Hunt for Hidden Photons in the LZ Experiment

Athoy Nilima University of Edinburgh IOP Joint APP and HEPP Annual Conference, April 08-10, 2019











LUX-Zeplin Experiment

- LZ Collaboration
- Detector Working Principle
- Projected Sensitivity for WIMP-Nucleon Scattering



Hidden Photons

- Hidden Photon Lagrangian and Kinetic Mixing
- Direct Detection: Hidden Photoelectric Effect
- Connection to ALP Searches





Outline

	HP search in LZ
03	 Background Considerations Signal Models and Analyses Sensitivity Projection: Hidden Photons
04	Discussions

next





LZ Collaboration 38 institutions 250 scientists, engineers, and technicians

Collaboration Meeting @ SLAC, March 2017





LUX-ZEPLIN

- Dark Matter Direct
 Detection Experiment
- Detector Located at
 Sanford Underground
 Research Facility(SURF),
 South Dakota, USA







LZ Detector

- Existing water tank
- Gadolinium-loaded liquid scintillator
 - 120 outer detector PMTs



- liquid xenon Time Projection Chamber (LXe-TPC)
- 7 tonnes of LXe
- ~50x larger fiducial mass
 - 494 PMTs

- (Additional veto) 131 xenon "skin" PMTs
- science run to start in 2020
- 1000 live days * 5.6 tonnes planned exposure





How the detector works



previous

- Signals due to particle interactions:
 - S1 : Primary Scintillation Signal (prompt photons, directly measured by PMTs)
 - S2: Secondary Ionisation Signal (from electroluminescence of electrons extracted in
 - gaseous phase)
- Energy and Position Reconstruction by S1-S2
 Signals



Projected Sensitivity: SI WIMP-nucleon elastic scattering



Fig.3. LZ projected sensitivity to SI WIMP-nucleon elastic scattering for 1000~live days and a 5.6~tonne fiducial mass [9]



- Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs): An well-motivated Dark Matter candidate
- What LZ mainly Looks For: WIMP- Nuclear Recoils
- for 1000~live days and a 5.6~tonne fiducial mass.
- The best sensitivity of 1.6 x 10⁻⁴⁸ cm² is achieved at a WIMP mass of 40 GeV/ c^2 .
- The -2σ expected region is omitted based on the expectation that the limit will be power constrained [8]



But....What if Dark Matter is not a WIMP?





Standard Model

Gauge group of EM *interaction:* •1 charge (e.g. electron)* •1 gauge boson (photon)

Gauge group of Weak *interaction:* charge types (2 weak •2 isospin charges) •3 Gauge bosons (W^+, W^-, Z)

Х

U(1)



SU(2)

SU(3)

Gauge group of Strong *interaction:* •3 charge types (colour charges) •8 gauge bosons (gluons)

Is this structure that Obvious? No!

Х

Then....Can there be any additional gauge forces?



Hidden Photon Extension Motivated from Dark Matter

X





Simplest Case: Only One Extra U(1) gauge group

• Dark Matter (DM) is secluded from SM

U'(1)

- extra U'(1) gauge boson as a mediator of SM-DM interactions: Hidden Photons
- Coupling through the mechanism of kinetic mixing
- Correct DM relic abundance can be obtained automatically (M. Pospelov et al. [6])
- Several Other models incorporating U(1) extensions do exist





Kinetic Mixing and Structure Constants

- Tree level SM photon γ- hidden photon γ' interaction is forbidden
- Simplest Case : by a loop of non-SM charged heavy particles, ψ'
- γ and γ' couple to them with strengths e and g_{\Box}
- Properties of ψ' particles :
 - they are charged; hence sensitive to EM interaction
 - have not been detected yet in experiments like LHC: mass scale should be above the weak scale
 - this mass scale constrains the coupling strength of γ' to γ
 - At Lower Energies < Weak Scale: ψ' can be integrated out.

Below Electroweak Scale





n is forbidden y particles, ψ'

ction ike LHC: mass scale

h of γ' to γ egrated out.



Fig.5. Feynman diagram of kinetic mixing





Hidden Photon Lagrangian: Minimal Model

single new broken U(1) gauge symmetry and
kinetic mixing between the corresponding dark photon field A'

$${\cal L} \supset -rac{1}{4} F'^{\mu
u} F'_{\mu
u} + rac{1}{2} m^2_{A'} A'^{\mu} A'_{\mu} + \epsilon e A'^{\mu}$$

- models with unbroken U(1) gauge symmetry result in a massless dark photon carrying a long-range interaction.
- A massless dark photon, however, will experimentally be hard to distinguish from the Standard Model photon.







Direct Detection: Hidden Photoelectric Effect

 Analogous to the photoelectric effect in SM • Line Spectra

$$\frac{\sigma_{abs}v}{\sigma_{pe}(\omega=m_{HP})c}=\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}$$

Event Rate:(in LXe)

A = 131.3 is an atomic mass of xenon of natural composition

 $R_{HP}[1]$



 \Box v is the velocity of the HP

 σ_{abs} = cross-section of the absorption for HP

 σ_{pe} = cross- section of the photoelectric effect

$$[/kg/day] = \frac{4 \times 10^{23}}{A} \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} \frac{\sigma_{pe}[barn]}{m_{HP}[keV]}$$

(Assuming a dark matter density of 0.3 GeV/cm³)



Connection to Axion Like Particle (ALP) Searches

Hidden Photoelectric Effect for HPs

$$R_{HP}[1/\text{kg/day}] = \frac{4 \times 10^{23}}{A} \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} \frac{\sigma_{pe}[\text{barn}]}{m_{HP}[\text{keV}]}$$

$$R_{HP}/R_{ALP} = 3.3 \times 10^4 x (\alpha'/\alpha) x (g_{Ae})^{-2} x (1/m_{HP} m_{ALP})$$

Once we have a constraint on (a'/ a) for HP we can convert that to a constraint on g_{Ae} for ALPs



Axio-electric effect for ALPs

$$R_{ALP}[1/\text{kg/day}] = \frac{1.2 \times 10^{19}}{A} g_{Ae}^2 \sigma_{pe}[\text{barn}] \cdot m_{ALP}[\text{keV}]$$



Background Model







next >



Fig.7. Hidden Photon Signal Model (40 keV)

Energy Reconstruction

$$E_R = W \cdot \left(\frac{S1}{g_1}\right)$$

keV

For Projected Detector: $g_1=0.118735$ phd/photon, $g_2=79.2291$ phd/electron

Signal Model

• Investigated Hidden Photon Masses from 2 keV to 85

Theoretical event rates calculated according to Slide 13
Signal Models generated using NEST (Nobel Element Simulation Technique)



Fig.8. Reconstructed Energy



next >

LZ Projected Sensitivity for Hidden Photo-electric Effect





Sensitivity Estimation: Hidden Photons

- Statistical Analysis: Profile Likelihood Ratio (PLR) Method
- Hidden Photon Mass (This Work)
 2-85 keV
- Experimental limits taken from XMASS 2018 paper [3]





- improved sensitivity for (α' / α)
- Scaling to ALP sensitivity: Work in progress





• Hidden Photon Sensitivity: we expect more than ~2 order of magnitude



Questions?







References

- 1. K. Arisaka, P. Beltrame, C. Ghag, J. Kaidi, K. Lung, A. Lyashenko, R.D. Peccei, P. Smith, et al., Astropart. Phys. 44 (2013) 59, arXiv:1209.3810 [astro-ph.CO]. 2. H. An, M. Pospelov, J. Pradler, and A. Ritz, Phys. Lett. B 747, 331 (2015). 3. Abe, K. and Hiraide, K. and Ichimura, et al., Phys. Lett. B 787,153-158 (2018) 4. Joerg Jaeckel, Frascati Physics Series Vol. LVI (2012) 5. Paul Langacker, Reviews of Modern Physics, vol. 81,(2009) 6. M. Pospelov, A. Ritz, and M. B. Voloshin, Phys.Lett. B662, 53 (2008). 7. M. Goodsell, J. Jaeckel, J. Redondo, and A. Ringwald, JHEP 0911, 027 (2009). 8. G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitels, "Power-constrained limits", arXiv:1105.3166 [hep-ph]
- [https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.06039.pdf]



9. D.S. Akerib, et al., Projected WIMP Sensitivity of the LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) dark matter experiment









Backup Slides



Searches For Hidden Photons in LZ

Background Models:

• Used Same Background Models as in WIMP search (ER Background Upto 100 keV)

• Signal Models:

- Investigated Hidden Photon Masses from 2 keV to 85 keV
- Theoretical event rates calculated according to <u>Slide 13</u>
- Signal Models generated using NEST (Nobel Element Simulation Technique)
- Sensitivity Estimation: Hidden Photons
 - Profile Likelihood Ratio (PLR) Method



