Imperial College London

# TRIGGER AND DATA-ACQUISITION: PART I

UK Advanced Instrumentation Course 2022

Andrew W. Rose, Imperial College London

awr01@imperial.ac.uk

#### CREDITS


- These slides draw heavily from a long and distinguished heritage of slides drawing heavily from other people's slides which drew heavily from other people's slides.
  - Some of these include Sioni Summers, Alessandro Thea, Alex Tapper, Dan Saunders, Georg Auzinger thanks to them all!

# A (SLIGHTLY UNAPOLOGETIC) APOLOGY

- My examples are heavily biased towards the LHC and CMS
  - It's where my experience is, it is what I know
- The boundary between Trigger and DAQ is blurry, and I tend to err to the Trigger side
  - It's where my experience is, it is what I know


Science is the art of knowing what to record, and when

- Science is the art of knowing what to record, and when
- With CMS & ATLAS in "discovery mode", we care about the Higgs Boson or rarer
  - Higgs Boson production is ten orders of magnitude below the total interaction rate
  - That is a needle in a haystack the same mass as the Empire State Building
- And we want statistics, a lot of statistics




## UNFORTUNATELY, STATISTICS REQUIRES DATA


- The LHC's 40MHz crossing rate and 2×10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> luminosity was chosen to provide 2 billion interactions per second
- Unfortunately, 40MHz on a 70 million channel tracker produces the equivalent of 25Pbit/s of data



## UNFORTUNATELY, STATISTICS REQUIRES DATA


- The LHC's 40MHz crossing rate and 2×10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> luminosity was chosen to provide 2 billion interactions per second
- Unfortunately,  $2 \times 10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> luminosity produces ~50 times more background in your detector than signal (if there is a signal at all), making selection tricky
  - And every time the LHC improves its performance, this gets worse






## UNFORTUNATELY, STATISTICS REQUIRES DATA


- And it gets worse...
  - In-time pile up: Same crossing different interactions
  - At LHC, new events come every 25 ns
  - Out-of-time pile up: Due to events from different crossings
  - Need to identify the bunch crossing that a given event comes from








- Enormous data rate at e.g. CMS:
- 40 MHz collision rate x 1-2 MB event size > 60 TB/s
  - Can't write this to tape & process it later!
- Do we need to write it all to tape?
  - Tiny cross sections for Higgs and new physics
- Process each event, decide to accept/reject




- Enormous data rate at e.g. CMS:
- 40 MHz collision rate x 1-2 MB event size > 60 TB/s
  - Can't write this to tape & process it later!
- Do we need to write it all to tape?
  - Tiny cross sections for Higgs and new physics
- Process each event, decide to accept/reject
  - All online can't go back and fix it
  - Don't screw up!



- Enormous data rate at e.g. CMS:
- 40 MHz collision rate x 1-2 MB event size > 60 TB/s
  - Can't write this to tape & process it later!
- Do we need to write it all to tape?
  - Tiny cross sections for Higgs and new physics
- Process each event, decide to accept/reject
  - All online can't go back and fix it.
  - Don't screw up!

This is the art of Triggering



#### REMINDER

- Trigger basic requirements
  - Need high efficiency for selecting processes for physics analysis
  - Need large reduction of rate from unwanted high-rate processes
  - Robustness is essential
  - Highly flexible, to react to changing conditions
  - System must be affordable

# WHAT'S ON THE (TRIGGER) MENU TODAY?


Historical systems

Complex triggers

Simple triggers

Modern triggers


- Cloud-chamber images recorded on film
- Need some way to trigger the camera



- Cloud-chamber images recorded on film
- Need some way to trigger the camera



- High efficiency? Nope reflexes too slow
- Large rate reduction? Better than nothing
- Robustness? No keep wanting sleep,
   coffee, toilet breaks, etc.
- Highly flexible? Depends on the student
- Affordable? Well that's one thing in your favour, I suppose





Grad student

†Artist's

impression

High efficiency? No

Large rate reduct


 Robustness? Nocoffee, toilet bred Although Rutherford & Geiger did note that "Strong coffee with a pinch of Strychnine" improved an assistant's ability to spot scintillation light

Highly flexible? Depends on the student

Affordable? Well that's one thing in your favour, I suppose




- Blackett pioneered a technique to trigger the camera of cloud chambers (and got the Nobel prize for this and other work)
- Just missed out on discovering the positron in 1932
- Stevenson and Street used this to confirm the discovery of the muon in 1937



Trigger photo capture when Geiger counters 1,2,3, but not 4 record coincidental measurements


## THE SIMPLEST TRIGGER SYSTEMS


- Source: Use the signals from the Front-End of the detectors themselves
  - Binary: tracking detectors (pixels, strips)
  - Analog: tracking detectors, time of flight detectors, calorimeters, ...



#### THE SIMPLEST TRIGGER SYSTEMS

- Source: Use the signals from the Front-End of the detectors themselves
  - Binary: tracking detectors (pixels, strips)
  - Analog: tracking detectors, time of flight detectors, calorimeters, ...







- The most trivial trigger algorithm: Signal > Threshold
  - Apply the lowest possible threshold
  - Identify best compromise between hit efficiency and noise rate

#### DETECTOR SIGNALS CHARACTERISTICS

- Pulse width
  - Limits the effective hit rate
  - Must be adapted to the desired trigger rate
- Time walk
  - The threshold-crossing time depends on the signal amplitude
  - Must be minimal in good trigger systems
- Time walk can be suppressed by triggering on total signal fraction
  - Applicable on same-shape input signals with different amplitude
  - Useful for scintillator detectors and photomultipliers




#### THE CONSTANT FRACTION DISCRIMINATOR




- Attenuation + configurable delay applied before the discrimination determines  $t_{CFD}$
- If delay too short, the unit works as a normal discriminator since the output of the normal discriminator fires later than the CFD part

Signals with the same rising time, at a fraction f  $\Delta t_f = t(f \cdot A_0) - t(A_0) = \text{const.}$   $A(t)/f - \cdot A(t - \Delta t) = 0 \quad \text{at } t = t_{\text{cfd}}$ 




The output of the CFD fires when the bipolar pulse changes polarity



#### TRIGGER LOGIC IMPLEMENTATION

- Once we are in the digital domain, all manipulations can be broken down to a Boolean operations
- Combinatorial
  - Summing, Decoders, Multiplexers,...
- Sequential
  - Flip-flops, Registers, Counters,...





#### TRIGGER LOGIC IMPLEMENTATION

- Once we are in the digital domain, all manipulations can be broken down to a Boolean operations
- Combinatorial
  - Summing, Decoders, Multiplexers,...
- Sequential
  - Flip-flops, Registers, Counters,...

Data propagates
as a wave
through the logic


Operations
happen at well
defined times
and in a well
defined order






# A SIMPLE TRIGGER WANTS A SIMPLE SYSTEM


- A simple trigger system can start with a NIM crate
- Common support for electronic modules
- Standard impedance, connections, logic levels
  - Watch out for negative voltage levels: Low = 0v, High = -0.8V








# A SIMPLE TRIGGER WANTS A SIMPLE SYSTEM



# A SIMPLE TRIGGER AND DAQ SYSTEM





#### KEYWORD: DEADTIME

- The key parameter in high speed trigger systems design
  - The fraction of the acquisition time when no events can be recorded.
  - Typically of the order of few %
  - Reduces the overall system efficiency
- Arises when a given processing step takes a finite amount of time
  - Readout dead-time
  - Trigger dead-time
  - Operational dead-time


#### DEADTIME EXAMPLE


- Writing to disk or tape is much slow than accepting data into RAM
- If you select an event and start writing it to disk, you cannot accept any more events until you finish writing, even if they are interesting

#### DEADTIME EXAMPLE

- For input rate " $R_{in}$ ", Readout rate " $R_{out}$ ", and time taken to write to disk " $T_{d}$ "
- Fraction of 1s "lost" to writing =  $R_{out} \cdot T_{d}$
- Event output rate  $R_{out} = (1-R_{out} \cdot T_d) \cdot R_{in}$

Fraction of surviving events






To achieve high efficiency  $\Rightarrow R_{in} \cdot T_d \ll 1$ 


#### DEADTIME

- Writing to disk or tape is much slow than accepting data into RAM
- If you select an event and start writing it to disk, you cannot accept any more events until you finish writing, even if they are interesting
- Same principle applies to processing time
  - For example, ADCs

# A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: DEADTIME




## A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: DEADTIME




If ADC is the critical step for deadtime, this is clearly a really bad plan

## A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: PARALLELISM




Much more sensible!
Potentially much more expensive!

# KEYWORD: LATENCY




### A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: LATENCY




- Latency: Time to form the trigger decision and distribute to the digitisers
- Signals must be delayed until the trigger decision is available
- The more complex is the selection, the longer is the latency

# A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: LATENCY




Analogue delay-lines are a bit risky, don't you think? Especially for more than one channel

### A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM




If the ADCs are the slow part, can we use the time more profitably?

#### A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: PRE-TRIGGER




- Pre-Trigger stage: very fast indicator of some minimal activity in the detector
- Used to START the digitisers, with no delay
- The complex trigger decision comes later

### A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: PRE-TRIGGER




Assumes the digitization time is longer than the latency of the trigger system! What if that is not true?

### A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: PRE-TRIGGER




### SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: BUNCHED COLLIDERS




We have a master-clock – the bunch-crossings themselves! No need for a pre-trigger

# A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: DIGITAL TRIGGERS



# A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: DIGITAL TRIGGERS



### AND FINALLY: A PHILOSOPHICAL QUESTION


• If you only see what the trigger accepts, how do you know what you have thrown away?

#### AND FINALLY: A PHILOSOPHICAL QUESTION

- If you only see what the trigger accepts, how do you know what you have thrown away?
- A good trigger will also have so-called Minimum-bias or Zero-bias paths
  - Zero-bias accept a truly random sample of events (even "empty")
  - Minimum-bias accept a random sample of crossings with collisions
- Allows you to look at what your trigger tells you not to

#### WHAT ABOUT NON-COLLIDER EXPERIMENTS?

- "Always on" detectors "events" could occur at any time continuous read out
  - But most of the time, nothing is happening
- Signals may be localised to one portion of the detector local read out
- "Events" may have very different durations few ms to 100s seconds (e.g. supernova)
- "Video" data compared to LHC experiments' "Photo" data
- Want to capture data when something 'interesting' does happen, and suppress the rest
- Everything I have said about deadtime, latency, min-bias paths, etc. still applies!



# SEE YOU ON FRIDAY FOR PART II!

Any questions?