PICO Bubble Chamber Array

Michael B. Crisler *Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory*





The Dark Matter Bubble Chamber Hydraulic Fluid (mineral oil or glycol)

Superheated Liquid

- C₃F₈ , CF3I, CF3Br
- hydrocarbon
- Noble liquid

Only Nuclear Recoils nucleate bubbles

Intrinsic γ, β rejection

Alpha Decays Tagged

Acoustic discrimination



Bubble Chamber Operation Cycle





-25

-20

milliseconds before trigger

-15

-10

Gamma Rejection Measurements



The Bubble Chamber is a Threshold Device



...so bubbles initiated by recoiling α -decay daughters are counted along with dark matter candidate events.

Acoustic Parameter

- (Amp ω)²
 (Normalized and position-corrected for each freq-bin)
- Measure of acoustic energy deposited in chamber
- Alphas are louder than neutrons



Dark Matter Bubble Chambers

- Insensitive* to γ and β backgrounds
- Threshold device, integral distribution
- Event-by-event tagging of α -recoils
- Only background should be neutrons

Dark Matter Bubble Chamber Evolution











M. Ardid, M. Bou-Cabo, I. Felis



NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

D. Baxter, C.E. Dahl, M. Jin, J. Zhang



P. Bhattacharjee. M. Das, S. Seth



UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE





AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

J.I. Collar. A.E. Robinson

de Montréal

J. Wells Université m

A. LeClair, I. Levine, E. Mann,



R. Neilson

F. Debris, M. Fines-Neuschild, C.M. Jackson, M. Lafrenière, M. Laurin, J.-P. Martin, A. Plante, N. Starinski, V. Zacek

‡ Fermilab

S.J. Brice, D. Broemmelsiek, P.S. Cooper, M. Crisler, W.H. Lippincott, E. Ramberg, M.K. Ruschman, A. Sonnenschein



D. Maurya, S. Priya





E. Vázquez-Jáuregui



C. Amole, M. Besnier, G. Caria, G. Giroux, A. Kamaha, A. Noble



D.M. Asner, J. Hall

UNIVERSITY OF

S. Fallows, C. Krauss, P. Mitra

UNIVERSITY OF ΓORONTO K. Clark

LaurentianUniversity UniversitéLaurentienne ŧ

J. Farine, F. Girard, A. Le Blanc, R. Podviyanuk, O. Scallon, U. Wichoski







Anomalous Low-AP Backgrounds

First observed in COUPP-4kg CF₃I (2010)
 events correlated in time, not dark matter
 Re-confirmed in COUPP-4kg CF₃I (2012)

High statistics in COUPP-60 CF₃I (2013)

Still present in PICO-2L C₃F₈ (2013)

Low AP backgrounds in PICO



Low-AP Backgrounds Four Step Program

Assay

analyze the contamination in the chambers

Reproduce

- add contamination to test chambers
- reproduce the low-AP events
- Mitigate
 - Control the particulates in the existing chambers
- Eliminate

Revised Bubble Chamber Design

Assay result: Particulate contamination



Quartz

Steel

Particulate contamination = construction material

Assay Results

Particulate Inventory Reflects Construction Materials

- Steel and metal oxides
- Silica
- Water
- 10x more steel that silica
 - But silica is typically 100-1000x more radioactive
- Sufficient Radioactivity (Th) to explain rate
- Water is a wild card

Reproduction of backgrounds

- Ongoing work at Queen's University, Northwestern University
 - Add silica and metallic particulates to test C₃F₈ bubble chambers
 - Success in reproducing surface, collar rates
 - Gamma sensitivity from Auger cascades

Mitigation options

Fused silica flanges, Lower stress seals reduce silica activity, reduce particulate emission Plastic coating or lining for bellows Suppress corrosion, metallic particulate Alternate buffer fluids (LAB) Lower density, reduced surface tension Active Recirculation and Filtering

Mitigation first try:

New PICO-2L Run

- New fused silica flange only
- Eliminate exposure to scroll vacuum pump
- Careful assessment of initial cleanliness
 - To compare to post-run assay
- Bellows, water buffer unchanged
- First of possible 3 planned runs
 - Plastic Bellows Liner
 - Water Replaced by LAB

PICO-2L Results: First Look



PICO-2L 2015 typical event

Interpretation of PICO-2L Results

- Radioactive quartz dust likely leading source of low-AP backgrounds
- Water is a bad actor in the chamber
 - Possibly a source of low-AP events as well...
- Steel particulate appears to be less effective at producing background
 - But avoid sprinkling it onto the target fluid from above...

Mitigation Future

PICO-2L background too low to study

Process continues with PICO-60

- Coat or line the bellows with plastic
- Replace water with LAB
- Active recirculation/filtering
- Possibly leading to background free 40-liter device

The long term solution is to return to a "right side up" bubble chamber design

The original right side up chamber

- Waters, Petroff, Koski 1969
- COUPP turned this design upside-down and added the water buffer to build a larger scale device
- We need a better way to scale up from this design concept

Fig. 1. Automati: Bubble Chamber with Anticoincidence Counter Array. Cold plumbing region

Right Side Up design virtues:

- Superheated/normal transition is maintained by a thermal gradient with no buffer fluid
 - No buffer fluid means no constraint on target fluid
 - Works with any refrigerant, hydrocarbon, even xenon.
- Thermal gradient is naturally stable
- All metals at the bottom
 - Cold zone, no boiling to liberate particulate
 - No convection to move particulate up
- Geometry naturally lends itself to a recirculation loop
- Design allows for low stress edge-welded bellows

Conservative Design Approach

PICO-60 parts

- Inner vessel
- Bellows and clamping flanges
- Seals and vent port designs
- PICO-60 pressure vessel

Bellows Detail

PICO-60 RSU assembled

Incremental Commissioning

- Initially require small footprint at SNOLAB
 - Initial operation demonstration without shield
 - Expected to be operational late 2016
- Options
 - Could be a drop in replacement for PICO-60
 - Could be a second PICO-60 installation
 - Would require a second 3 meter water tank
 - Could be the first chamber in a 3 chamber array
 - Upgrade to ~ 5 meter tank

Dark matter reach (120 liters C₃F₈)

Longer Term (but not that long)

Scale up to PICO-250 (or larger) device

- Array of three ~250-500 liter bubble chambers
- 750-1500 liters in one large water tank

Broad spectrum of target choices