





Vrije Universiteit Brussel

# Four top quark production in the Standard Model at CMS experiment

IOP conference 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2016

Lana Beck<sup>1,2</sup>, on behalf of the CMS collaboration <sup>1</sup>University of Bristol <sup>2</sup>Vrije Universiteit Brussel



### CMS detector



### Motivation for four top search

- It is an extremely rare SM process
- Cross section of ≈ 1 fb at 8 TeV\*
- Cross section is enhanced in many BSM models



### Motivation for four top search

- It is an extremely rare SM process
- Cross section of ≈ 1 fb at 8 TeV\*
- Cross section is enhanced in many BSM models
  - Models with tttt signatures include:
  - Supersymmetry
  - New gauge forces
  - Higgs boson or top quark compositeness
  - Extra dimensions
- Cross sections up to 10,000 times that of SM tttt production
- \*1 fb  $\approx$  1 event in 10<sup>14</sup> proton-proton collisions





## **Top Quark**

- Only quark to decay before it hadronises
- ~100% branching ratio to b quarks in standard model



## **Top Quark**

- Only quark to decay before it hadronises
- ~100% branching ratio to b quarks in standard model

W⁺

I, q

v. ā



# Single lepton channel

Single lepton channel ( $\mu$ /e) which has ~40% BR



g

lepton

►E<sub>Tmissing</sub>

# Single lepton channel

Single lepton channel ( $\mu$ /e) which has ~40% BR



10 jets

4 b-jets

1 lepton

# Single lepton channel

Single lepton channel ( $\mu$ /e) which has ~40% BR



Should be possible to reconstruct more than one hadronically decaying top quark in signal events but not in background

10 jets

4 b-jets

1 lepton



### Reconstruction of top quarks

Look at every combination of jets in the event







# $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{y}, \bar{q}'}^{l, q} \qquad \text{Reconstruction of top quarks}$

Look at every combination of jets in the event

b

W⁺





|                             | Bad              | Good              |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Invariant Mass of 3 jets    | Not close to top | Close to top mass |
| Inv. Mass of 2 closest jets | Not close to W   | Close to W mass   |



### Reconstruction of top quarks

Look at every combination of jets in the event

b

I, q

 $v, \overline{q}$ 

W⁺

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

|                               | Bad              | Good              |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Invariant Mass of 3 jets      | Not close to top | Close to top mass |
| Inv. Mass of 2 closest jets   | Not close to W   | Close to W mass   |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> jet b tagged? | No               | Yes               |

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

# t

#### **Reconstruction of top quarks**

Look at every combination of jets in the event

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

|                                    | Bad              | Good              |  |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--|
| Invariant Mass of 3 jets           | Not close to top | Close to top mass |  |
| Inv. Mass of 2 closest jets        | Not close to W   | Close to W mass   |  |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> jet b tagged?      | No               | Yes               |  |
| Σ <mark>φ</mark> _/Σρ <sub>T</sub> | 0.1              | 0.9               |  |

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

# t

#### Reconstruction of top quarks

Look at every combination of jets in the event

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

|  | Bad              | Good              |  |
|--|------------------|-------------------|--|
| Invariant Mass of 3 jets                       | Not close to top | Close to top mass |  |
| Inv. Mass of 2 closest jets                    | Not close to W   | Close to W mass   |  |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> jet b tagged?                  | No               | Yes               |  |
| Σ <mark>ρ</mark> <sub>T</sub> /Σρ <sub>T</sub> | 0.1              | 0.9               |  |

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Finding discriminating variables

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

8

# Analysis flow and BDT output distributions

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Four top signal scaled by 100

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### More signal like

### Standard model cross sections

A limit of 25 times the standard model cross section was set on four top quark production

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Four top quark production candidate

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### What does Run2 @ 13TeV mean for my analysis?

- 9 x increase in tttt cross section, 4 x increase in tt background
- Upgrades to detector and simulation
- Larger significance by combining more decay channels
- More discriminating variables found so far
- Possible observation of tttt production or a tighter cross section limit

### Conclusions

- Four top production is a rare standard model process
- Some BSM models can enhance the tttt cross section
- Identifying b-quarks & reconstructing top quarks is key to this analysis
- Boosted Decision Trees used to separate signal and background
- At 8 TeV: set a limit of 25 x the standard model cross section
  - our paper doi: 10.1007/JHEP11(2014)154
  - phenomenological interpretation in the context of a sgluon model
    doi: 10.1016/j.physletb.2015.04.043
- We have high hopes for 13 TeV results

## Thanks for listening

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

## b-quark identification

- b-quarks travel a short distance before decaying
- Displaced tracks in the detector -> secondary vertex
- b-jets are often wider and have more constituent particles
- b-tagging associates a single, real number - a discriminator - with each jet, which is higher for more b-quark-like jets

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

# **Event Level BDT**

- Input variables
  - Multitopness
  - Lepton pT
  - Lepton eta
  - HTH = HT/H
  - HTRat = HT\_{4 leading jets} / HT
  - HTb = HT of CSVM b jets
  - Number of CSVL, CSVM, CSVT b tags
  - nJets
  - 5<sup>th</sup> Jet pT
  - 6<sup>th</sup> Jet pT

### BDT input variables – training (I)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

### BDT input variables - training (II)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **BDT output - training**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Multitopness**

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Multi-top production

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

### CMS detector

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

≈173.07 GeV/c² 2/3 1/2 top

#### **CMS** paper

#### Phenomenology study

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

Published for SISSA by 🖉 Springer Received: September 25, 2014

> Accepted: October 24, 2014 Published: November 27, 2014

Search for standard model production of four top

quarks in the lepton + jets channel in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \,\mathrm{TeV}$ 

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### The CMS collaboration

#### E-mail: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: A search is presented for standard model (SM) production of four top quarks  $(t\bar{t}t\bar{t})$  in pp collisions in the lepton + jets channel. The data correspond to an integrated luminosity of 19.6 fb<sup>-1</sup> recorded at a centre-of-mass energy of 8 TeV with the CMS detector at the CERN LHC. The expected cross section for SM  $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  production is  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}t\bar{t}}^{SM} \approx 1$  fb. A combination of kinematic reconstruction and multivariate techniques is used to distinguish between the small signal and large background. The data are consistent with expectations of the SM, and an upper limit of 32 fb is set at a 95% confidence level on the cross section for producing four top quarks in the SM, where a limit of  $32 \pm 17$  fb is expected.

Keywords: Hadron-Hadron Scattering, Top physics

#### ARXIV EPRINT: 1409.7339

OPEN ACCESS, Copyright CERN, for the benefit of the CMS Collaboration. Article funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

#### doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2014)154

![](_page_32_Picture_16.jpeg)

#### Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Physics Letters B

Physics Letters B 746 (2015) 48-57

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

#### Probing top-philic sgluons with LHC Run I data

Lana Beck<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Freya Blekman<sup>b,c</sup>, Didar Dobur<sup>c,d,e</sup>, Benjamin Fuks<sup>f</sup>, James Keaveney<sup>b,c</sup>, Kentarou Mawatari<sup>b,c,g,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Bristol, HH Wills Physics Laboratory, Tyndall Avenue, BS8 1TL United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup> Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

<sup>c</sup> Interuniversity Institute for High Energies, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

<sup>d</sup> Université Libre de Bruxelles, Campus de la Plaine, boulevard du Triomphe, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium <sup>e</sup> Universiteit Gent, Proeftuinstraat 86, B-9000 Gent, Belgium

f Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien/Département Recherches Subatomiques, Université de Strasbourg/CNRS-IN2P3, 23 rue du Loess,

ABSTRACT

F-67037 Strasbourg, France

8 International Solvay Institutes, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history Received 25 February 2015 Received in revised form 14 April 2015 Accepted 21 April 2015 Available online 24 April 2015 Editor: L. Rolandi

#### Many theories beyond the Standard Model predict the existence of colored scalar states, known as sgluons, lying in the adjoint representation of the QCD gauge group. In scenarios where they are topphilic, sgluons are expected to be copiously pair-produced at the LHC via strong interactions with decays into pairs of top quarks or gluons. Consequently, sgluons can be sought in multijet and multitop events at the LHC. We revisit two LHC Run I analyses in which events featuring either the same-sign dileptonic decay of a four-top-quark system or its single leptonic decay are probed. Adopting a simplified model approach, we show how this reinterpretation allows us to extract simultaneous bounds on the sgluon mass and couplings.

© 2015 The Authors, Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), Funded by SCOAP3,

#### 1 Introduction

Despite its success in describing all experimental high-energy physics data, the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics leaves many important and conceptual issues unanswered. As a consequence, many theoretical frameworks extending it have been developed over the last decades, and new phenomena have been searched for experimentally. Weak scale supersymmetry, and in particular its minimal realization known as the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [1,2], is one of the most studied of those beyond the SM setups. It is however more and more constrained by data, and especially by the recent results of the LHC experiments [3,4]. There are nevertheless large varieties of alternative non-minimal supersymmetric models that deserve to be investigated and whose signatures may be different from the expectations of the minimal choice.

Along these lines, we focus on N = 1/N = 2 hybrid [5.6] and R-symmetric [7-9] supersymmetric theories that both predict ex-

\* Corresponding author at: Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

E-mail address: kentarou.mawatari@vub.ac.be (K. Mawatari). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.physleth.2015.04.043

tra scalar partners to the SM gauge bosons. These additional degrees of freedom lie in the adjoint representation of the gauge group and are indeed not present in the MSSM. Among the new particles, the colored states commonly dubbed sgluons have received special attention as they are expected to be copiously produced at hadron colliders [10-18]. Those fields however appear not only in supersymmetry but also in vector-like confining theories [19] and extra-dimensional models [20]. Motivated by typical sgluon signatures that are similar in all these models, we adopt a simplified model approach describing the dynamics of a scalar field lying in the octet representation of  $SU(3)_c$  and interacting with the SM [21,17]. This subsequently allows both for a modelindependent approach and a simplification of the non-minimal supersymmetric parameter spaces that in general contain hundreds of free parameters.

( CrossMark

This simplified approach has been used experimentally in order to search for hints of sgluons in LHC collision data at centerof-mass energies of 7 TeV and 8 TeV. As a consequence of null results, limits on the sgluon mass, ms, have been extracted after probing the production of a seluon pair that decays into either a four top-quark system yielding a same-sign dilepton final state [22], or a four-jet final state [23]. In the former case,  $m_S$  is

3070-2639/2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3

#### **CMS** paper

#### Phenomenology study

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY 2 SPRINGER RECEIVED: September 25, 2014 ACCEPTED: October 24, 2014

Published: November 27, 2014

Search for standard model production of four top quarks in the lepton + jets channel in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=8~{\rm TeV}$ 

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### The CMS collaboration

#### E-mail: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: A search is presented for standard model (SM) production of four top quarks (*fttl*) in pp collisions in the lepton + jets channel. The data correspond to an integrated luminosity of 19.6 fb<sup>-1</sup> recorded at a centre-of-mass energy of 8 TeV with the CMS detector at the CERN LHC. The expected cross section for SM *fttl* production is  $\sigma_{fttl}^{SM} \approx 1$  fb. A combination of kinematic reconstruction and multivariate techniques is used to distinguish between the small signal and large background. The data are consistent with expectations of the SM, and an upper limit of 32 fb is set at a 95% confidence level on the cross section for producing four top quarks in the SM, where a limit of 32 ± 17 fb is expected.

KEYWORDS: Hadron-Hadron Scattering, Top physics

#### ARXIV EPRINT: 1409.7339

OPEN ACCESS, Copyright CERN, for the benefit of the CMS Collaboration. Article funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>. doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2014)154

![](_page_33_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### 34

Distinguishing variables between good and bad tri-jet combinations Good tri-jet defined as all 3 jets originating from a top quark and bad tri-jet being any other combination

| Tri-jet invariant<br>mass        | good tri-jets should have an invariant mass close to top<br>mass                                  |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Di-jet invariant<br>mass         | formed from two jets with smallest $\Delta R$ separation and should have mass close to the W mass |
| Σp <sub>T</sub> /Σp <sub>T</sub> | ratio of vectorial $p_{\rm T}$ to the scalar sum of the $p_{\rm T}$ of the jets in the tri-jet    |
| Δφ <sub>T-W</sub>                | $\Delta\phi$ between tri-jet and di-jet system used in invariant mass variable                    |
| Δφ <sub>T-b</sub>                | $\Delta\phi$ between tri-jet and jet not included in di-jet system                                |
| CSV <sub>j</sub>                 | CSV b-tagging discriminator for jet not used in di-jet  |

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Data simulation comparison for highest ranked tri-jet

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Good agreement observed

#### **Resulting discriminators**

MVA trained on 200K ttbar events providing a large sample of data for good and bad tri-jets

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

|            | Simplicity | Transparent? | Computation    | Correlated variables |
|------------|------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Likelihood | Simple     | Yes          | Not intensive  | Less effective       |
| BDT        | Complex    | No           | More Intensive | Works well           |

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **CMS** Detector

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)