

The University of Manchester

# **Muon Collider: a window to the future**

November 6<sup>th</sup>, 2020

**Donatella Lucchesi University of Padova and INFN** 

P. Andreetto<sup>*a*</sup>, N. Bartosik<sup>*b*</sup>, L. Buonincontri<sup>*a,d*</sup> M. Casarsa<sup>*c*</sup>, A. Gianelle<sup>*a*</sup>, L. Sestini<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> INFN Padova, <sup>*b*</sup> INFN Torino, <sup>*c*</sup> INFN Trieste, <sup>*d*</sup> University of Padova,





# Why do we want to collide muons

- $e^+e^-$  circular colliders are multi-pass, beams can be used many times.
- The energy loss by synchrotron radiation limits their usage: LEP2 lost 2.72 GeV/turn for E = 105 GeV.
- That's why proton colliders are considered *energy frontier*.
- $e^+e^-$  linear colliders do not suffer from synchrotron radiation loss.
- They are single-pass, beams can be used once.
- The achievable center of mass energy and the luminosity are limited by money, CLIC at  $\sqrt{s}=14$  TeV costs  $\mathcal{O}(60\text{GCHF})$

New approach: collide muons Heavier than electron  $\Rightarrow$  no synchrotron radiation loss  $\Rightarrow$ multi-pass Lighter than proton  $\Rightarrow$  easier to accelerate Unfortunately, short lifetime at rest, 2.2 µs

D. Schulte

 $\Delta E \propto$ 

# How do we collide muons



# How to cool muons: Muon Ionization Cooling Experiment, MICE



#### MICE experiment in progress at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory



West Wall

# How do we collide muons – cont'd

 $p \rightarrow target \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \mu \nu$ 



# **Motivations**

# **Economic Motivations**

The luminosity per beam power is independent of collision energy in linear lepton colliders, but increases linearly for muon colliders



Cost accounting is not uniform across the projects, estimates for LHeC and muon collider are prorated from the costs of other projects

Project	Type	Energy	$N_{\rm det}$	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{int}}$	Time	Power	Cost	
		(TeV, c.m.e.)		$(ab^{-1})$	(years)	(MW)		
ILC	$e^+e^-$	0.25	1	2	11	129	4.8-5.3BILCU	
		0.5	1	4	10	163(204)	8.0 BILCU	
		1	1			300	+(n/a)	
CLIC	$e^+e^-$	0.38	1	1	8	168	5.9  BCHF	
		1.5	1	2.5	7	370	+ 5.1 BCHF	
		3	1	5	8	590	+7.3 BCHF	
CEPC	$e^+e^-$	0.091 & 0.16	2	16 + 2.6	2 + 1	149	$5 \mathrm{B} \mathrm{USD}$	
		0.24	2	5.6	7	266	+(n/a)	
FCC-ee	$e^+e^-$	0.091 & 0.16	2	150 + 10	4 + 1	259	10.5  BCHF	
		0.24	2	5	3	282		
		0.365 & 0.35	2	1.5 + 0.2	4 + 1	340	+1.1 BCHF	
LHeC	ep	1.3	1	1	12	(+100)	1.75* BCHF	
HE-LHC	pp	27	2	20	20	220	7.2 BCHF	
FCC-hh	pp	100	2	30	25	580	17(+7) BCHF	
FCC-eh	ep	3.5	1	2	25	(+100)	1.75  BCHF	
Muon Collider	$\mu\mu$	14	2	50	15	290	$10.7^*$ BCHF	

arXiv:2003.09084

# **Physics Motivations: Discovery Potential**

- Muons are elementary particles  $\Rightarrow \sqrt{s_{\mu}}$  entirely available to produce short-distance reactions.
- Protons are formed by partons ⇒ interactions occur between the proton constituents ⇒ fraction of  $\sqrt{s_p}$  enter in the short-distance reactions.



Vector boson fusion at multi-TeV muon colliders, A. Costantini et al.

#### Manchester - HEP Seminar

# **Physics Motivations: Certain Discovery through the Higgs Boson**

- Higgs boson couplings to fermions and bosons are expected to be measured with a precision similar or better than  $e^+e^-$ .
- Muon collider has the unique possibility to allow the determination of the Higgs potential:



# **Main Issues**

# **The Beam-Induced Background**

Muon decay... just a back of the envelope calculation:

beam 0.75 TeV  $\lambda = 4.8 \times 10^6$  m, with  $2 \times 10^{12} \mu$ /bunch  $\Rightarrow 4.1 \times 10^5$  decay per meter of lattice. Muon induced background, if not properly treated, could be critical for:

- Magnets, they need to be protected
- Detector, the performance depends on the rate of background particles arriving to each subdetector
- People due to neutrino induced radiation
- Neutrinos from intense muon beams are very well collimated,  $\theta \approx 1/\gamma$ . At 1TeV  $\theta \approx 10^{-4}$
- Neutrinos beams interact with matter, the products originate the dose when they reach the earth surface



Radiation hazard studied since the beginning MAP:

- <u>Muon Collider R.B.Palmer et .al</u>
- <u>N. Mokhov, A. Van Ginneken Neutrino Radiation at</u> <u>Muon Colliders and Storage Rings</u>

New study based on Fluka is starting: careful design of the collider in particular in the straight sections and of the environment is needed.

# **The Beam-Induced Background - BIB**

- $\blacktriangleright$  MAP developed a realistic simulation of beam-induced backgrounds in the detector by implementing a model of the tunnel and the accelerator ±200 m from the interaction point.
- Secondary and tertiary particles from muon decays have been simulated with MARS15 then transported to the detector.
- > Two tungsten nozzles play a crucial role in background mitigation inside the detector.



# Beam-Induced Background Study Tunnel Detector Nozzle Final focus

400

BIB available for  $\sqrt{s}=1.5$  TeV and  $\sqrt{s}=125$  GeV

Prepare a new tool based on Fluka to generate new BIB: at different  $\sqrt{s}$ 

Modifying the detector and the interaction region



-400

R, €∰0

400

300

200

100

# **Beam-induced background properties** $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ TeV



Contributions from  $\mu$  decays |z| > 25 m become negligible for all background species but Bethe-Heitler muons





Secondary and tertiary particles have low momentum

# **Beam-induced background properties** $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ TeV



- Time information is important to reduce the beam-induced background at  $\sqrt{s}=1.5$  TeV.
- BIB behavior at higher center of mass energies has to be studied.

# **Detector & Detector Performance at** $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ TeV

# **Detector for** $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ **TeV Collisions**

- CLIC Detector adopted with modifications for muon collider needs.
- Detector optimization at  $\sqrt{s}=1.5$  (3) TeV is one of the Snowmass goals.



#### Vertex Detector (VXD)

- 4 double-sensor barrel layers 25x25µm<sup>2</sup>
- 4+4 double-sensor disks 25x25µm<sup>2</sup>
   Inner Tracker (IT)

# 3 barrel layers 50x50µm<sup>2</sup>

3 barrel layers 50x50µr
7+7 disks "

### Outer Tracker(OT)

- 3 barrel layers 50x50µm<sup>2</sup>
- 4+4 disks

#### Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECAL)

 40 layers W absorber and silicon pad sensors, 5x5 mm<sup>2</sup>

#### Hadron Calorimeter (HCAL)

 60 layers steel absorber & plastic scintillating tiles, 30x30 mm<sup>2</sup>

# **Tracking System at** $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ TeV

Effects of beam-induce background can be mitigated by exploiting "5D" detectors, i.e. including timing.

A  $\pm 150$  ps window at 50 ps time resolution in the Vertex detector allows to strongly reduce the occupancy.



BIB effects can be mitigated at reconstruction time:

Sample of prompt muons:  $0 < P_T \le 10 \text{ GeV}$ Prompt muons with BIB



# **Calorimeter System at** $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ TeV

#### Calorimeter Occupancy



These characteristics need to be exploited in order to:

- Optimize jet reconstruction algorithm.
- Design appropriate algorithm to identify b-jets.
- Propose integrated methods to efficiently reconstruct muons, in particular at very high momentum.



# **Detector Performance at** $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ TeV



# **Software Status**

- ILCSoft which will be part of the Future Collider Framework, Key4hep, is used. The simulation/reconstruction tools support signal + beam-induced background merging. Presentation at <u>Snowmass</u> with a tutorial, and Confluence <u>Site</u>.
- Event Full Simulation -> no issues
- Event track reconstruction:
  - It takes a very long time to do it with full BIB
  - Reduce the combinatorial:
    - cutting harder on timing
    - exploit double layer (to be optimized) to remove tracks not coming from primary interaction
- Jet Reconstruction:
  - Subtract "average" energy per tower to remove BIB
  - Optimize ParticleFlow algorithm
- > Jet b-tag: to be optimized





# **Detailed Physics Studies, so far**

 $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow b\overline{b}$  Studies at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$  TeV

 $\mu^+\mu^- \to HX, H \to b\bar{b}$  and  $\mu^+\mu^- \to b\bar{b}X$  generated  $@\sqrt{s} = 1.5 \ TeV$  with PYTHIA 8

Process	cross section [pb]
$\mu^+\mu^-  o \gamma^*/Z  o b\overline{b}$	0.046
$_{\mu}^{\mu}\mu^{-} \rightarrow \gamma^{*}/Z\gamma^{*}/Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ +X	0.029
$\mu^+\mu^- \to \gamma^*/Z\gamma \to bb\gamma$	0.12
$\mu^+\mu^-  ightarrow HZ  ightarrow b\overline{b}$ +X	0.004
$\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- H \ H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ (ZZ fusion)	0.018
$\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow \nu_\mu \nu_\mu H H \rightarrow bb$ (WW fusion)	0.18 Signal



 $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow H\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow b\bar{b}\nu\bar{\nu}$  + beam-induced background fully simulated





 $N_s$ : number of signal events.

B: number of background events,  $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  from Pythia + beam-induced background

 $\sigma$ : cross section times BR

A: acceptance; removed nozzle region for  $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$  TeV, 2 jets  $|\eta| < 2.5$ , and  $p_T > 40$  GeV  $\varepsilon$ : measured with the full simulation at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$  TeV

# Assumptions for Higgs $b\overline{b}$ Couplings at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ , 10 TeV

- > Nozzles and interaction region are not optimized for these energies, nor is the detector.
- Efficiencies obtained with the full simulation at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$  TeV used for the higher center-of-mass energy cases, with the proper scaling to take into account the different kinematic region.
- > At higher  $\sqrt{s}$  the tracking and the calorimeter detectors are expected to perform significantly better since the yield of the beam-induced background should decrease with  $\sqrt{s}$ .
- > The uncertainty on  $\frac{\Delta(g_{HWW}^2/\Gamma_H)}{(g_{HWW}^2/\Gamma_H)}$  is taken from the CLIC at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV and used also at  $\sqrt{s} = 10$  TeV



**Conservative Assumptions** 

# Higgs *b b* Couplings Results

- Instantaneous luminosity,  $\mathcal{L}$ , at different  $\sqrt{s}$  is taken from MAP.
- Acceptance, *A*, number of signal events, *N*, and background, *B*, are determined with simulation.
- Running time  $t = 4 \cdot 10^7$  s  $\Rightarrow$  4 Snowmass years
- Only one detector

$\sqrt{s}$	A	$\epsilon$	L	$\mathcal{L}_{int}$	σ	N	В	$\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma}$	Δ <u>g<sub>Hbb</sub></u> g <sub>Hbb</sub>
[TeV]	[%]	[%]	$[cm^{-2}s^{-1}]$	$[ab^{-1}]$	[fb]			[%]	[%]
1.5	35	15	$1.25 \cdot 10^{34}$	0.5	203	5500	6700	2.0	1.9
3.0	37	15	$4.4 \cdot 10^{34}$	1.3	324	33000	7700	0.60	1.0
10	39	16	$2 \cdot 10^{35}$	8.0	549	270000	4400	0.20	0.91

	$\sqrt{s}$ [TeV]	$\mathcal{L}_{int}$ [ab <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\frac{\Delta g_{Hbb}}{g_{Hbb}} \left[\%\right]$		
	1.5	0.5	1.9		
Muon Collider	3.0	1.3	1.0		
	10	8.0	0.91		
	0.35	0.5	3.0		
CLIC	1.4	+1.5	1.0		
	3.0	+2.0	0.9		

CLIC numbers: obtained with a modelindependent multi-parameter fit performed in three stages, taking into account data obtained at the three different energies.

Results published on JINST as <u>Detector and</u> <u>Physics Performance at a Muon Collider</u>

### **Higgs Boson Potential determination**



*HH* cross section at a muon collider is higher with respect to  $e^+e^-$  at the same center-of-mass energy due to different initial state radiation.



Phenomenological studies show that at 14 TeV, with 33 ab<sup>-1</sup> it will be possible to achieve an uncertainty of 50% on the quadrilinear coupling.



### **Double Higgs Boson Studies at** $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV

Sample used

 $\begin{array}{c} \square & \mu^+\mu^- \to HH\nu\bar{\nu} \to b\bar{b}b\bar{b}\nu\bar{\nu}\\ \square & \mu^+\mu^- \to b\bar{b}b\bar{b}\nu\bar{\nu} \text{ inclusive} \end{array} \end{array}$ 

with WHIZARD 2.8.2 at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV



- Detector acceptance and MDI of  $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$  TeV
- Detector performance determined at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$  TeV events weighted to take into account for the different energy

Conservative assumptions

# Study of double Higgs production at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV : preliminary results

Very preliminary event selection and reconstruction:

- $N_{jets}$  >3 with  $P_T$  >20 GeV, b-tag jets  $P_T$  >40 GeV
- Jets combined in pairs, one jet per pair is required to be b-tagged
- Separate signal from background using a BDT with 5 input variables.



Assumptions

- $\mathcal{L}_{int} = 1.3 \ ab^{-1}$
- Running time =  $4 \cdot 10^7$  s
- one detector



With a simple fit to the BDT output  $\Delta \sigma = 0.22$ 

$$\frac{2\sigma}{\sigma} = 0.33$$

CLIC has 7.5% with 5  $ab^{-1}$  and very refined analysis

# How to Study double Higgs production at $\sqrt{s} = 10$ TeV



#### Simulated for the first time at this energy

- Event topology different with respect to "low" energies. •
- Dedicated detector and reconstruction algorithms have to be proposed. •
- Signal and background properties and characteristics to be studied •

Terra Incognita!!

5 TeV

### To conclude

- Muon Collider can be THE future machine
- > We need to work together to understand if it is feasible by studying:
  - Machine and Beam-induced background
  - > Physics potential:
    - Only a first look at the Higgs in details
    - Plenty of studies to be done, some, maybe even unexpected ...

Contents	5 Precision electroweak measurements					
1 Introduction	<ul><li>5.1 SMEFT formalism</li><li>5.2 Higgs self-couplings at muon colliders</li></ul>					
2 Computational setup	5.3 Top electroweak couplings at muon colliders	Vector boson fusion at multi-				
<ul> <li>3 Comparing proton colliders and muon colliders</li> <li>3.1 2 → 1 annihilations</li> <li>3.2 2 → 2 annihilations</li> <li>3.3 Weak boson fusion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>6 Searches for new physics</li> <li>6.1 Scalar singlet extension of the Standard Model</li> <li>6.2 Two Higgs Doublet Model</li> <li>6.3 Georgi-Machacek Model</li> <li>6.4 Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model</li> </ul>	<u>TeV muon colliders</u> , A. Costantini <i>et al</i> .				
<ul> <li>4 Standard Model processes at muon colliders</li> <li>4.1 Technical nuances at high energies</li> <li>4.2 W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>-</sup> fusion</li> <li>4.3 ZZ, Zγ, and γγ fusion</li> <li>4.4 WZ and Wγ scattering</li> <li>4.5 W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>+</sup> fusion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>6.5 Vector leptoquarks</li> <li>6.6 Heavy Dirac and Majorana neutrinos</li> <li>6.7 Vector-like quarks</li> <li>6.8 Overview of vector boson fusion sensitivity</li> <li>7 New physics processes at muon colliders: annihilation vs fusion</li> <li>8 Conclusions</li> </ul>					

#### > An international collaboration is being formed.

We need to have more courage, and collectively agree on alternatives.

Manchester - HEP Seminar

# BACKUP

# **Possible Schedule**



Physics Briefing Book arXiv:1910.11775v2

	1	<b>Briefing Book Tentativ</b>							Timeline (2019) 📈							
TOR					(	CDRs			TDRs				_		mited	
TEC		R&D	detectors	Prototy	ypes		Lar	ge Pr	oto/S	Slice	test		chnig	ann		
БЩ		MDI	& detector	simulations							Teo					
			7 M 4	<u>ں</u>	7	∞ 0	٦0 م	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	year	
		Limitec	l Cost	Higher cost for test				Higher cost for – technical			Higher cost		Full project			
		Mainly	paper	,			– de	– design			for		- · · J			
Щ		design		Specific prototypes			Significant			pre atio	epar on					
H		And so	me	Significant resources			resources									
MAC		hardware component R&D														
		Docig	n/models	Drotot	NDOC.	/ + f cc	mn	Drototypos / pro s			cori	06				
		Design / models		Prototypes / t. f. comp.			P1	Prototypes / pre-ser			-5611	25				
	Ready to decid on test facility				cide Ready to con ty to collider			mmit	nmit Ready to construe			o ct				
020	Cost scale			known	N	Cost I	know er - HEP	Semin	ar							