

Searching for Light Accelerated Dark Matter

Gopolang (Gopi) Mohlabeng

Simon Fraser University

29 May 2024




Canadian Association
of Physicists

Association canadienne
des physiciens et physiciennes

SFU



Range of DM possibilities is VAST




For the last decades,
searches have been
focussed on this
region of space

WIMP Hypothesis is very motivated

Searching for Decades





Akerib et al, arXiv: 2203.08084



Leane et al, Phys.Rev.D 98 (2018) 2

ATLAS Collaboration JHEP05(2019)142


Searching for Decades



Heavily constrained

Akerib et al, arXiv: 2203.08084


Leane et al, Phys.Rev.D 98 (2018) 2



ATLAS Collaboration JHEP05(2019)142

WIMPs remain highly motivated


We are compelled to move beyond the WIMP scale



Many opportunities to explore here

Low mass (sub-GeV) DM searches are:

1. Complementary to WIMP searches
2. Very well motivated:



e.g. low mass DM coupled through new hidden sector mediator presents a good target to understand DM production in the early universe

- Thermal freeze-out
- Freeze-in
- Asymmetric

Big stumbling block is that light DM is **invisible** to current WIMP searches

Many nuclear recoil experiments have \sim keV recoil thresholds

Recoil energy caused by galactic DM with $v \sim 10^{-3} c$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{nr} &\sim \frac{\mu_{\chi N}^2 v^2}{m_N} \\ &\sim 19 \text{ eV} \left(\frac{m_\chi}{500 \text{ MeV}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{m_N} \right) \\ &\ll \text{keV} \end{aligned}$$

To probe lower masses need very low threshold nuclear recoil detectors



or

Other ways to maximize energy transfer to the target

Look for DM hitting lighter target to maximize energy transfer

Dark matter - electron scattering

- Current searches include:
- Large volume noble liquid detectors
 - Small scale semi-conductor detectors



Complementary way to maximize energy transfer to target is

Fast moving/accelerated dark matter

- Energy transferred to nucleus

$$E_{nr} \sim 50 \text{ keV} \left(\frac{m_\chi}{500 \text{ MeV}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{m_N} \right) \left(\frac{v}{0.1} \right)^2$$

- Max energy transferred to electron

$$E_{er} \lesssim 2 \text{ MeV} \left(\frac{m_\chi}{500 \text{ MeV}} \right) \left(\frac{v}{0.1} \right)^2$$

These are above \sim keV threshold energies in **current dark matter detectors** & actually **some large neutrino detectors**


But, galactic DM has to be **non-relativistic**

Accelerated DM must be small subcomponent total halo DM


Many ways to get accelerated dark matter

Cosmic ray accelerated dark matter

- High energy CR can scatter off DM moving at $v \sim 10^{-3} c$
- CR transfers energy to DM, accelerating it
- Accelerated DM reaches earth and scatters in detectors



Cappiello, Beacom : [Phys.Rev. D100 \(2019\) 10, 103011](#)



Ema, Sala, Sato : [Phys.Rev. Lett 122 \(2019\) 19, 181802](#)



Cappiello, Ng, Beacom : [Phys.Rev. D99 \(2019\) 6, 063004](#)

Dent et al : [Phys.Rev. D101 \(2020\) 11, 116007](#)

Krnjaic, McDermott : [Phys.Rev. D101 \(2020\) 12, 123022](#) + many others

We can probe even lighter DM particles using **IceCube** low energy data

DM - electron scattering





Cappiello, Liu, Mohlabeng & Vincent: [arXiv 2405.00086](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.00086)

We can probe even lighter DM particles using **IceCube** low energy data

DM - nucleon scattering

(including elastic & deep inelastic scattering)




Cappiello, Liu, Mohlabeng & Vincent: [arXiv 2405.00086](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.00086)

Other methods of acceleration

Neutrino accelerated dark matter (Similar mechanism to CR-upscattering)

Solar neutrino upscattering:



Cosmic neutrino upscattering:

Jho et al, [arXiv: 2101.11262](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.11262)

DSNB neutrino upscattering:

Das, Sen, *Phys.Rev.D* 104 (2021) 7, 075029

Acceleration from evaporating primordial black holes

Calabrese et al, *Phys. Rev. D* (105) 2022 10

Chao et al, [arXiv: 2108.05608](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.05608)

Acceleration from supernova shockwaves

Cappiello et al, *Phys. Rev. D* (107) 2023 3, 035003

A different mechanism (doesn't depend on accelerating SM particle flux)

Dark sector may be non-minimal, i.e. more than one stable DM state


Generic feature of any non-minimal dark sector: small fraction of DM today may be relativistic/semi-relativistic

Sources:

- Assisted Freeze-out:

$$\chi_i \chi_j \rightarrow \chi_k \chi_l$$

$$\text{with } \chi_i \chi_j > \chi_k \chi_l$$




Belanger and Park : [JCAP 03 \(2012\) 038](#)

- Semi-annihilation:

$$\chi_i \chi_j \rightarrow \chi_k \phi$$


, Z_3 DM symmetry



D'Eramo and Thaler : [JHEP 06 \(2010\) 109](#)

- Decay:

$$\chi_i \rightarrow \chi_j \phi$$



Tucker-Smith & Weiner: [Phys.Rev. D64 \(2001\) 043502](#)


Mohlabeng : [Phys.Rev. D99 \(2019\) 11, 115001](#)

+ many others

Boosted dark matter


- Two stable DM particles, ψ_A & ψ_B with $m_A > m_B$ (eg. $U(1)' \otimes U(1)''$)

For example:



- ψ_A is the dominant DM component and has no direct coupling to SM

- ψ_B is sub-dominant and couples to SM through new force




$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\psi}_A \psi_B \bar{\psi}_B \psi_A$$

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\chi}_A \chi_B \bar{\chi}_B \chi_A$$

- 'A' particles self-annihilate producing accelerated 'B' particles with boost factor

$$\gamma = m_A/m_B$$



- boosted DM particles travel to Earth and scatter with SM in the detector

- Interacts through some light mediator particle X

Agashe, et al : [JCAP 10 \(2014\) 062](#)

Alhazmi, Kim, Kong, [Mohlabeng](#), Park, Shin: [JHEP 05 \(2021\) 055](#)

Necib et al: [Phys.Rev. D95 \(2017\) 7, 075018](#)

Dutta et al: [JHEP 01 \(2022\) 144](#)


Kim et al: [JHEP 07 \(2020\) 057](#) + many others

Boosted dark matter from the Galactic Center

Annihilation of A to boosted B in the Galactic Center

Flux: NFW profile + 10° cone around GC

$$\Phi_{GC}^{10^\circ} = 9.9 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \left(\frac{\langle \sigma_{A\bar{A} \rightarrow B\bar{B} \nu} \rangle}{5 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}} \right) \left(\frac{20 \text{ GeV}}{m_A} \right)^2$$




Lower flux means we need large volume detectors for sensitivity

Neutrino detectors: Super-K, Hyper-K, Ice-Cube, DUNE

Dark matter detectors: XENON1T, DarkSide

Focus on electron scattering

For nucleon scattering:





Boosted DM from the Galactic Center

$$N_{\text{sig}} = \Delta T N_{\text{target}} \Phi_{\text{GC}}^{10^\circ} \int_{E_{\text{thres}}}^{E_{\text{max}}} dE_e \frac{d\sigma_{\text{Be}^- \rightarrow \text{Be}^-}}{dE_e}$$

2 σ sensitivity:

$$S^{\theta_{\text{res}}} = \frac{N_{\text{sig}}}{\sqrt{N_{\text{BG}}^{\theta_{\text{res}}}}}$$



Alhazmi, Kong, Mohlabeng, Park: JHEP 04 (2017) 158


Boosted DM from the Sun

χ_A can get captured in the Sun and annihilate to χ_B

χ_B travel to earth and scatter in the detector

The Sun is a point-like source so we don't consider an observation angle

Including self-interactions enhances the capture rate in the Sun




Kong, Mohlabeng, Park: *Phys. Lett. B* 743 (2015) 256-266

Berger et al: *JCAP* 02 (2015) 005


Alhazmi, Kong, Mohlabeng, Park: *JHEP* 04 (2017) 158

Bhattacharya et al: *JCAP* 05 (2017) 002

Including DM Self-interactions



Kong, Mohlabeng, Park: *Phys. Lett. B* 743 (2015) 256-266




Kinematics of
dwarf spheroidal
galaxies

$$0.1 \text{ cm}^2/g < \frac{\sigma_{AA}}{m_A} < 1.25 \text{ cm}^2/g$$


↑ min
↑ max

Matter
distribution of
bullet cluster

90% CL, Min-self



90% CL, Max-self



Alhazmi, Kong, Mohlabeng, Park: *JHEP* 04 (2017) 158

Boosted DM at direct detection experiments

- Large volume DD experiments can look for lower A masses


XENON1T

DarkSide

...

} Lower threshold than neutrino detectors

Scattering with either nucleon or electrons



Focus on electron scattering

To obtain recoil rates:

$$N_{sig} = \Phi_B \sigma_{Be} N_e^{eff} t_{exp}$$

BDM flux Scattering cross-section Effective # of electrons exposure time

However, it is important to include atomic effects related to DM - e scattering

$$\frac{dN_{sig}}{dE_{eR}} = \Phi_B t_{exp} N_{Xe} \frac{d\sigma_{Be} v_{rel}}{dE_{eR}}$$

of Xenon atoms in volume

Ionization form factor


Differential scattering cross-section

$$\frac{d\sigma_{Be} v_{rel}}{dE_{eR}} = \frac{1}{64\pi} \frac{1 - v_{rel}^2}{v_{rel}} \frac{1}{m_B^2 E_{eR} (2m_e + E_{eR}) (m_e - |E_{nl}^B|)} \int_{q_{min}}^{q_{max}} dq q |\mathcal{M}|^2 \underbrace{|f_{ion}(E_{eR}, q)|^2}_{\text{Ionization form factor}}$$

Ionization effects:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{Be}v_{rel}}{dE_{eR}} = \frac{1}{64\pi} \frac{1 - v_{rel}^2}{v_{rel}} \frac{1}{m_B^2 E_{eR} (2m_e + E_{eR}) (m_e - |E_{nl}^B|)} \int_{q_{min}}^{q_{max}} dq q |\mathcal{M}|^2 \underbrace{|f_{ion}(E_{eR}, q)|^2}_{\text{Ionization form factor}}$$

Ionization form factor



- Bound electrons have non-negligible momentum dependence
- Ionization function takes into account electron momentum dependence
- Electrons are bound in different orbitals with binding energies, ionization function accounts mom transfer required to ionize electron from orbitals

$$|f_{ion}(E_{eR}, q)|^2 = \frac{2k'^3}{(2\pi)^3} \int dr^3 \psi_{ef}^*(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \psi_{ei}(\mathbf{r})$$

↑
↑
free electron
bound electron
wave-function
wave-function

- Different functions considered

Plane - Wave: bound electron wave function is described by **Roothaan-Hartree-Fock** wavefunctions

Bunge et al: *Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tabl.* 53 (1993) 113-162

Outgoing electron wave function is described by **plane wave**

Essig et al: *Phys. Rev. D* 85 (2012) 076007

Kopp et al: *Phys. Rev. D* 80 (2009) 083502


Cao et al: *Chin. Phys. C* 45 (2021) 4, 045002 + many others

Relativistic ionization function: - bound and ionized electron wave functions are obtained by solving relativistic Dirac equation

i.e. solve $\hat{h}\psi_{nk} = E_{nk}\psi_{nk}$

using Dirac hamiltonian $\hat{h} = \alpha \cdot \mathbf{p} + m_e(\beta - 1) + V_{eff}(r)$

- accounts for Lorentz structure of DM - e interactions



Roberts et al: *Phys. Rev. D* 93 (2016) 115037




Roberts, Flambaum: *Phys. Rev. D* 100 (2019) 063017

At high momentum transfer,
relativistic & PW are different

Alhazmi, Kim, Kong, Mohlabeng, Park, Shin:
In Progress

What are the Ionization effects of boosted DM?

Can these pin-point the underlying model?



Ionization form factors

Alhazmi, Kim, Kong, Mohlabeng, Park, Shin: In Progress


Summary

- Accelerated DM is interesting phenomenological prospect
- Can give striking signals at large volume neutrino & DM detectors
- Atomic & nuclear effects can be important for accelerated DM scattering
- **Accelerated DM can open up a whole new world of possibilities**

Thank you

Extra slides

Fits to low energy **IceCube** data



Vector mediator with $m_\chi = 1$ keV, $g_\chi g_e = 1$ & $m_z = 1.16$ GeV

Boosted DM from the Sun

Time evolution of χ_A number density in the Sun is

$$\frac{dN_\chi}{dt} = C_c + (C_s - C_e)N_\chi - (C_a + C_{se})N_\chi^2$$

C_c : capture rate by nuclei inside Sun

C_s : capture rate by DM already captured in Sun



C_e : Evaporation rate due to DM-nuclei scattering

C_{se} : evaporation rate due to DM-self interaction

C_a : annihilation rate



Some Limit plots

Fermion Dark Matter with a vector mediator



Alhazmi, Kim, Kong, Mohlabeng, Park, Shin: In Progress

Fermion Dark Matter with a pseudo-scalar mediator



Alhazmi, Kim, Kong, Mohlabeng, Park, Shin: In Progress