bar{\chi}\chi\bar{q}\gamma^5q$	im_q/M_*^3
D4	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^5\chi\bar{q}\gamma^5q$	m_{q}/M_{*}^{3}
D5	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\chi\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
D6	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}\chi\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
D7	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^{\mu}\chi\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma^{5}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
D8	$ar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \chi ar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^5 q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
D9	$ar{\chi}\sigma^{\mu u}\chiar{q}\sigma_{\mu u}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
D10	$\bar{\chi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5\chi\bar{q}\sigma_{lphaeta}q$	i/M_{*}^{2}
D11	$\bar{\chi}\chi G_{\mu u}G^{\mu u}$	$\alpha_s/4M_*^3$
D12	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^5\chi G_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}$	$i\alpha_s/4M_*^3$
D13	$\bar{\chi} \chi G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$	$i\alpha_s/4M_*^3$
D14	$\bar{\chi}\gamma^5\chi G_{\mu u}\tilde{G}^{\mu u}$	$\alpha_s/4M_*^3$
C1	$\chi^{\dagger}\chi\bar{q}q$	m_q/M_*^2
C2	$\chi^{\dagger}\chi\bar{q}\gamma^{5}q$	im_q/M_*^2
C3	$\chi^{\dagger}\partial_{\mu}\chi\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
C4	$\chi^{\dagger}\partial_{\mu}\chi\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{5}q$	$1/M_{*}^{2}$
C5	$\chi^{\dagger}\chi G_{\mu u}G^{\mu u}$	$\alpha_s/4M_*^2$
C6	$\chi^\dagger \chi G_{\mu u} ilde G^{\mu u}$	$i\alpha_s/4M_*^2$
R1	$\chi^2 \bar{q} q$	$m_{q}/2M_{*}^{2}$
R2	$\chi^2 \bar{q} \gamma^5 q$	$im_q/2M_*^2$
R3	$\chi^2 G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}$	$\alpha_s/8M_*$
R4	$\chi^2 G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}$	$i\alpha_s/8M_*^2$

Doug Berry - CMS

Dark Matter EFT Validity



- Effective field theory is valid as long as the momentum transfer of the interaction is less than the mass of the mediating particle (M).
- This reduce the interaction to a 4-point contact interaction.

Dark Matter EFT Continued

- Assuming $Q_{tr} < M$
- $\cdot \text{ the coupling goes as} \\ \frac{g_1 g_2}{Q_{tr}^2 M^2} = -\frac{g_1 g_2}{M^2} \left(1 + \frac{Q^2}{M^2} + O\left(\frac{Q^4}{M^4}\right) \right) \simeq -\frac{g_1 g_2}{M^2}$
- Effective coupling should match UV theory (D1) $M_* = \left(\frac{m_q M^2}{a_1 a_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$
- From kinematics $Q_{tr} > 2M_{\chi}$
- and the strong coupling limit $\sqrt{g_1g_2} < 4\pi$
- we get the final constraint

$$\sqrt{\frac{M_*^3}{m_q}} > \frac{M_\chi}{2\pi}$$

Doug Berry - CMS