

Hunting for new particles at TRIUMF with the DarkLight@ARIEL experiment

Kate Pachal TRIUMF













If there is some interaction with the Standard Model, and the energy scale isn't too high, \rightarrow then we could we make it in the lab











Experimentally: Can look for signs of DM production

Experimentally: Can look for signs of DM production Or look for the mediator particle

Experimentally: Can look for signs of DM production Or look for the mediator particle



Experimentally: Can look for signs of DM production Or look for the mediator particle



Requires $m_{A'} > 2 m_{DM}$

Experimentally: Can look for signs of DM production Or look for the mediator particle



Requires $m_{A'} > 2 m_{DM}$

Signal is "missing energy": do not reconstruct the DM

Experimentally: Can look for signs of DM production Or look for the mediator particle

e+



Requires $m_{A'} > 2 m_{DM}$

Signal is "missing energy": do not reconstruct the DM q/e/...

a/e/

Experimentally: Can look for signs of DM production Or look for the mediator particle

e+



Requires $m_{A'} > 2 m_{DM}$

Signal is "missing energy": do not reconstruct the DM Signal is "resonance": pairs of particles at mass of A'

q/e/...

u/e/...

























Light BSM boson: g-2 anomaly

Many investigations into source of 4.2 σ muon g-2 anomaly One possibility: new massive boson Would be low mass, moderate coupling - kinetic mixing model disfavoured, but experimentally accessible region





Light BSM boson: g-2 anomaly

Many investigations into source of 4.2 σ muon g-2 anomaly One possibility: new massive boson Would be low mass, moderate coupling - kinetic mixing model disfavoured, but experimentally accessible region





Light BSM boson: g-2 anomaly

Many investigations into source of 4.2 σ muon g-2 anomaly One possibility: new massive boson Would be low mass, moderate coupling - kinetic mixing model disfavoured, but experimentally accessible region








New boson experimental limits: very model dependent statements



New boson experimental limits: very model dependent statements



The DarkLight @ ARIEL experiment



Collaboration

Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA University of British Columbia, Canada Hampton University, Hampton, VA, USA TJNAF, Newport News, VA, USA Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA St. Mary's University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada Stony Brook University, NY, USA TRIUMF, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada University of Manitoba, Canada



The accelerator



The accelerator



Background processes

- Vastly dominant background is e+ from pair production combined with efrom simultaneous scattering event. Coincidence-based trigger is key
- Two ways to control rates:

1) angular position of detectors

2) timing resolution << bunch spacing (1.5 ns)















Experiment status: spectrometers

- Two identical dipole spectrometers, 0.32 T
- Simulations ongoing to optimise mass resolution
- Main constraint: space ٠
 - Size of magnet + beamline restrict possible angles for spectrometer



Experiment status: GEM detectors

- 25 x 40 cm triple-GEMs already completed by Hampton University collaborators
- Commissioning to be completed in next 6 to 9 months (JLab/ELPH)



Experiment status: trigger detectors

- Key performance metric: timing resolution ~200 ps
- 8 10 strips of fast plastic scintillator read out is via SiPMs, four per side per strip
- First prototypes being tested at TRIUMF now



Prototype scintillator dimensions: 150 mm x 30 mm x 3 mm



PCB with 4 SiPMs: 12 boards total



Experiment status: read-out and DAQ

- GEM read-out electronics already in place: timing ~ 200 µs
- Trigger uses coincidence of scintillator outputs
 - Discrimination step, then FPGA will determine coincidence between individual scintillator strip pairs
- Investigated various existing systems
 - Likely to begin from trigger design of MAGIX experiment: similar timing resolution and a compact design
- DAQ software will be handled by Stony Brook + TRIUMF



MAGIX board with 32 inputs & FPGA H. Merkel

30 MeV running with current ARIEL e-linac

- First experimental stage is a full run (18 fb⁻¹) at 30 MeV
 - Full detector to be installed in Fall 2023 •



- Best sensitivity is below boson mass of 17 MeV
 - This experiment will enable real understanding of detector performance, backgrounds, and elinac performance

Sensitivity at 30 and 50 MeV accelerators



Sensitivity at 30 and 50 MeV accelerators



















Timeline and milestones



Summary and conclusion

- DarkLight@ARIEL is a new experiment to be built at TRIUMF searching for low-mass e+e- resonances
 - Compelling scientific motivation and a strong international collaboration covering all relevant areas of expertise
- DarkLight will add to continual progress from many experiments searching for new bosons and dark matter at accelerators
- Exciting results to look forward to in the next years!

Summary and conclusion

•

- DarkLight@ARIEL is a new experiment to be built at TRIUMF searching for low-mass e+e- resonances
 - Compelling scientific motivation and a strong international collaboration covering all relevant areas of expertise
- DarkLight will add to continual progress from many experiments searching for new bosons and dark matter at accelerators
- Exciting results to look forward to in the next years!

Currently looking for graduate students - please get in touch if you are interested in working with us!



Backup slides

ARIEL e-linac facility

- 650 MHz frequency; currently 30 MeV energy
- Currents: Projections shown for 150 µA; considering designs that can support full design current of ~ a few mA
- Total design power ~ 100 kW
- Each bunch has ~ 9x10⁶ electrons



Why ARIEL?

- Low energy, high intensity beam.
 - Energy not much above the production threshold is nice because it gives an opening angle that we can easily pick up with spectrometers
 - Peak intensity of 3 mA gives us plenty of instantaneous luminosity - don't need to run forever
- Finally, because the e-linac is available! No need to share beam time with any other targets until ~phase 2, at which point parasitic running will be an option

Are we sensitive to anything else?

- Given the e+e- selection, we are sensitive only to resonances at masses relatively close to the selected target mass
- In general, lots of new physics models give resonances with this type of decay. E.g. doesn't have to be spin 1 like the target model discussed. But sensitivity != motivation: a more complete question would be "what might isn't yet excluded in this mass range that results in a dilepton final state." And I am not sure!
- What we do know: if we see something, there will be lots more study from a more complex detector required to determine what it actually is




Complementary experiments

- Type 1: ATOMKI-like; intending to reproduce and validate experiment
- Montreal, Notre Dame among groups working on this
- No conflict with collider/accelerator goals
- Type 2: mixed hadronic-leptonic
- Leading experiment LHCb: will cover all X17 space (even with protophobic assumptions) with full Run 3 data
- Complementary to DarkLight, which can probe electron coupling independently of hadronic couplings

- Type 3: pure leptonic production
- Lots of experiments covering invisible decay: LDMX, Na64, ...
- A few experiments with similar visible final state sensitivity.
 - Na64 currently setting lower boundary. Future (2023+) runs with modified setup can probe higher ε
 - MAGIX very powerful here but on longer timeline (2025+)

Aren't WIMPs basically excluded by direct detection?

• Reminder about WIMP models: make up relic density with a single particle, order GeV to TeV mass, couplings are order of weak scale.



What does this plot tell us?

- Interpreted in a contact interaction (EFT) framework: applicable for these experiments but need to convert from other models to make a 1-to-1 equivalence
 - Different models have very different interactions (e.g. spin-dependent versus spin-independent)
- Freeze-in and other wimp paradigms can give very different probable coupling ranges
- Note that the neutrino floor is not a forbidden region, it's a hard to search region.

Example...



Freeze-in and freeze-out



36

When you said that model "really is simplified"...



Not a vector mediator



Not s-channel couplings



No BSM mediator



When you said that model "really is simplified"...



Not a WIMP

Axions, asymmetric dark matter, sterile neutrinos, non-WIMP SUSY candidates

(Not a particle)