Observations of $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ \pi^-$ and $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ K^-$ decays

Daniel O'Hanlon

University of Warwick

On behalf of the LHCb collaboration

March 21st, 2016

IOP HEPP Group Meeting, University of Sussex

THE UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK

erc
European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

Charmless decays

- Suppressed: $b \to s$, $b \to d$ gluonic penguins and $b \to u$ tree

- New physics can appear in penguin loops

- Potential for large CP violation in penguin - tree process interference if similar magnitude

- Multi-body decays: CP asymmetry is a function of phase-space

  - See $B^+ \to h^+ h^+ h^-$ [Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 112004], huge CP asymmetries in specific phase-space regions

- CP violation is yet to be observed in baryon decays

Other measurements

Few charmless Λ_b^0 decays studied so far:

- $\Lambda_b^0 \to K_S^0 p\pi^-$: [JHEP 04 (2014) 087]
  - BF $(1.3 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-5}$
 - $\mathcal{A}_{CP}$: $-0.22 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.03$

- $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda\eta$: [JHEP 09 (2015) 006]
  - Evidence at 3σ

- $\Lambda_b^0 \to ph^-$:
  CDF [Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 242001 (2014)]
  - $\mathcal{A}_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \to p\pi^-)$:
    $0.06 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03$
  - $\mathcal{A}_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \to pK^-)$:
    $-0.10 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.04$

- $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda\phi$:
  [arXiv:1603.02870, submitted to PLB]
  - Observed at 5.9σ
  - BF $(5.18 \pm 1.10^{+0.67}_{-0.62}) \times 10^{-6}$
 - Triple product asymmetries consistent with zero

Theory predictions - $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^-$

- T-violating triple product asymmetries in $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay
  S. Arunagiri and C. Q. Geng (hep-ph/0307307)

  - Predicts BF of $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \sim 10^{-8}$

- Direct CP Violation in $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda(n)\pi^+ \pi^-$ Decays via ρ - ω Mixing
  X.H. Guo and A.W. Thomas (hep-ph/9805332)

  - Predicts BF of $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \rho \sim 10^{-9}$
  - Possibility of CPV at a level of 70%

- Testing Fundamental Symmetries with $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \mathrm{Vector}$ Decay
  O. Leitner, Z.A. Ajaltouni and E. Conte (hep-ph/0602043)

  - Predicts BF of $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda(\rho, \omega) \sim 10^{-7}$

- Hopefully recent experimental work by LHCb will inspire more theory interest

LHCb detector

- VELO: $\sim 20 \mu m$ IP resolution

- RICH: Separation of $\pi/K/p$ via Cherenkov radiation

- Higher trigger efficiency for Λ in 2012

$5 > \eta > 2$
$10 \text{ mrad} < \theta < 300 \text{ mrad}$

- 2011: 1 fb^{-1} @ 7 TeV, 2012: 2 fb^{-1} @ 8 TeV

- Search for $\Lambda_b^0(\Xi_b^0) \to \{\Lambda\pi^+\pi^-, \Lambda K^\pm\pi^\mp, \Lambda K^+K^-\}$
  - $\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Lambda\pi^+)_{\Lambda_c^+}\pi^-$ as control mode, selected from the $\Lambda_c^+\pi^-$ region of the $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-$ phase-space

- Veto intermediate open charm: Ξ_c^+, D^0, Λ_c^+

- Apply boosted decision tree to remove combinatorial background and impose particle identification criteria on charged hadrons

- Fit b-baryon mass distributions simultaneously for all reconstruction categories, running periods and final states
  - Shared parameters give mis-ID contributions and stabilise fit
  - Shape parameters fixed to values from simulation

- Charmless signal regions were not inspected until the selection was finalised

Fit results

- Observations of $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ \pi^-$ at 8.1σ, and $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ K^-$ at 15.8σ when systematics on the yield are taken into account (see later)

- Decays involving an un-reconstructed π^0 or photon as magenta and cyan lines, respectively

Fit results

- $\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Lambda\pi^+)_{\Lambda_c^+}\pi^-$ normalisation, selected from $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-$ phase-space

- Evidence for $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-$ at 4.7σ, however no evidence for any Ξ_b^0 decays

- Background subtracted - used to perform event-by-event efficiency correction
  - Efficiency calculated as a function of phase-space location using simulated events
- Excess at low $m(K^+K^-)$ consistent with separate LHCb analysis of $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda\phi$ [arXiv:1603.02870, submitted to PLB]

Systematic (10^{-3})	Fit	Efficiency	Phase space	PID	Vetoes	$\Lambda_c^+\pi^-$ yield	Total
$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-$	8.4	2.0	19.7	0.4	2.2	3.5	21.9
$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+\pi^-$	1.7	11.7	—	2.9	1.3	4.6	13.1
$\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+K^-$	6.7	5.4	—	4.2	2.2	15.9	18.7
$\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-$	4.1	0.7	7.0	0.1	—	1.2	8.2
$\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+\pi^-$	1.5	0.4	3.5	0.1	—	0.7	4.0
$\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+K^-$	0.1	0.1	0.8	0.0	—	0.2	0.8

- Systematics on the fit model are obtained by fitting with an alternative model and fluctuating fixed parameters according to uncertainties

- Phase-space efficiency variation systematic calculated when no event-by-event correction can be performed

- Normalisation mode, $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+\pi^-$, reconstructed via $\Lambda_c^+ \to \Lambda\pi^+$
 - Low yield as branching fraction $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$, but this will improve in future

Branching fractions

- Confidence intervals calculated using $-\Delta \ln \mathcal{L}$
  - Scans of $(N_{Sig.}\epsilon_{Norm.})/(N_{Norm.}\epsilon_{Sig.})$ convoluted with systematic uncertainties and combined

Upper limits

- Bayesian upper limits set via Markov chain Monte Carlo
  - No events in signal region for $\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ K^-$ (long track) decays
  - Fit likelihood not evaluated in this region

- Assume region contains sum of Poisson distributed signal and background
  - Signal rate prior is flat, background rate prior Gaussian from likelihood fit (both truncated at 0)
  - Log-normal priors used for normalisation mode yield and efficiencies

LHCb
2012b LL

Candidates / (20 MeV/c^2)

$m(\Lambda K^+ K^-)$ [MeV/c^2]

- Used for all upper limits

- Normalisation: $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Lambda\pi^+)_{\Lambda_c^+}\pi^-) = (6.29 \pm 0.78) \times 10^{-5}$ [PDG]

- Observations:

$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+\pi^-) = (5.6 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6},$$
$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ K^-) = (15.9 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-6}$$

- Evidence:

$$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-) = (4.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}$$

- Limits:

$$f_{\Xi_b^0}/f_{\Lambda_b^0} \times \mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda\pi^+\pi^-) = (1.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6},$$
$$< 1.7\,(2.1) \times 10^{-6} \text{ at } 90\,(95)\,\% \text{ confidence level},$$
$$f_{\Xi_b^0}/f_{\Lambda_b^0} \times \mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+\pi^-) = (-0.6 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-6},$$
$$< 0.8\,(1.0) \times 10^{-6} \text{ at } 90\,(95)\,\% \text{ confidence level},$$
$$f_{\Xi_b^0}/f_{\Lambda_b^0} \times \mathcal{B}(\Xi_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ K^-) < 0.3\,(0.4) \times 10^{-6} \text{ at } 90\,(95)\,\% \text{ confidence level}$$

First uncertainty is statistical, second is systematic, and third is related to the uncertainty on the normalisation channel

- $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{raw}} = \frac{N_f^{\text{corr.}} - N_{\bar{f}}^{\text{corr.}}}{N_f^{\text{corr.}} + N_{\bar{f}}^{\text{corr.}}}$

  - Correct yield for phase-space distribution of signal events
  - Fit separately Λ_b^0 and $\overline{\Lambda}_b^0$ - same model as BF measurement

- Raw yield asymmetry must be corrected for production ($\mathcal{A}_P$) and detection asymmetries ($\mathcal{A}_D$)

  - $\mathcal{A}_{CP} = \mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{raw}} - (\mathcal{A}_P + \mathcal{A}_D)$
  - Use $\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Lambda\pi^+)_{\Lambda_c^+}\pi^-$ as a control mode : negligible CP asymmetry, final state differs only in particle identification requirements

- Measure:

$$\mathcal{A}_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda h^+ h'^-) = \mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{raw}}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda h^+ h'^-) - (\mathcal{A}_P + \mathcal{A}_D)$$
$$= \mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{raw}}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda h^+ h'^-) - \mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\text{raw}}(\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Lambda\pi^+)_{\Lambda_c^+}\pi^-)$$

Systematic	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{CP}}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ \pi^-)$ (10^{-3})	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{CP}}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ K^-)$ (10^{-3})
Control mode	66	57
PID asymmetry	20	—
Fit model	27	32
Fit bias	14	4
Efficiency uncertainty	80	28
Total	110	71

- Systematics calculated similarly to branching fraction measurement

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ \pi^-) &= -0.53 \pm 0.23 \ (stat.) \pm 0.11 \ (syst.), \\
\mathcal{A}_{CP}(\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ K^-) &= -0.28 \pm 0.10 \ (stat.) \pm 0.07 \ (syst.)
\end{aligned}$$

- Both consistent with CP symmetry

- Observations of $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ \pi^-$ at 8.1σ, and $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda K^+ K^-$ at 15.8σ

  - Branching fractions $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$
  - Phase-space integrated CP asymmetries consistent with no CP violation at the level of 3σ
  - $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \phi$ subject of separate LHCb analysis (arXiv:1603.02870, Submitted to PLB)

- Evidence for $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^-$ at 4.7σ

- Upper limits set on all Ξ_b^0 decays to $\Lambda h^+ h'^-$

- Interesting to probe CP asymmetry and decay dynamics with more data in Run 2!

Backup