#### Characterization of the 2D percolation transition in ultrathin Fe/W(110) films using the magnetic susceptibility

Randy Belanger, Katelyn Dixon, Adrian Solyom, David Venus Department of Physics and Astronomy, McMaster University





Department of Physics & Astronomy

# What is Percolation?



- *p<sub>c</sub>* is the threshold concentration: the point at which a percolating cluster extends the length of the lattice
- ▶  $p_c = 0.5928$  ... for an infinite 2D square lattice (theoretical)

## Percolation in Ultrathin Films





<sup>1</sup>Elmers, H.J. et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 73(6), 898-901, (1994).

Very difficult to study as the transition is occurring

#### Note: Deposition $(t) \neq$ Coverage (p)

# Percolation in Ultrathin Films





<sup>1</sup>Elmers, H.J. et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 73(6), 898-901, (1994).

Very difficult to study as the transition is occurring

#### Why is this interesting?

- Radical change in film properties at percolation
- Interesting example of a non-thermal phase transition

Note: Deposition  $(t) \neq$  Coverage (p)









#### Surface Magneto-optic Kerr Effect



## Results: Susceptibility versus deposition



#### Results: Susceptibility versus deposition



#### Results: Susceptibility versus deposition



## Thermal vs. geometric transitions

Evidence of different transition types: thermal above ~350 K, percolation below



Scaled shapes of  $\chi$  at various temperatures

#### Thermal vs. geometric transitions

Evidence of different transition types: thermal above ~350 K, percolation below



#### Thermal vs. geometric transitions

Sharp vertical section agrees qualitatively with theoretically predicted crossover from percolation to thermal behaviour of the transition:



<sup>1</sup>Stauer, D. and Aharony, A. Introduction to percolation theory. Taylor & Francis Ltd., (1992).

#### Magnetic susceptibility in terms of percolation



# Scaling with Critical Exponents



# Scaling with Critical Exponents



#### Fitting to critical exponent



# Minimizing variance

- No minimum in the variance
- Variance begins to level out when p<sub>c</sub> is chosen close to the peak in the susceptibility



 $\gamma_{mean} = 2.6 \pm 0.2$ 



# Minimizing variance

- No minimum in the variance
- Variance begins to level out when p<sub>c</sub> is chosen close to the peak in the susceptibility



 $\gamma_{mean} = 2.6 \pm 0.2$ 



# Minimizing variance

No minimum in the variance

12

10

-2

-6

 $(\mathfrak{L})_{\mathrm{bol}}$ 

Variance begins to level out when  $p_c$  is chosen close to the peak in the susceptibility



## Conclusions

- Measured and characterized 2D percolation as it occurs by using changing magnetic properties as probe
- Observed crossover from percolation to thermal transitions
- Agreement with theory
  - Very sharp (essentially vertical) transition line for percolation
  - Critical exponent:
    - Theory:  $\gamma = 43/18 \approx 2.39$
    - **Experiment:**  $\gamma = 2.6 \pm 0.2$

# Acknowledgements

- ► Marek Kiela, Technician
- ► Gengming He, Former MSc Student

## Extra Slides

25



# Quartz Window Birefringence

- Strain induced by UHV on quartz windows worsens birefringence
  - Causes linearly polarized light to become elliptically polarized upon entering the chamber.
- Method developed to counteract effect<sup>1</sup>
  - Initial polarizer rotated slightly such that ellipticity from sample counteracts ellipticity from windows resulting in linearly polarized light at analyzer



# Measuring Film Thickness

- Film thickness measured by Auger Electron Spectroscopy (AES)
- Measure W spectrum before and after film growth: attenuation proportional to the deposition up to the first ML





28

## **Growth Modes**

 At room temperature, growth by isolated islands (quenched magnet)

- At >500K, growth by stripes (annealed magnet)
  - Grows along terrace step edges
    - terraces due to cleaving of mosaic W crystal to reveal (110) surface.
  - Growth is monolayer by monolayer



Elmers, H.J. et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 73(6), 898-29 901, (1994).

#### Indirect Observation of Percolation



Elmers, H.J. et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 73(6), 898-901, (1994).

# **Evaporator**



## Relation between coverage, p, and deposition, t

- A simple model of particles landing randomly on lattice sites
- Particles are permitted to land on other particles
- Particles more likely to land on other particles at higher coverages p

$$p = 1 - e^{-t}$$
For critical deposition,  $t_c = 1.2 \text{ML} \Rightarrow p \approx 0.7$ 

#### Derivation of Susceptibility for Percolation

Magnetization of a single cluster:

$$m_{cluster} = sm anh\left(\frac{smH}{kT}\right)$$

. .

Film magnetization:

$$M = \sum_{s} m_{cluster} n_{s} = \sum_{s} smn_{s} \tanh\left(\frac{smH}{kT}\right) \approx \sum_{s} \frac{(sm)^{2} n_{s} H}{kT}$$

Mean cluster size:  $S = \frac{\sum_{s} s^2 n_s}{\sum_{s} s n_s}$ 

Susceptibility:

$$\chi = \frac{\mathrm{d}M}{\mathrm{d}H} = \frac{m^2}{kT} \sum_{S} s^2 n_S \Rightarrow \chi \propto S$$

s = number of lattice sites in a clusterm = magnetic moment of single atom

*H* = applied field

 $n_s$  = number of clusters of size *s* per lattice site