

Mechanistic modelling of DNA Damage and Repair: Application to VHEE



Mike Merchant,

Division of Cancer Science, University of Manchester

michael.merchant@manchester.ac.uk





ANPP (Accelerator, Nuclear and Particle Physics) Division Seminar series, 27th November 2020



Evidence for Proton RBE (survival)



1

- Proton RBE = 1.1
- No significant clinical evidence to suggest under- or over-dosing using constant RBE
 - Emerging evidence: Underwood *et al.,* Red Journal, 2018
- Significant amount of *in vitro* evidence to support variable RBE in proton therapy
 - Paganetti metareview publications, PIDE database (GSI)





Data reproduced from Paganetti, Phys. Med. Biol., 2014



Why do we need a model?



We don't understand survival following radiation at a cell level



Proton RBE (survival) – in vitro



Paganetti, H. Relative Biological Effectiveness (RBE) Values for Proton Beam Therapy. Variations as a Function of Biological Endpoint, Dose, and Linear Energy Transfer. *Physics in Medicine and Biology* **2014**, *59*, R419–R472.





Cell scale approaches to Proton RBE

Phenomenological models



 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{RBE}_{\mathsf{max}}}\xspace$ and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{RBE}_{\mathsf{min}}}\xspace$ are fit to experimental data for cell survival



The Christie

NHS Foundation Trust

Many models proposed, but hard to validate using posttreatment imaging.

A major challenge to gain clinical confidence. (Limited evidence for RBE in vivo)



McNamara et al., Phys. Med. Biol., 2015 Carabe et al., Phys. Med. Biol., 2012







What happens at the DNA level? 3 stages to mechanism of DNA response











- Geant4-DNA track structure simulation protons and other ion species
- Energy deposition in DNA can cause a strand break Mechanism fit to experimental data on plasmid irradiation
- OH radicals diffusing to DNA have a probability to cause a strand break Mechanism fit to proportions proposed in literature
 - Damage mechanisms are experimentally measurable (experiments underway)
- Breaks on opposite strands separated by **10 bp** or less cluster to form a DSB
- Model predicts DSB complexity and gives 4D map of position



Modelling Direct and Indirect DNA damage







• For Co-60 irradiation 65% of the strand breaks are from indirect effects*

Modelling Assumption

- If an OH radical steps into a DNA backbone it reacts, set a probability that the reaction causes damage
- P=0.5 gives 65% indirect damage *Ward, Radiat. Res., 1985







1824

MANCHESTER





A quarter cylinder DNA model with direct strand breaks determined by an energy range probability most closely reproduces experimental data. Henthorn, N.T., Warmenhoven, J.W., Sotiropoulos, M., Aitkenhead, A.H., Smith, E.A.K., Ingram, S.P., Kirkby, N.F., Chadwick, A.L., Burnet, N.G., Mackay, R.I., Kirkby, K.J. and Merchant, M.J.; Clinically relevant nanodosimetric simulation of DNA damage complexity from photons and protons; **RSC advances; 2019**.



VHEE Plasmid irradiations



Kristina Small's PhD work!

- Successful series of plasmid experiments performed with 6-15 MeV electrons (Christie), 100-200 MeV (CLEAR) and ⁶⁰Co photons (DCF).
- Following tests in Dec 2018, beam exploitation planned at CLARA (20-50 MeV) in Apr 2020 – postponed due to COVID-19



Small et al, 2020, Under review, Scientific Reports



Plasmid Irradiation Experiments with VHEE

Experiment Aims

Dry Setup

- Measure DSB yield following dry and wet plasmid irradiation – use to calculate VHEE RBE_{DSB}
- Variation of irradiation dose rate evidence of FLASH effect at nanoscale?

Experiment Plan

- Dry plasmid samples on glass microscope slides irradiated at 20-40 MeV, with doses of 0-6000 Gy delivered
- Wet plasmid samples in 1.5ml Eppendorf tubes irradiated at 20-40 MeV, with doses of 0-50 Gy
- Irradiated plasmids analysed through agarose gel electrophoresis at the Oglesby Cancer Research Centre (Manchester)





Plasmid Irradiation Experiments with VHEE

Experiment Aims

- Measure DSB yield following dry and wet plasmid irradiation – use to calculate VHEE RBE_{DSB}
- Variation of irradiation dose rate evidence of FLASH effect at nanoscale?

Experiment Plan

- Dry plasmid samples on glass microscope slides irradiated at 20-40 MeV, with doses of 0-6000 Gy delivered
- Wet plasmid samples in 1.5ml Eppendorf tubes irradiated at 20-40 MeV, with doses of 0-50 Gy
- Irradiated plasmids analysed through agarose gel electrophoresis at the Oglesby Cancer Research Centre (Manchester)





Plasmid Analysis – Agarose Gel Electrophoresis



- Unirradiated plasmid DNA exists in an undamaged, or supercoiled state.
- Open-circular plasmid results from a SSB, due to relaxation of the SC DNA.
- DSBs are detectable as linear forms of plasmid.



Protons: RBE of Damage Complexity







DNA Repair DaMaRiS – The DNA Mechanistic Repair Simulator





The

Warmenhoven, J.W., Henthorn, N.T., Ingram, S.P., Chadwick, A.L., Sotiropoulos, M., Korabel, N., Fedotov, S., Mackay, R.I., Kirkby, K.J. and Merchant, M.J.; Insights into the non-homologous end joining pathway and double strand break end mobility provided by mechanistic *in silico* modelling; DNA repair; 2020.

Ingram, S.P., Warmenhoven, J.W., Henthorn, N.T., Smith, E.A.K., Chadwick, A.L., Burnet, N.G., Mackay, R.I., Kirkby, N.F., Kirkby, K.J. and Merchant, M.J; Mechanistic modelling supports entwined rather than exclusively competitive DNA double-strand break repair pathway; Scientific reports; 2019.







A LET vs. Residual DSBs at 24 h



DNA Repair: Fitting Protein Kinetics

Α

140

120

100

80

60

40

20





The

Group

Precise

Warmenhoven, J.W., Henthorn, N.T., Ingram, S.P., Chadwick, A.L., Sotiropoulos, M., Korabel, N., Fedotov, S., Mackay, R.I., Kirkby, K.J. and Merchant, M.J.; Insights into the non-homologous end joining pathway and double strand break end mobility provided by mechanistic *in silico* modelling; DNA repair; 2020.



DNA Repair: Pathway interactions



Dissociation



Time after irradiation (hrs)





Warmenhoven, J.W., Henthorn, N.T., Ingram, S.P., Chadwick, A.L., Sotiropoulos, M., Korabel, N., Fedotov, S., Mackay, R.I., Kirkby, K.J. and Merchant, M.J.; Insights into the non-homologous end joining pathway and double strand break end mobility provided by mechanistic *in silico* modelling; DNA repair; 2020.



A critical parameter of repair fidelity: DSB motion







Loucas, B.; Cornforth, M. The LET Dependence of Unrepaired Chromosome Damage in Human Cells: A Break Too Far? Radiat Res 2013





Moving to the Cell Scale:



Chromosome Aberrations



Figure from: Sachs, RK; Levy, D; Hahnfeldt, P; Hlatky, L Quantitative Analysis of Radiation-Induced Chromosome Aberrations.*Cytogenetic and genome ...* **2004**







Anomalous diffusion of DSB Ends



cell biology Positional stability of single double-strand breaks in mammalian cells data normal diffusion REPORTS subdiffusion with $\alpha = 0.5$ DRR out ing agoin become in location? interaction to hypothese interaction when due to the posterior of DRAA di that book for posterior of DRAA di that p Subdiffusion Supports Joining Of Correct [/_mr]([⊽)d Ends During Repair Of DNA Double-Strand Breaks 5 Girl V Hold G & Dealer' C Gauler' C Salenaid: M Houri & & Kief' & G Dal Accepted 18 July 2013 0.0 0 5 -0.5 Α ∆l [um]

somes. Similarly to single DSBs, the broken DNA ends were positionally stable (Fig. 2c). No coalescence was observed at times up to 24 h after breakage, even between arrays separated by less than 400 nm (data not shown). We conclude that DSBs are positionally immobile within the mammalian cell nucleus.



Figure 4. & 5.Metzler, R. and Klafter, J (2000) The Random Walk's Guide to Anomalous Diffusion: A Fractional Dynamics Approach, Physics Reports

EPSRC BioProton, working with: Dr Nickolay Korabel, Prof Sergei Fedotov School of Mathematics, University of Manchester.





MANCHESTER 1824

DNA Damage & Repair:

The

Precise

DSB cluster size – a better predictor than LET?



Cluster Density (Avg. DSBs in R nm Radius)



Protons: Model Translation:

From DNA scale to Patient Scale





E. Smith et al., Nat. Sci. Rep 2019





Model Translation:

From DNA scale to Patient Scale









Model Translation:

From DNA scale to Patient Scale





The Christie

Note: - unvalidated research model - Not used clinically!



Using genomic data to model cell nucleus:

Hi-C

paired-ends



The University of Manchester



Human chromosomes



A biological technique to measure gene-gene contacts

- Cells are crosslinked to join nearby chromatin segments
- Crosslinked segments are marked and analysed to give contact frequency
- Repeats over many cells gives an average contact frequency map

Technique identifies "Topologically Associated Domains", highlighting frequent gene-gene interactions

Data can be used to reconstruct a 3D geometry

Resolution depends on restriction enzymes, Typically ~10kbp

Liberman-Aiden, E., van Berkum, N.L., Williams, L., Imakaev, M., Ragoczy, T., Telling, A., Amit, I., Lajoie, B.R., Sabo, P.J., Dorschner, M.O., Sandstrom, R., Bernstein, B., Bender, M.A., Groudine, M., Gnirke, A., Stamatoyannopoulos, J., Mirny, L.A., Lander, E.S., and Dekker, J.; Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding priniciples of the human genome; Science; 2009





Using Hi-C data : A spatial solver



Ingram, S.P., Henthorn, N.T., Warmenhoven, J.W., Kirkby, N.F., Mackay, R.I., Kirkby, K.J. and Merchant, M.J; Hi-C implementation of genome structure for in silico models of radiation-induced DNA damage. Submitted 2020. Solving: 46 Chromosomes 15,282 Beads 31,062 Constraints







Using Hi-C data: Cell line specific models





IMR90 Human, Normal Fibroblast







GM12878 Human, Normal B-Lymphocyte

HMEC

Human, Normal Dermal Endothelium



Radiation interactions at the nucleus scale:

Cell line specific prediction of DSB







Ingram, S.P., Henthorn, N.T., Warmenhoven, J.W., Kirkby, N.F., Mackay, R.I., Kirkby, K.J. and Merchant, M.J; Hi-C implementation of genome structure for in silico models of radiation-induced DNA damage. PLOS Computational Biology 2020. 1.0



The Christie Research Beamline





The Christie





Protons: Hypoxia Radiobiology End-station



Automated hypoxia cabinet for proton irradiation.



Designed in collaboration with Don Whitley Scientific Ltd



Thanks for listening!



DNA Damage and Repair work from PRECISE

- Dr Nick Henthorn
- Dr John
 Warmenhoven
- Dr Nickolay Korabel •
- Sam Ingram
- Ed Smith
- Yaping Qi
- Charlotte Heaven
- Bethany Rothwell
- Hannah Wantsall



- Prof. Ranald MacKay
- Prof. Norman Kirkby
- Prof. Sergei Fedotov
- Dr Amy Chadwick
- Dr Elham Santina
- Dr Adam Aitkenhead

VHEE work led by Prof. Roger Jones

- K. L. Small
- D. Angal-Kalinin
- R. J. Smith
- M. Surman
- J. Jones
- W. Farabolini
- R. Corsini, D. Gamba
- A. Gilardi

