On validity of quasi-static approximation in scalar-tensor theories of Gravity

CAP congress

Hamid Mirpoorian, Zhuangfei Wang, Levon Pogosian June 6, 2022

## The standard $\Lambda {\rm CDM}$ model



- In the  $\Lambda$ CDM model, dark energy is represented by the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$
- $\Lambda$  and CDM are independent: no interaction between dark energy and dark matter is assumed
  - Although provides an acceptable fit to all data, we do not understand the physical nature of dark matter and dark energy
  - $\succ$  It could be that dark matter and dark energy interact
  - > A large tuning is required to reconcile the small observed value of  $\Lambda$  with the large vacuum energy predicted by particle physics

 $\checkmark$  Study models of modified gravity and dynamical dark energy

#### Scalar-tensor theories

• A well motivated theoretical framework for studying dynamical dark energy: scalar-tensor theories of gravity

$$S = \int d^4x \left\{ \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \, \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi) \right] + \mathcal{L}_{\rm dm} (\psi_{\rm dm}, \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}) + \mathcal{L}_{\rm b} (\psi_{\rm b}, \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}) + \mathcal{L}_{\gamma+\nu} (\psi_{\gamma+\nu}, \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}) \right\}$$

 $S = \int d^4x \left\{ \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \, \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi) \right] + \mathcal{L}_{\rm dm}(\psi_{\rm dm}, \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}) + \mathcal{L}_{\rm b}(\psi_{\rm b}, \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}) + \mathcal{L}_{\gamma+\nu}(\psi_{\gamma+\nu}, \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}) \right\}$ 

Only CDM coupled

Total matter coupled

Dark energy 
$$\phi$$
 Matter

 $\tilde{q}_{\mu\nu} = A^2(\phi)q_{\mu\nu}$ 

≻ Consider two scenarios: Only CDM coupled, and total matter coupled

> When  $A \neq 1$ , the scalar field is non-minimally coupled to the matter and mediates a 5<sup>th</sup> force between matter particles

 $\checkmark$  We ultimately want to be able to differentiate between these possibilities observationally <sup>3</sup>

#### The Symmetron model

• We use the symmetron model as a well-motivated scalar-tensor theory

$$V(\phi) = V_0 - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda \phi^4 \qquad \qquad A(\phi) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\phi}{M}\right)^2$$

• The effective potential of the scalar field changes its shape depending on the matter density  $\rho$ 

$$V_{\text{eff}} = V_{0\text{eff}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\rho}{M^2} - \mu^2 \right) \phi^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda \phi^4$$
$$= 0 \text{ at SSB}$$



- At high matter densities,  $\phi = 0, A = 1 : \Lambda CDM$  is recovered
  - ➤ This is an example of screening, allowing to eliminate the 5th force in the early universe and the solar system
- At low matter densities,  $\phi \neq 0$ ,  $A \neq 1$ : We have a fifth force

### Testing the quasi-static approximation

- Given our model, we can solve the differential equations for the evolution of the universe
- To save computational time, many studies instead used the quasi-static approximation (QSA)
- QSA assumes:
  - $\succ$  sub-horizon-size inhomogeneities
  - $\succ$  scalar field is always at the minimum of the effective potential
  - $\succ$  time-derivatives are much smaller than spatial derivatives

$$k^2\Phi \gg \{ \ddot{\Phi}, \mathcal{H}\dot{\Phi} \}, \qquad \qquad k^2\delta\phi \gg \{ \delta\ddot{\phi}, \mathcal{H}\delta\dot{\phi} \}$$

- In QSA, one neglects the oscillations of the scalar field around its expectation value
- We derived analytic expressions for the background field and its perturbation

$$\phi = \phi_* \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{a_{SSB}}{a}\right)^3}$$
  $\delta \phi = -\beta \frac{\rho_m \delta_m}{m^2 + k^2/a^2}$ 

 $\succ$  In QSA, the gravity instantly responds to matter

 $\checkmark$  Our study identified the range of parameters for which QSA is a good approximation

### Cosmological variables to test



Matter density fluctuation (density contrast) Describes how structure formation begins and where there are local enhancement in matter density



The Weyl potential

Characterizes the gravitational potential felt by massless particles

 $\delta_m \dot{\Phi}$ 

Probing the cross-correlate between galaxy counts and CMB temperature anisotropy to see if there is any integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) effect

#### Examples of when QSA works and when it does not



7

#### The reason the QSA breaks down



- The agreement between the exact solution and QSA highly depends on the response of the background scalar field to the phase transition and the frequency of oscillations
- A larger Compton wavelength flattens the effective potential and provides more freedom for oscillations around the minimum
- We derived the expression for the critical value for the Compton length at which the QSA fails

$$\lambda_{cr} \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_0 \sqrt{8[(1+z_{SSB})^3-1]}}$$

Z <sub>SSB</sub>	$\lambda_0$ [Mpc]
1	500
10	50
100	1

# Summary

- The scalar tensor theories of gravity provide a well motivated framework to study the Universe beyond  $\Lambda$ CDM.
- We have examined the range of validity of QSA and identified a range of interaction for when it works.
- This will make it easier to constraint the additional fifth force.