



LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY



Generic Neutrino Selection and Cluster-Evaluation Performance


SBND Wirecell Meeting

Prabhjot Singh, 6 Aug 2026


Sample

Number of Files	10
Events	118

Previously




Latest



- Earlier I showed that in 118 events we had 72 true neutrinos but there are actually 223 true neutrinos (1+ neutrino/event)
- Not all of 223 neutrinos deposited energies inside detector
- Only ~32% (72 neutrinos) deposited energies inside detector

Sample: In- and Out-Volume Interactions


Number of Files	10
Events	118



- This plot shows that:
 - 42% (94 neutrinos) of true neutrinos interact outside detector and deposits no energy inside detector
 - 25% (57 neutrinos) interact outside detector and deposit some energy inside detector
 - Only 32% (72 neutrinos) interact inside detector and they deposit > 100 MeV energy inside detector

Sample: In- and Out-Volume Interactions

Number of Files	10
Events	118





Use this for reconstruction evaluation

- This is another way of looking at the same information
- We started with 223 neutrinos but we only use 72 neutrinos for evaluation of our reconstruction
- Out of these 72 neutrinos ~75% are in-volume and ~25% are out-volume neutrinos

Generic Selections


Number of Files	10
Events	118



- Our generic selections: beam window cut ($0.33 \mu\text{s} - 1.93 \mu\text{s} = 1.6 \mu\text{s}$) selects 88 neutrinos but our true signal has only 72 neutrinos
- We need to explain why there are extra reco clusters:
- This is not surprising because if one true neutrino cluster matches with more than one reco clusters then they are counted as extra but if all reco clusters would have reconstructed as one then they would have counted as 1 reco
- I have investigated to identify more reasons with examples to explain extra reco clusters. We will see them

Reconstruction Efficiency

Number of Files	10
Events	118




$$\epsilon = \frac{\text{true} \in \text{reco}}{\text{true}}$$

- Reconstruction eff = fraction of true cluster reconstructed as reco cluster
- $\epsilon = 1$ means 100% reconstructed, 0 means nothing reconstructed, 0.5 means 50% is reconstructed and so on
- This plot contains all true neutrinos: in-volume, out-volume, $\nu_{\mu}CC$, ν_eCC , and NC interactions. So, lots of things are happening and there is not much conclusion we can draw
- There is also statistical fluctuation due to very low stats (only 72 neutrinos)

Reconstruction Efficiency

Number of Files	10
Events	118

Efficiency vs True Energy (1D, ClusteringLevel) - True Neutrinos, In vs Out of Volume - Job Level, Combined




- Dividing them into in-volume and out-volume shows that out-volume neutrinos mostly underperforms but in-volume still has fluctuation
- In-volume still contains all interactions: $\nu_{\mu}CC$, ν_eCC , and NC interactions

$$\epsilon = \frac{\text{true} \in \text{reco}}{\text{true}}$$

Interactions

Number of Files	10
Events	118

In-Volume True Neutrino Interaction Channel: Job Level (true neutrinos, vertex in volume), Combined (true neutrinos, vertex in volume)
(vertex inside the wire-readout sensitive box; 53 interactions)




- Out of 53 in-volume neutrinos
 - 85% are true ν_μ CC
 - 13% are true NC
 - 2% are true ν_e CC
- These numbers are based on very low stats. They will change at high stats
- Now we can look at efficiency for these flavors

Reconstruction Efficiency

Number of Files	10
Events	118





Efficiency vs True Energy (1D, ClusteringLevel) - True Neutrinos, numu CC vs nue CC vs NC, vertex in volume - Job Level, Combined



$$\epsilon = \frac{\text{true} \in \text{reco}}{\text{true}}$$

- There is low stats and high statistical fluctuation so we can not draw a conclusion but it seems that NC interactions mostly impact reconstruction which we already know
- Need more stats to withdraw conclusions
- This plot will be updated with high stats

Reconstruction Efficiency and Purity




Investigation







Extra Reco Clusters

Extra Reco Clusters


- Out of the 88 selected reco clusters and out of the 72 true neutrinos we found that only 61 true neutrinos actually paired with a reco
- 27 reco clusters are extra selected
- If one true neutrino matches with more than one reco clusters then the rest are counted as extra but if all reco clusters would have reconstructed as one then they would have counted as 1 reco. I found 10 of those cases
- 16 reco clusters were selected after beam-window cut but they found no overlap with any true neutrino
- 1 case is with a coincident cosmic








Examples







Examples



coincident cosmic in beam-window: irreducible background



Examples



- Low activity: May be we need to apply charge threshold cut in reco just like we did in true (100 MeV deposited energy)




No overlap with any true neutrino

Investigation

Unmatched True

Unmatched True

- Out of the 88 selected reco clusters and out of the 72 true neutrinos we found that only 61 true neutrinos actually paired with a reco
- 11 true neutrino did not find any reco clusters
- Need to show examples




Backup

Revision: Current Selections for Cluster Evaluation

Selections	Accepted Values	Description	Applied? Yes or No
Energy Cut	$E > 10 \text{ MeV}$	True clusters below 10 MeV are removed from evaluation	All Applied
Min true point cut	$N > 200$	Removes true and reco clusters with fewer than 200 points	
Wire readout sensitive yz plane cut	$-200 \text{ cm} < y < 200 \text{ cm}$ $0.15 \text{ cm} < y < 500.85 \text{ cm}$	Removes true and reco points output wirecell sensitive regions in y and z	
Time window cut	$-205 \mu\text{s} < t < 1509 \mu\text{s}$	Removes true points outside time window cut. Applied at CellTree level Reco points are already within time window cuts so we apply this to true clusters	
Dead Area cut		Reco points are NOT reconstructed within dead wire areas so we also need to remove true points from dead areas for correct reco-true comparisons	

Revision: Plans for Isochronous and Prolonged Tracks


- Both isochronous and prolonged tracks affect the evaluation
- Isochronous tracks affect the purity due to their spread
- Prolonged tracks affect efficiency because sometime they show gaps irrespective of dead area
- For evaluation purposes, we need to separate isochronous, and prolonged tracks from rest of the tracks



The idea is to divide tracks into low, high and normal angle bins and then do evaluation

All Applied

Structure of Overall Progress



Haiwang's CM Talk


- Currently focussed on the imaging+clustering evaluation (scanning and numerical evaluation)
- Evaluation of charge-light matching after this

Revision: Current Selections for Cluster Evaluation

Selections	Accepted Values	Description	Applied? Yes or No
Energy Cut	$E > 10 \text{ MeV}$	True clusters below 10 MeV are removed from evaluation	Yes
Min true point cut	$N > 200$	Removes true and reco clusters with fewer than 200 points	Yes
Wire readout sensitive yz plane cut	$-200 \text{ cm} < y < 200 \text{ cm}$ $0.15 \text{ cm} < y < 500.85 \text{ cm}$	Removes true and reco points output wirecell sensitive regions in y and z	Yes
Time window cut	$-205 \mu\text{s} < t < 1509 \mu\text{s}$	Removes true points outside time window cut. Applied at CellTree level Reco points are already within time window cuts so we apply this to true clusters	Yes
Dead Area cut		Reco points are NOT reconstructed within dead wire areas so we also need to remove true points from dead areas for reco-true comparisons	Yes

Wire readout sensitive yz plane cut

Ymin: -200 cm, Ymax: 200 cm, Zmin: 0.15 cm, Zmax: 500.85 cm (a bit smaller than detector active volume Y-Z)




	Efficiency	Purity
Before	80%	96%
After	91%	95%

Conclusion: efficiency increased by ~10%

Caveat: This selection is only applied at evaluation level not at CellTree (True jobs) not at img-clustering (Reco jobs)



*More info in google-sheet for [yz-plane cut](#)



Time Window Cut

Removes true points outside time window cut

Reco points are already within time window cuts so we apply this to true clusters



	Efficiency	Purity
Before	48%	80%
After	98%	86%


Conclusion: efficiency highly improved.
Improvement depends on how much true was outside time window cut

Caveat: Fhicl level change for CellTree (true) job

*More info in google-sheet for [time window cut](#)



Dead Area Cut

Reco points are NOT reconstructed within dead wire areas so we also need to remove true points from dead areas for reco-true comparisons



For 2view active, the dead area regions are very small, so the improvement on efficiency would be small but it is important to exclude true points in dead area for evaluation purpose

Dead Area Cut





Conclusion: as expected not much effect on efficiency

Caveat: Dead area cut doe not affect 2view active cases but would be very effective for old 3 view cases

	Efficiency	Purity
Before	99%	62%
After	99%	62%

Plans for Isochronous and Prolonged Tracks

- Both isochronous and prolonged tracks affect the evaluation
- Isochronous tracks affect the purity due to their spread



Track Type	Efficiency	Purity
Isochronous	57%	16%



Plans for Isochronous and Prolonged Tracks

- Both isochronous and prolonged tracks affect the evaluation
- Isochronous tracks affect the purity due to their spread
- Prolonged tracks affect efficiency because sometime they show gaps irrespective of dead area
- For evaluation purposes, we need to separate isochronous, and prolonged tracks from rest of the tracks

Initial Plans for Generic Neutrino Selections



- Currently reading uBoone's Wirecell based neutrino selection paper at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2011.01375>
- There are several ideas some of them is to check the Data and MC Distributions of
 - Reco clusters X, Y, Z
 - Time distributions to check clusters are within the time window
 - POT correction
 - Distributions as a function of reco charge information
- In next few meetings, I will show/discuss more on this topic

Sample for Evaluation




Number of Files	10
Events	118

- Though small but relatively good sample of neutrino and cosmic clusters for reconstruction efficiency and purity evaluations



- Isochronous cosmic clusters: $\theta_{xz} < 20^{\circ}$ (need to reduce to 15°)
- Prolonged cosmic clusters: $\theta_{xz} > 70^{\circ}$ (need to increase to 70°)
- Normal cosmic clusters: $\theta_{xz} : 20^{\circ} - 70^{\circ}$ (need to change to $\theta_{xz} : 15^{\circ} - 75^{\circ}$)


Efficiency as a function of True Cluster Energy



- This efficiency is estimated for all neutrinos and all cosmics
- As expected efficiency is flat and stable as a function of true energies of clusters
- Each point is an average efficiency in a given true energy bin
- Though the efficiency curve is flat and stable but it is not as high as we would have expected

Efficiency definition: fraction of true clusters matched to reco clusters


Efficiency as a function of True Cluster Energy



- 1d efficiency plot is estimated from this 2d plot
- In each true energy bin we take the average efficiency
- As you can see a large fraction of true clusters are closer to 100% efficiency which we would expect
- There is also a large fraction of true clusters close to zero efficiency which we do not expect and it needs further investigation

Efficiency definition: fraction of true clusters matched to reco clusters


Efficiency as a function of True Cluster Energy



- Several neutrino clusters are at high efficiency regions but there are also some at very low efficiencies

Efficiency definition: fraction of true clusters matched to reco clusters


Efficiency as a function of True Cluster Energy



- Normal cosmic: non-isochronous and non-prolonged closures
- This plot shows some fraction of normal cosmic true clusters also are close to zero efficiency

Efficiency definition: fraction of true clusters matched to reco clusters


Efficiency as a function of True Cluster Energy



- Some isochronous true clusters are also at zero efficiency regions

Efficiency definition: fraction of true clusters matched to reco clusters



Efficiency as a function of True Cluster Energy



- A lot of prolonged cosmic clusters are at high efficiency regions and few are at low regions

Efficiency definition: fraction of true clusters matched to reco clusters

Efficiency as a function of True Cluster Energy




- These are summarized 1d efficiency plots for all categories: Neutrinos and Cosmics
- All of these need some further investigation to understand the low efficiency cases

Reasons of low efficiencies

- A large fraction of low efficiency clusters is caused due to uncorrected space-charge effects
- Space-charge effects can cause reco clusters to be reconstructed slightly away from true clusters
- For efficiency estimates we include reco points within 1 cm radius from true clusters but if reco points are more than 1 cm away from true points then they are excluded from efficiency evaluation leading to low efficiencies
- There are also some cases where true clusters did not find any reco clusters which caused zero efficiency cases
- Some more investigation is needed to find may be other reasons for non-matching between true and reco points

Some cases of low efficiency: Event 2

[Bee Link](#)




$\varepsilon = 48\%$

Reason for low efficiency: Space-charge effect

Some cases of low efficiency: Event 2

[Bee Link](#)




$\epsilon = 48\%$

Reason for low efficiency: Space-charge effect

Some cases of low efficiency: Event 2

[Bee Link](#)




$\varepsilon = 55\%$

Reason for low efficiency: Space-charge effect

Some cases of low efficiency: Event 2

[Bee Link](#)





$\epsilon = 55\%$

Reason for low efficiency: Space-charge effect

Some cases of low efficiency: Event 2

[Bee Link](#)

Neutrino
Interaction









$\varepsilon = 50\%$

Reason for low efficiency: Space-charge effect

Some cases of low efficiency: Event 2

[Bee Link](#)

Neutrino
Interaction





$\epsilon = 50\%$

Reason for low efficiency: Space-charge effect

Some cases of low efficiency: Event 1

[Bee Link](#)







$\varepsilon = 20\%$



Reason for low efficiency: Very few and scattered true points

Some cases of low efficiency: 1

$\varepsilon = 20\%$





Reason for low efficiency: Very few and scattered true points

We need selections to identify and remove these kind of clusters

Space-Charge Effects

- I saw several examples of space-charge effects which cause drop in efficiency
- We know space charge effects are maximally within 1cm
- So, if we loosen our 1cm efficiency radius to 2cm then it would cover the space-charge effects which is a good test of our assumption that space-charge effects are causing a big drop in efficiency
- Once we apply space-charge corrections in wirecell reconstruction then we should revert back our efficiency-radius to 1cm

Efficiency as a function of True Cluster Energy




- As expected loosening efficiency-radius to 2cm from 1cm significantly improved efficiency
- This proves that the large drop in efficiency was due to space-charge effects

2D Efficiency as a function of True Cluster Energy


APA 0 Search radius
1cm for efficiency

APA 0 Search radius
1cm for efficiency

Efficiency vs True Energy (2D) - All Clusters (Job Level) - 118 events, APA0





Efficiency vs True Energy (2D) - All Clusters (Job Level) - 118 events, APA0, 806 true clusters



- Significant improvement in efficiency
- More clusters closer to 100% efficiency which we would expect
- There are still some close to zero or very low efficiency


Efficiency as a function of True Cluster Energy




- Performance/efficiency of neutrinos, and all cosmic clusters also improve

Efficiency at Clustering

APA 0 1cm efficiency radius





APA 0 2cm efficiency radius



- To know the efficiency performance at clustering level, we pair one true cluster to one reco cluster
- If one true cluster matches with one reco cluster then all good but there could be cases that one true cluster matches with more than one true clusters
- In that case, we make true-reco pair by selecting the highest efficiency

- Each point in this plot is one true-reco pair
- As expected, after loosing search-radius to 2cm, to cover SCE, most of the pairs are concentrated at high efficiencies
- There is a fraction of true clusters unmatched to any reco cluster shown in bottom-left box. This needs investigation
- There are also clusters with low purity. This needs investigation also needs further investigation though many of those also come from isochronous clusters

True-Reco Matching



- These plots show how many true clusters did not find any or more than one reco clusters
- One-to-one match from true to reco is the best case scenario but we need to understand why some true clusters did not find any reco clusters
- Next I will show some examples, for neutrino interactions


Examples of True Neutrinos not finding any reco clusters

8 examples

Example of True Neutrinos not finding any reco clusters

File 2: Event 0

2cm efficiency radius
APA 0




Bee
Link

Example of True Neutrinos not finding any reco clusters

File 2: Event 2

2cm efficiency radius
APA 0




Bee
Link

Example of True Neutrinos not finding any reco clusters

File 3: Event 6

2cm efficiency radius
APA 0



Bee
Link

Other neutrino cases are also similar ones. I have them in backup slides

We need selections to identify and remove these kind of clusters


Examples of True Neutrinos finding 2 reco clusters

7 examples

Examples of True Neutrinos finding 2 reco clusters

File 3: Event 1

2cm efficiency radius
APA 0




Bee
Link

- Are there two neutrinos?
- Or is it one neutrino but separated by a distance?

Examples of True Neutrinos finding 2 reco clusters

File 5: Event 4

2cm efficiency radius
APA 0




Bee
Link

- Are there two neutrinos?
- Or is it one neutrino but separated by a distance?
- If two neutrinos, one is scattered

Examples of True Neutrinos finding 2 reco clusters

File 7: Event 3

2cm efficiency radius
APA 0



Bee
Link



- Same: Are there two neutrinos?
- Or is it one neutrino but separated by a distance?

Examples of True Neutrinos finding 2 reco clusters

File 7: Event 7

2cm efficiency radius
APA 0

Bee
Link



- Same: Are there two neutrinos?
- Or is it one neutrino but separated by a distance?


Examples of True Neutrinos finding 3 reco clusters

3 examples

Examples of True Neutrinos finding 3 reco clusters

File 2: Event 4

Bee
Link



2 neutrinos and one of them overlapped
tiny bit with another reco clusters

Conclusions

- Overall seems we are in a very good state
- There are some corner cases that need attention
- We are planning to present an update in the Simulation/ Reconstruction meeting soon to follow up our reconstruction work after the collaboration meeting

