

A Journey through Higher-Derivative Models in Contemporary Field Theory

R. Thibes

thibes@uesb.edu.br

Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia
Itapetinga, Brazil

March 17, 2026

Summary

- 1 Historical Aspects
- 2 Higher-Order Klein-Gordon Equations
- 3 Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator
- 4 Bopp-Podolsky and Lee-Wick Theories
- 5 Reduction of Order
- 6 Conclusion and Final Remarks

1 - Historical Aspects

1 - Historical Aspects

M. Ostrogradsky, "Mémoires sur les équations différentielles, relatives au problème des isopérimètres," Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg **6**, no.4, 385-517 (1850);

1 - Historical Aspects

M. Ostrogradsky, "Mémoires sur les équations différentielles, relatives au problème des isopérimètres," Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg **6**, no.4, 385-517 (1850);

R. Courant and D. Hilbert, Methoden der Mathematischen Physik I (Julius Springer, 1924);

1 - Historical Aspects

M. Ostrogradsky, "Mémoires sur les équations différentielles, relatives au problème des isopérimètres," Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg **6**, no.4, 385-517 (1850);

R. Courant and D. Hilbert, Methoden der Mathematischen Physik I (Julius Springer, 1924);

Alfred Landé "Finite Self-Energies in Radiation Theory. Part I," Phys. Rev. **60**, 121 (1940);

Alfred Landé and Llewellyn H. Thomas "Finite Self-Energies in Radiation Theory. Part II," Phys. Rev. **60**, 121, 514 (1940);

1 - Historical Aspects

M. Ostrogradsky, "Mémoires sur les équations différentielles, relatives au problème des isopérimètres," Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg **6**, no.4, 385-517 (1850);

R. Courant and D. Hilbert, Methoden der Mathematischen Physik I (Julius Springer, 1924);

Alfred Landé "Finite Self-Energies in Radiation Theory. Part I," Phys. Rev. **60**, 121 (1940);

Alfred Landé and Llewellyn H. Thomas "Finite Self-Energies in Radiation Theory. Part II," Phys. Rev. **60**, 121, 514 (1940);

F. Bopp, "Eine lineare Theorie des Elektrons," Annalen der Physik **430**, 5, 345 (1940);

1 - Historical Aspects

M. Ostrogradsky, "Mémoires sur les équations différentielles, relatives au problème des isopérimètres," Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg **6**, no.4, 385-517 (1850);

R. Courant and D. Hilbert, Methoden der Mathematischen Physik I (Julius Springer, 1924);

Alfred Landé "Finite Self-Energies in Radiation Theory. Part I," Phys. Rev. **60**, 121 (1940);

Alfred Landé and Llewellyn H. Thomas "Finite Self-Energies in Radiation Theory. Part II," Phys. Rev. **60**, 121, 514 (1940);

F. Bopp, "Eine lineare Theorie des Elektrons," Annalen der Physik **430**, 5, 345 (1940);

B. Podolsky, "A Generalized Electrodynamics Part I-Non-Quantum," Phys. Rev. **62**, 68 (1942);

B. Podolsky and C. Kikuchi, "A Generalized Electrodynamics Part II-Quantum," Phys. Rev. **65**, 228-235 (1944);

1 - Historical Aspects

M. Ostrogradsky, "Mémoires sur les équations différentielles, relatives au problème des isopérimètres," Mem. Acad. St. Petersburg **6**, no.4, 385-517 (1850);

R. Courant and D. Hilbert, Methoden der Mathematischen Physik I (Julius Springer, 1924);

Alfred Landé "Finite Self-Energies in Radiation Theory. Part I," Phys. Rev. **60**, 121 (1940);

Alfred Landé and Llewellyn H. Thomas "Finite Self-Energies in Radiation Theory. Part II," Phys. Rev. **60**, 121, 514 (1940);

F. Bopp, "Eine lineare Theorie des Elektrons," Annalen der Physik **430**, 5, 345 (1940);

B. Podolsky, "A Generalized Electrodynamics Part I-Non-Quantum," Phys. Rev. **62**, 68 (1942);

B. Podolsky and C. Kikuchi, "A Generalized Electrodynamics Part II-Quantum," Phys. Rev. **65**, 228-235 (1944);

A. Pais and G. E. Uhlenbeck, "On Field theories with nonlocalized action," Phys. Rev. **79**, 145 (1950).

2 - Generalizations of the Klein-Gordon Equation

Since Fock, Gordon, Klein and Schrödinger, the KG equation is a deep fundamental relation in QFT, concerning all elementary particles.

In modern notation, the KG equation may be written as

$$(\square + m^2)\phi = 0, \quad (1)$$

or simply $K_m\phi = 0$ with

$$K_m \equiv \square + m^2 \quad (2)$$

denoting the *Klein-Gordon operator*.

2 - Generalizations of the Klein-Gordon Equation

Since Fock, Gordon, Klein and Schrödinger, the KG equation is a deep fundamental relation in QFT, concerning all elementary particles.

In modern notation, the KG equation may be written as

$$(\square + m^2)\phi = 0, \quad (1)$$

or simply $K_m\phi = 0$ with

$$K_m \equiv \square + m^2 \quad (2)$$

denoting the *Klein-Gordon operator*.

As a second-order partial differential equation, it is in the essence of the mathematician and theoretical physicist to investigate consistent extensions and generalizations of the Klein-Gordon equation (1).

2 - Generalizations of the Klein-Gordon Equation

C. G. Bollini and J. J. Giambiagi, "Generalized Klein-Gordon Equation in d -dimensions From Supersymmetry," Phys. Rev. D **32**, 3316 (1985).

D. G. Barci, M. C. Rocca and C. G. Bollini, "Quantization of a fourth order wave equation," Nuovo Cim. A **103**, 597 (1990).

D. G. Barci, C. G. Bollini, L. E. Oxman and M. Rocca, "Higher order equations and constituent fields," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9**, 4169 (1994).

2 - Generalizations of the Klein-Gordon Equation

C. G. Bollini and J. J. Giambiagi, "Generalized Klein-Gordon Equation in d -dimensions From Supersymmetry," Phys. Rev. D **32**, 3316 (1985).

D. G. Barci, M. C. Rocca and C. G. Bollini, "Quantization of a fourth order wave equation," Nuovo Cim. A **103**, 597 (1990).

D. G. Barci, C. G. Bollini, L. E. Oxman and M. Rocca, "Higher order equations and constituent fields," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9**, 4169 (1994).

H. A. Weldon, "Quantization of higher-derivative field theories," Annals Phys. **305**, 137 (2003).

A. Cherman, L. G. Ferreira Filho, L. L. Santos Guedes and J. A. Helayël-Neto, "Explicit classical solutions and comments on Higher-Derivative Klein-Gordon equation in (1+1)-D," Rev. Mex. Fis. **58**, 384 (2012).

Y. W. Kim, Y. S. Myung and Y. J. Park, "BRST quantization of a sixth-order derivative scalar field theory," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **28**, 1350182 (2013).

2 - Generalizations of the Klein-Gordon Equation

C. G. Bollini and J. J. Giambiagi, "Generalized Klein-Gordon Equation in d -dimensions From Supersymmetry," Phys. Rev. D **32**, 3316 (1985).

D. G. Barci, M. C. Rocca and C. G. Bollini, "Quantization of a fourth order wave equation," Nuovo Cim. A **103**, 597 (1990).

D. G. Barci, C. G. Bollini, L. E. Oxman and M. Rocca, "Higher order equations and constituent fields," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9**, 4169 (1994).

H. A. Weldon, "Quantization of higher-derivative field theories," Annals Phys. **305**, 137 (2003).

A. Cherman, L. G. Ferreira Filho, L. L. Santos Guedes and J. A. Helayël-Neto, "Explicit classical solutions and comments on Higher-Derivative Klein-Gordon equation in (1+1)-D," Rev. Mex. Fis. **58**, 384 (2012).

Y. W. Kim, Y. S. Myung and Y. J. Park, "BRST quantization of a sixth-order derivative scalar field theory," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **28**, 1350182 (2013).

RT, "Natural Higher-Derivatives Generalization for the Klein-Gordon Equation," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **36**, no.28, 2150205 (2021).

Mod. Phys. Lett. A **36**, no.28, 2150205 (2021)

The d'Alembertian $\square \equiv \partial_\mu \partial^\mu$ is a regular local covariant 2nd-order differential operator, which can act recursively.

Introduce a length-dimensional multiplicative factor $a > 0$ and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\mathcal{L}_n \equiv -\frac{a^{2(n-1)}}{2n!} \phi \square^n \phi \quad (3)$$

Mod. Phys. Lett. A **36**, no.28, 2150205 (2021)

The d'Alembertian $\square \equiv \partial_\mu \partial^\mu$ is a regular local covariant 2nd-order differential operator, which can act recursively.

Introduce a length-dimensional multiplicative factor $a > 0$ and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\mathcal{L}_n \equiv -\frac{a^{2(n-1)}}{2n!} \phi \square^n \phi \quad (3)$$

Construct the $2N$ -th order Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2N)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{n=N} \mathcal{L}_n = -\frac{1}{2} \phi \left(\sum_{n=0}^N \frac{a^{2(n-1)} \square^n}{n!} \right) \phi, \quad (4)$$

for a fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Mod. Phys. Lett. A **36**, no.28, 2150205 (2021)

The d'Alembertian $\square \equiv \partial_\mu \partial^\mu$ is a regular local covariant 2nd-order differential operator, which can act recursively.

Introduce a length-dimensional multiplicative factor $a > 0$ and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\mathcal{L}_n \equiv -\frac{a^{2(n-1)}}{2n!} \phi \square^n \phi \quad (3)$$

Construct the $2N$ -th order Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2N)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{n=N} \mathcal{L}_n = -\frac{1}{2} \phi \left(\sum_{n=0}^N \frac{a^{2(n-1)} \square^n}{n!} \right) \phi, \quad (4)$$

for a fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is then natural to investigate the behavior of (4) in the limit of arbitrarily large N , for which we define further the complete Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi \equiv -\frac{1}{2a^2} \phi e^{a^2 \square} \phi. \quad (5)$$

Integrating in space-time, we may define the natural actions

$$S^{(2N)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^D x \phi(x) \sum_{n=0}^N a^{2(n-1)} \frac{\square^n \phi(x)}{n!} \quad (6)$$

and

$$S_\phi = -\frac{1}{2a^2} \int d^D x \phi(x) e^{a^2 \square} \phi(x). \quad (7)$$

Integrating in space-time, we may define the natural actions

$$S^{(2N)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^D x \phi(x) \sum_{n=0}^N a^{2(n-1)} \frac{\square^n \phi(x)}{n!} \quad (6)$$

and

$$S_\phi = -\frac{1}{2a^2} \int d^D x \phi(x) e^{a^2 \square} \phi(x). \quad (7)$$

The field equation associated to (6) reads

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \frac{a^{2(n-1)} \square^n}{n!} \phi = 0, \quad (8)$$

while in the limit of arbitrarily large N , corresponding to (7), we have

$$e^{a^2 \square} \phi = 0. \quad (9)$$

Integrating in space-time, we may define the natural actions

$$S^{(2N)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^D x \phi(x) \sum_{n=0}^N a^{2(n-1)} \frac{\square^n \phi(x)}{n!} \quad (6)$$

and

$$S_\phi = -\frac{1}{2a^2} \int d^D x \phi(x) e^{a^2 \square} \phi(x). \quad (7)$$

The field equation associated to (6) reads

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \frac{a^{2(n-1)} \square^n}{n!} \phi = 0, \quad (8)$$

while in the limit of arbitrarily large N , corresponding to (7), we have

$$e^{a^2 \square} \phi = 0. \quad (9)$$

For even N or in the infinity limit, equations (8) or (9) do not have nontrivial real solutions.

For odd N , equation we may write a solution to (8) as

$$\phi_{(N)}(x) = \int \frac{d^{D-1}\mathbf{p}}{E_N(\mathbf{p}^2)} \left\{ \varphi_N(\mathbf{p}) e^{-i(E_N(\mathbf{p}^2)t - \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x})} + \varphi_N^*(\mathbf{p}) e^{i(E_N(\mathbf{p}^2)t - \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x})} \right\},$$

with

$$E_N(\mathbf{p}^2) \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 - q_N/a^2}, \quad (10)$$

For odd N , equation we may write a solution to (8) as

$$\phi_{(N)}(x) = \int \frac{d^{D-1}\mathbf{p}}{E_N(\mathbf{p}^2)} \left\{ \varphi_N(\mathbf{p}) e^{-i(E_N(\mathbf{p}^2)t - \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x})} + \varphi_N^*(\mathbf{p}) e^{i(E_N(\mathbf{p}^2)t - \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x})} \right\},$$

with

$$E_N(\mathbf{p}^2) \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 - q_N/a^2}, \quad (10)$$

where q_N represents the dimensionless real root of the algebraic equation

$$f_N(q) = 0 \quad (11)$$

with $f_N(q)$ defined as the N -th order polynomial in the dimensionless real variable q given by

$$f_N(q) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{(-1)^n N!}{(N-n)!} q^{N-n}. \quad (12)$$

In terms of a given external current $J(x)$, we may write the functional generator associated to action (6) as

$$Z^{(2N)}[J] = \mathcal{N} \int [d\phi] \exp \left\{ iS^{(2N)} + i \int d^D x J(x)\phi(x) \right\}, \quad (13)$$

with

$$\mathcal{N}^{-1} \equiv \int [d\phi] \exp \left\{ iS^{(2N)} \right\}. \quad (14)$$

In terms of a given external current $J(x)$, we may write the functional generator associated to action (6) as

$$Z^{(2N)}[J] = \mathcal{N} \int [d\phi] \exp \left\{ iS^{(2N)} + i \int d^D x J(x)\phi(x) \right\}, \quad (13)$$

with

$$\mathcal{N}^{-1} \equiv \int [d\phi] \exp \left\{ iS^{(2N)} \right\}. \quad (14)$$

The propagator for the scalar field $\phi(x)$ can be immediately computed as

$$D^{(2N)} = \frac{-ia^2}{\sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n \frac{(a^2 p^2)^n}{n!}} \quad (15)$$

and has a real pole for odd N at $p^2 = q_N/a^2$ with q_N denoting the only real solution to the polynomial equation (11).

In terms of a given external current $J(x)$, we may write the functional generator associated to action (6) as

$$Z^{(2N)}[J] = \mathcal{N} \int [d\phi] \exp \left\{ iS^{(2N)} + i \int d^D x J(x)\phi(x) \right\}, \quad (13)$$

with

$$\mathcal{N}^{-1} \equiv \int [d\phi] \exp \left\{ iS^{(2N)} \right\}. \quad (14)$$

The propagator for the scalar field $\phi(x)$ can be immediately computed as

$$D^{(2N)} = \frac{-ia^2}{\sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n \frac{(a^2 p^2)^n}{n!}} \quad (15)$$

and has a real pole for odd N at $p^2 = q_N/a^2$ with q_N denoting the only real solution to the polynomial equation (11).

More details can be found in

RT, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **36**, no.28, 2150205 (2021).

3 - Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator

Consider a generalized KG equation of the form

$$(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2)(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2 + M^2)\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (16)$$

3 - Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator

Consider a generalized KG equation of the form

$$(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2)(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2 + M^2)\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (16)$$

Performing an expansion in Fourier modes

$$\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \phi(t)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \quad (17)$$

and defining

$$\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 = 2\mathbf{k}^2 + M^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1\omega_2 = \mathbf{k}^2(\mathbf{k}^2 + M^2) \quad (18)$$

3 - Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator

Consider a generalized KG equation of the form

$$(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2)(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2 + M^2)\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (16)$$

Performing an expansion in Fourier modes

$$\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \phi(t)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \quad (17)$$

and defining

$$\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 = 2\mathbf{k}^2 + M^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1^2\omega_2^2 = \mathbf{k}^2(\mathbf{k}^2 + M^2) \quad (18)$$

we get

$$\ddot{\phi} + (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)\ddot{\phi} + \omega_1^2\omega_2^2\phi = 0 \quad (19)$$

3 - Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator

Consider a generalized KG equation of the form

$$(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2)(\partial_0^2 - \nabla^2 + M^2)\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (16)$$

Performing an expansion in Fourier modes

$$\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \phi(t)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \quad (17)$$

and defining

$$\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 = 2\mathbf{k}^2 + M^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1^2\omega_2^2 = \mathbf{k}^2(\mathbf{k}^2 + M^2) \quad (18)$$

we get

$$\ddot{\phi} + (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)\ddot{\phi} + \omega_1^2\omega_2^2\phi = 0 \quad (19)$$

which characterizes the famous Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator

Pais and Uhlenbeck, "On field theories with nonlocalized action," Phys. Rev. **79**, 145 (1950).

Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Approaches

Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Approaches

$$L(\phi, \dot{\phi}, \ddot{\phi}) = \frac{\gamma}{2} [\ddot{\phi}^2 - (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \dot{\phi}^2 + \omega_1^2 \omega_2^2 \phi^2] \quad (20)$$

Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Approaches

$$L(\phi, \dot{\phi}, \ddot{\phi}) = \frac{\gamma}{2} [\ddot{\phi}^2 - (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \dot{\phi}^2 + \omega_1^2 \omega_2^2 \phi^2] \quad (20)$$

$$L_c(\phi, x, \lambda, \dot{\phi}, \dot{x}, \dot{\lambda}) = \frac{\gamma}{2} [\dot{x}^2 - (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) x^2 + \omega_1^2 \omega_2^2 \phi^2] + \lambda(\dot{\phi} - x), \quad (21)$$

P. D. Mannheim and A. Davidson, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 042110 (2005).

Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Approaches

$$L(\phi, \dot{\phi}, \ddot{\phi}) = \frac{\gamma}{2} [\ddot{\phi}^2 - (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \dot{\phi}^2 + \omega_1^2 \omega_2^2 \phi^2] \quad (20)$$

$$L_c(\phi, x, \lambda, \dot{\phi}, \dot{x}, \dot{\lambda}) = \frac{\gamma}{2} [\dot{x}^2 - (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) x^2 + \omega_1^2 \omega_2^2 \phi^2] + \lambda(\dot{\phi} - x), \quad (21)$$

P. D. Mannheim and A. Davidson, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 042110 (2005).

Dirac-Bergmann constraints approach

$$H_T = \frac{p_x^2}{2\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{2} (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) x^2 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \omega_1^2 \omega_2^2 \phi^2 + \pi x + \gamma \omega_1^2 \omega_2^2 \phi p_\lambda. \quad (22)$$

$$\chi_1 \equiv \phi - \lambda, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_2 \equiv p_\lambda, \quad (23)$$

BFV/BRST Quantization for the PU oscillator

We may write a first-class Hamiltonian (22) as (BFFT conversion)

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{p_x^2}{2\gamma} + \frac{\gamma(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)x^2}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2}\omega_1^2\omega_2^2(q + \varphi)^2 + xp + \gamma\omega_1^2\omega_2^2(q + \varphi)(p_\lambda + \varphi). \quad (24)$$

Following the usual BRST-BFV quantization formalism, we introduce a set of odd Grassmannian parity ghost fields (C^a, \bar{C}_a) and momenta $(\bar{\mathcal{P}}_a, \mathcal{P}^a)$, satisfying

$$\{\mathcal{P}^a, \bar{C}_b\} = \{C^a, \bar{\mathcal{P}}_b\} = -\delta_b^a. \quad (25)$$

BFV/BRST Quantization for the PU oscillator

We may write a first-class Hamiltonian (22) as (BFFT conversion)

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{p_x^2}{2\gamma} + \frac{\gamma(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)x^2}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2}\omega_1^2\omega_2^2(q + \varphi)^2 + xp + \gamma\omega_1^2\omega_2^2(q + \varphi)(p_\lambda + \varphi). \quad (24)$$

Following the usual BRST-BFV quantization formalism, we introduce a set of odd Grassmannian parity ghost fields $(\mathcal{C}^a, \bar{\mathcal{C}}_a)$ and momenta $(\bar{\mathcal{P}}_a, \mathcal{P}^a)$, satisfying

$$\{\mathcal{P}^a, \bar{\mathcal{C}}_b\} = \{\mathcal{C}^a, \bar{\mathcal{P}}_b\} = -\delta_b^a. \quad (25)$$

We define the nilpotent BRST charge

$$Q = \mathcal{C}^a \Phi_a - i\mathcal{P}^a w_a, \quad (26)$$

as the generator of the BRST symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} sq = sp_\lambda = -s\varphi = \mathcal{C}^1, \quad s\lambda = -s\pi = \mathcal{C}^2, \\ sv^a = -i\mathcal{P}^a, \quad s\bar{\mathcal{C}}_a = iw_a, \quad s\bar{\mathcal{P}}_1 = -p + \lambda + \pi, \quad s\bar{\mathcal{P}}_2 = -p_\lambda - \varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

BFV/BRST Quantization for the PU oscillator

We may write a first-class Hamiltonian (22) as (BFFT conversion)

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{p_x^2}{2\gamma} + \frac{\gamma(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)x^2}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2}\omega_1^2\omega_2^2(q + \varphi)^2 + xp + \gamma\omega_1^2\omega_2^2(q + \varphi)(p_\lambda + \varphi). \quad (24)$$

Following the usual BRST-BFV quantization formalism, we introduce a set of odd Grassmannian parity ghost fields (C^a, \bar{C}_a) and momenta (\bar{P}_a, P^a) , satisfying

$$\{P^a, \bar{C}_b\} = \{C^a, \bar{P}_b\} = -\delta_b^a. \quad (25)$$

We define the nilpotent BRST charge

$$Q = C^a \Phi_a - iP^a w_a, \quad (26)$$

as the generator of the BRST symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} sq = sp_\lambda = -s\varphi = C^1, \quad s\lambda = -s\pi = C^2, \\ sv^a = -iP^a, \quad s\bar{C}_a = iw_a, \quad s\bar{P}_1 = -p + \lambda + \pi, \quad s\bar{P}_2 = -p_\lambda - \varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

As can be checked, the transformation above is nilpotent and represent a symmetry of the Hamiltonian. This allows a consistent BRST quantization.

BFV/BRST Quantization for the PU oscillator

We may write a first-class Hamiltonian (22) as (BFFT conversion)

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{p_x^2}{2\gamma} + \frac{\gamma(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)x^2}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2}\omega_1^2\omega_2^2(q + \varphi)^2 + xp + \gamma\omega_1^2\omega_2^2(q + \varphi)(p_\lambda + \varphi). \quad (28)$$

Following the usual BRST-BFV quantization formalism, we introduce a set of odd Grassmannian parity ghost fields ($\mathcal{C}^a, \bar{\mathcal{C}}_a$) and momenta ($\bar{\mathcal{P}}_a, \mathcal{P}^a$), satisfying

$$\{\mathcal{P}^a, \bar{\mathcal{C}}_b\} = \{\mathcal{C}^a, \bar{\mathcal{P}}_b\} = -\delta_b^a. \quad (29)$$

We define the nilpotent BRST charge

$$Q = \mathcal{C}^a \Phi_a - i\mathcal{P}^a w_a, \quad (30)$$

as the generator of the BRST symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} sq = sp_\lambda = -s\varphi = \mathcal{C}^1, \quad s\lambda = -s\pi = \mathcal{C}^2, \\ sv^a = -i\mathcal{P}^a, \quad s\bar{\mathcal{C}}_a = iw_a, \quad s\bar{\mathcal{P}}_1 = -p + \lambda + \pi, \quad s\bar{\mathcal{P}}_2 = -p_\lambda - \varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

B. P. Mandal, V. K. Pandey and RT, "BFV quantization and BRST symmetries of the gauge invariant fourth-order Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator," Nucl. Phys. B **982**, 115905 (2022).

3 - Bopp-Podolsky and Lee-Wick Theories

$$\mathcal{L}_B = -\frac{1}{4} [F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - a^2\partial_\rho F^{\mu\nu}\partial^\rho F_{\mu\nu}] \quad \text{Bopp (1940)}$$

3 - Bopp-Podolsky and Lee-Wick Theories

$$\mathcal{L}_B = -\frac{1}{4} [F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - a^2 \partial_\rho F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\nu}] \quad \text{Bopp (1940)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_P = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{a^2}{2} \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\rho} \quad \text{Podolsky (1942)}$$

3 - Bopp-Podolsky and Lee-Wick Theories

$$\mathcal{L}_B = -\frac{1}{4} [F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - a^2 \partial_\rho F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\nu}] \quad \text{Bopp (1940)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_P = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{a^2}{2} \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\rho} \quad \text{Podolsky (1942)}$$

$$\frac{1}{q^2} - \frac{1}{q^2 - m^2} \quad \text{Lee-Wick (1969)}$$

3 - Bopp-Podolsky and Lee-Wick Theories

$$\mathcal{L}_B = -\frac{1}{4} [F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - a^2 \partial_\rho F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\nu}] \quad \text{Bopp (1940)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_P = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{a^2}{2} \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\rho} \quad \text{Podolsky (1942)}$$

$$\frac{1}{q^2} - \frac{1}{q^2 - m^2} \quad \text{Lee-Wick (1969)}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} (G_{\mu\nu}^2 + F_{\mu\nu}^2) - \frac{1}{2} (m_B B_\mu)^2 \quad \text{Lee-Wick (1970)}$$

3 - Bopp-Podolsky and Lee-Wick Theories

$$\mathcal{L}_B = -\frac{1}{4} [F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - a^2 \partial_\rho F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\nu}] \quad \text{Bopp (1940)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_P = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{a^2}{2} \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\rho} \quad \text{Podolsky (1942)}$$

$$\frac{1}{q^2} - \frac{1}{q^2 - m^2} \quad \text{Lee-Wick (1969)}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} (G_{\mu\nu}^2 + F_{\mu\nu}^2) - \frac{1}{2} (m_B B_\mu)^2 \quad \text{Lee-Wick (1970)}$$

$$D_{\mu\nu} = \frac{-i}{k^2} \left(\frac{m_B^2}{k^2 + m_B^2} \right) \delta_{\mu\nu} + (\dots k_\mu k_\nu)$$

3 - Bopp-Podolsky and Lee-Wick Theories

$$\mathcal{L}_B = -\frac{1}{4} [F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - a^2 \partial_\rho F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\nu}] \quad \text{Bopp (1940)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_P = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{a^2}{2} \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\rho} \quad \text{Podolsky (1942)}$$

$$\frac{1}{q^2} - \frac{1}{q^2 - m^2} \quad \text{Lee-Wick (1969)}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} (G_{\mu\nu}^2 + F_{\mu\nu}^2) - \frac{1}{2} (m_B B_\mu)^2 \quad \text{Lee-Wick (1970)}$$

$$D_{\mu\nu} = \frac{-i}{k^2} \left(\frac{m_B^2}{k^2 + m_B^2} \right) \delta_{\mu\nu} + (\dots k_\mu k_\nu)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{LW} = -\frac{1}{4} [F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + a^2 F^{\mu\nu} \square F_{\mu\nu}] \quad \text{The Lee-Wick Lagrangian}$$

A generalized electrodynamics

Generalized Coulomb Potential (electrostatics) $V(r) = \frac{1 - e^{-r/a}}{r}$

A generalized electrodynamics

Generalized Coulomb Potential (electrostatics) $V(r) = \frac{1 - e^{-r/a}}{r}$

Generalized Maxwell equations

$$(1 + a^2 \square) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = j^0 \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (32)$$

$$(1 + a^2 \square) (\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}) = \mathbf{j} \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (33)$$

A generalized electrodynamics

Generalized Coulomb Potential (electrostatics) $V(r) = \frac{1 - e^{-r/a}}{r}$

Generalized Maxwell equations

$$(1 + a^2 \square) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = j^0 \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (32)$$

$$(1 + a^2 \square) (\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}) = \mathbf{j} \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Generalized Poisson Equation (GPE)

$$(1 - a^2 \nabla^2) \nabla^2 \phi = -4\pi\rho \quad (34)$$

A generalized electrodynamics

Generalized Coulomb Potential (electrostatics) $V(r) = \frac{1 - e^{-r/a}}{r}$

Generalized Maxwell equations

$$(1 + a^2 \square) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = j^0 \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (32)$$

$$(1 + a^2 \square) (\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}) = \mathbf{j} \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Generalized Poisson Equation (GPE)

$$(1 - a^2 \nabla^2) \nabla^2 \phi = -4\pi\rho \quad (34)$$

The general solution to the GPE (34) and some interesting particular solutions can be found in
C. R. Ji, A. T. Suzuki, J. H. O. Sales and RT, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no.10, 871 (2019).

Generalized Scalar Electrodynamics

Interaction with a charged bosonic field

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = ieA_\mu [\phi \partial^\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi] + e^2 A^2 |\phi|^2, \quad (35)$$

with $|\phi|^2 \equiv \phi^* \phi$. The dynamics is given by the Lagrangians

Generalized Scalar Electrodynamics

Interaction with a charged bosonic field

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = ieA_\mu [\phi \partial^\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi] + e^2 A^2 |\phi|^2, \quad (35)$$

with $|\phi|^2 \equiv \phi^* \phi$. The dynamics is given by the Lagrangians

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi - m^2 |\phi|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (36)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{a^2}{2} \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\rho} \quad \text{with} \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu, \quad (37)$$

By demanding stationarity of the total gauge invariant action

$$S = \int d^4x [\mathcal{L}_\phi + \mathcal{L}_A + \mathcal{L}_{int}] \quad (38)$$

with respect to arbitrary variations of ϕ and A_μ we obtain the field equations:

Generalized Scalar Electrodynamics

Field equations

$$(\square + m^2)\phi = -ieA_\mu\partial^\mu\phi - ie\partial^\mu(\phi A_\mu) + e^2A^2\phi, \quad (39)$$

$$(\square + m^2)\phi^* = ieA_\mu\partial^\mu\phi^* + ie\partial^\mu(\phi^* A_\mu) + e^2A^2\phi^* \quad (40)$$

$$(1 + a^2\square)\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = ie(\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\mu\phi) + 2e^2A^\mu|\phi|^2 \quad (41)$$

I. G. Oliveira, J. H. Sales and RT, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **135**, no.9, 713 (2020).

4 - Gauge-Fixing and Propagators

C. R. Ji, A. T. Suzuki, J. H. O. Sales and RT, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no.10, 871 (2019).

4 - Gauge-Fixing and Propagators

C. R. Ji, A. T. Suzuki, J. H. O. Sales and RT, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no.10, 871 (2019).

Considering the Landau gauge

$$\mathcal{L}_L = -\frac{1}{2\xi}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2$$

4 - Gauge-Fixing and Propagators

C. R. Ji, A. T. Suzuki, J. H. O. Sales and RT, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no.10, 871 (2019).

Considering the Landau gauge

$$\mathcal{L}_L = -\frac{1}{2\xi}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2 + \frac{a^2}{2\xi}(\partial_\lambda \partial_\mu A^\mu)(\partial^\lambda \partial_\nu A^\nu) \quad (42)$$

4 - Gauge-Fixing and Propagators

C. R. Ji, A. T. Suzuki, J. H. O. Sales and RT, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no.10, 871 (2019).

Considering the Landau gauge

$$\mathcal{L}_L = -\frac{1}{2\xi}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2 + \frac{a^2}{2\xi}(\partial_\lambda \partial_\mu A^\mu)(\partial^\lambda \partial_\nu A^\nu) \quad (42)$$

we can invert the corresponding gauge field kinetic term and obtain

$$P_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{-i}{(1 - a^2 k^2)k^2} \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} + (\xi - 1) \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right]. \quad (43)$$

4 - Gauge-Fixing and Propagators

C. R. Ji, A. T. Suzuki, J. H. O. Sales and RT, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no.10, 871 (2019).

Considering the Landau gauge

$$\mathcal{L}_L = -\frac{1}{2\xi}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2 + \frac{a^2}{2\xi}(\partial_\lambda \partial_\mu A^\mu)(\partial^\lambda \partial_\nu A^\nu) \quad (42)$$

we can invert the corresponding gauge field kinetic term and obtain

$$P_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{-i}{(1 - a^2 k^2)k^2} \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} + (\xi - 1) \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right]. \quad (43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z[j^\mu] = & N \int DA_\mu DCD\bar{C}DB \exp \{ iS_0 \\ & + i \int d^4x [\bar{C}(1 + a^2 \square) \square C + B(1 + a^2 \square) \partial^\mu A_\mu \\ & - \frac{a^2 \xi}{2} \partial_\mu B \partial^\mu B + \frac{\xi B^2}{2} - j^\mu A_\mu] \} . \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Axial Gauge in the Light-Front

Concerning the axial gauge in the Light-Front

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{2\alpha}(n_a A^a)^2$$

Axial Gauge in the Light-Front

Concerning the axial gauge in the Light-Front

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{2\alpha}(n_a A^a)^2 + \frac{a^2}{2\alpha}(n_a \partial_c A^a)(n_b \partial^c A^b), \quad (45)$$

Axial Gauge in the Light-Front

Concerning the axial gauge in the Light-Front

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{2\alpha}(n_a A^a)^2 + \frac{a^2}{2\alpha}(n_a \partial_c A^a)(n_b \partial^c A^b), \quad (45)$$

we have the propagator

$$P_{ab}(k) = \frac{-i}{k^2(1 - a^2 k^2)} \left[\eta_{ab} + \frac{(\alpha k^2 + n^2)}{(n \cdot k)^2} k_a k_b - \frac{1}{(n \cdot k)} (k_a n_b + k_b n_a) \right].$$

For the usual light-front gauge we choose a light-like direction n , with $n^2 = 0$, and consider the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. In this case

$$P_{ab} = \frac{-i}{k^2(1 - a^2 k^2)} \left[\eta_{ab} - \frac{1}{(n \cdot k)} (k_a n_b + k_b n_a) \right]. \quad (46)$$

Light-Front Gauges

In order to obtain the doubly transverse three-term propagator we may use the mixed gauge-fixing (A. T. Suzuki and J. H. O. Sales, Nucl. Phys. A **725**, 2003)

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = -\frac{1}{\beta}(n \cdot A)(\partial \cdot A)$$

Light-Front Gauges

In order to obtain the doubly transverse three-term propagator we may use the mixed gauge-fixing (A. T. Suzuki and J. H. O. Sales, Nucl. Phys. A **725**, 2003)

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = -\frac{1}{\beta}(n \cdot A)(\partial \cdot A) + \frac{a^2}{\beta}(n_a \partial_c A^a)(\partial_b \partial^c A^b), \quad (47)$$

Light-Front Gauges

In order to obtain the doubly transverse three-term propagator we may use the mixed gauge-fixing (A. T. Suzuki and J. H. O. Sales, Nucl. Phys. A **725**, 2003)

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = -\frac{1}{\beta}(n \cdot A)(\partial \cdot A) + \frac{a^2}{\beta}(n_a \partial_c A^a)(\partial_b \partial^c A^b), \quad (47)$$

$$P_{ab}(k) = \frac{-i}{k^2(1-a^2k^2)} \left[\eta_{ab} + \frac{\beta^2 k^2 + n^2}{(n \cdot k)^2 - n^2 k^2} k_a k_b - \frac{n \cdot k + i\beta k^2}{(n \cdot k)^2 - n^2 k^2} k_a n_b + \right. \\ \left. - \frac{n \cdot k + i\beta k^2}{(n \cdot k)^2 - n^2 k^2} k_b n_a + \frac{k^2}{(n \cdot k)^2 - n^2 k^2} n_a n_b \right] \quad (48)$$

Light-Front Gauges

Going back to the particular light-front gauge case where $n^2 = 0$ and taking the limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$

Light-Front Gauges

Going back to the particular light-front gauge case where $n^2 = 0$ and taking the limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$P_{ab}(k) = \frac{-1}{k^2(1 - a^2k^2)} \left[\eta_{ab} - \frac{1}{(n \cdot k)} (k_a n_b + k_b n_a) + \frac{k^2}{(n \cdot k)^2} n_a n_b \right]$$

which is the corresponding three-term generalized photon propagator in the light-front gauge for the BP model.

Light-Front Gauges

Going back to the particular light-front gauge case where $n^2 = 0$ and taking the limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$P_{ab}(k) = \frac{-1}{k^2(1 - a^2k^2)} \left[\eta_{ab} - \frac{1}{(n \cdot k)} (k_a n_b + k_b n_a) + \frac{k^2}{(n \cdot k)^2} n_a n_b \right]$$

which is the corresponding three-term generalized photon propagator in the light-front gauge for the BP model.

As can be directly checked, the three-term propagator satisfies

$$k^a P_{ab} = 0 \quad (49)$$

and

$$n^a P_{ab} = 0 \quad (50)$$

being in this sense doubly transverse.

5 - Reduction of Order

Back to Bopp-Podolsky, we may try a similar derivative order reduction

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} + \mathcal{L}_\phi = ieA_\mu [\phi \partial^\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi] + e^2 A^2 |\phi|^2 + \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi - m^2 |\phi|^2 \quad (51)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{a^2}{2} \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\rho} \quad (52)$$

5 - Reduction of Order

Back to Bopp-Podolsky, we may try a similar derivative order reduction

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} + \mathcal{L}_\phi = ieA_\mu [\phi \partial^\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi] + e^2 A^2 |\phi|^2 + \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi - m^2 |\phi|^2 \quad (51)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{a^2}{2} \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\rho} \quad (52)$$

Introducing an auxiliary field B_μ , we decouple the massive and massless modes

$$\mathcal{L}_{AB} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{a^2}{2} B_\mu B^\mu + a^2 \partial_\mu B_\nu F^{\mu\nu}, \quad (53)$$

RT, Braz. J. Phys. **47**, no.1, 72-80 (2017).

5 - Reduction of Order

Back to Bopp-Podolsky, we may try a similar derivative order reduction

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} + \mathcal{L}_\phi = ieA_\mu [\phi \partial^\mu \phi^* - \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi] + e^2 A^2 |\phi|^2 + \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi - m^2 |\phi|^2 \quad (51)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{a^2}{2} \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho F_{\mu\rho} \quad (52)$$

Introducing an auxiliary field B_μ , we decouple the massive and massless modes

$$\mathcal{L}_{AB} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{a^2}{2} B_\mu B^\mu + a^2 \partial_\mu B_\nu F^{\mu\nu}, \quad (53)$$

RT, Braz. J. Phys. **47**, no.1, 72-80 (2017).

and obtain the equivalent reduced-order model

$$S_{red} = \int d^4x \{ \mathcal{L}_\phi + \mathcal{L}_{AB} + \mathcal{L}_{int} \} \quad (54)$$

5 - Reduction of Order

Field equations

$$(\square + m^2)\phi = -ieA_\mu\partial^\mu\phi - ie\partial^\mu(\phi A_\mu) + e^2A^2\phi, \quad (55)$$

5 - Reduction of Order

Field equations

$$(\square + m^2)\phi = -ieA_\mu\partial^\mu\phi - ie\partial^\mu(\phi A_\mu) + e^2A^2\phi, \quad (55)$$

$$(\partial^\mu\partial^\nu - \square\eta^{\mu\nu})A_\nu = B^\mu, \quad (56)$$

$$(\partial^\mu\partial^\nu - \square\eta^{\mu\nu})(A_\nu - a^2B_\nu) = ie[\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\mu\phi] + 2e^2A^\mu|\phi|^2. \quad (57)$$

5 - Reduction of Order

Field equations

$$(\square + m^2)\phi = -ieA_\mu\partial^\mu\phi - ie\partial^\mu(\phi A_\mu) + e^2A^2\phi, \quad (55)$$

$$(\partial^\mu\partial^\nu - \square\eta^{\mu\nu})A_\nu = B^\mu, \quad (56)$$

$$(\partial^\mu\partial^\nu - \square\eta^{\mu\nu})(A_\nu - a^2B_\nu) = ie[\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\mu\phi] + 2e^2A^\mu|\phi|^2. \quad (57)$$

The auxiliary vector field B_μ takes the mass from A_μ which becomes now massless.

5 - Reduction of Order

Field equations

$$(\square + m^2)\phi = -ieA_\mu\partial^\mu\phi - ie\partial^\mu(\phi A_\mu) + e^2A^2\phi, \quad (55)$$

$$(\partial^\mu\partial^\nu - \square\eta^{\mu\nu})A_\nu = B^\mu, \quad (56)$$

$$(\partial^\mu\partial^\nu - \square\eta^{\mu\nu})(A_\nu - a^2B_\nu) = ie[\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\mu\phi] + 2e^2A^\mu|\phi|^2. \quad (57)$$

The auxiliary vector field B_μ takes the mass from A_μ which becomes now massless. The gauge invariance is preserved.

5 - Reduction of Order

Field equations

$$(\square + m^2)\phi = -ieA_\mu\partial^\mu\phi - ie\partial^\mu(\phi A_\mu) + e^2A^2\phi, \quad (55)$$

$$(\partial^\mu\partial^\nu - \square\eta^{\mu\nu})A_\nu = B^\mu, \quad (56)$$

$$(\partial^\mu\partial^\nu - \square\eta^{\mu\nu})(A_\nu - a^2B_\nu) = ie[\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\mu\phi] + 2e^2A^\mu|\phi|^2. \quad (57)$$

The auxiliary vector field B_μ takes the mass from A_μ which becomes now massless. The gauge invariance is preserved.

I. G. Oliveira, J. H. Sales and RT, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **135**, no.9, 713 (2020).

Canonical Quantization

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} F_{0i} F_{0i} - a^2 (\partial_0 B_i - \partial_i B_0) F_{0i} - \mathcal{H}_{sp}, \quad (58)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{sp} \equiv \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F_{ij} - a^2 \partial_i B_j F_{ij} + \frac{a^2}{2} B_\mu B^\mu. \quad (59)$$

Canonical Quantization

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} F_{0i} F_{0i} - a^2 (\partial_0 B_i - \partial_i B_0) F_{0i} - \mathcal{H}_{sp}, \quad (58)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{sp} \equiv \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F_{ij} - a^2 \partial_i B_j F_{ij} + \frac{a^2}{2} B_\mu B^\mu. \quad (59)$$

$$H_c = \int d^3x \left[-\frac{\Pi^i \Pi_B^i}{a^2} - \frac{\Pi_B^i \Pi_B^i}{2a^4} + \mathcal{H}_{sp} - A_0 \partial_i \Pi^i - B_0 \partial_i \Pi_B^i \right]. \quad (60)$$

Canonical Quantization

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} F_{0i} F_{0i} - a^2 (\partial_0 B_i - \partial_i B_0) F_{0i} - \mathcal{H}_{sp}, \quad (58)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{sp} \equiv \frac{1}{4} F_{ij} F_{ij} - a^2 \partial_i B_j F_{ij} + \frac{a^2}{2} B_\mu B^\mu. \quad (59)$$

$$H_c = \int d^3x \left[-\frac{\Pi^i \Pi_B^i}{a^2} - \frac{\Pi_B^i \Pi_B^i}{2a^4} + \mathcal{H}_{sp} - A_0 \partial_i \Pi^i - B_0 \partial_i \Pi_B^i \right]. \quad (60)$$

Constraints in phase space:

$$\chi_1 = \Pi_B^0 \approx 0, \quad (61)$$

$$\chi_2 = \partial_i \Pi_B^i - a^2 B_0 \approx 0, \quad (62)$$

$$\chi_3 = \Pi^0 \approx 0, \quad (63)$$

$$\chi_4 = \partial_i \Pi^i \approx 0. \quad (64)$$

Constraints χ_1 and χ_2 are second-class while χ_3 and χ_4 are first-class.

Gauge fixing:

$$\chi_5 = A_0, \quad \chi_6 = \partial_i A_i. \quad (65)$$

Gauge fixing:

$$\chi_5 = A_0, \quad \chi_6 = \partial_i A_i. \quad (65)$$

Table: Dirac Brackets

	A_j	B_0	B_j	Π^j	Π_B^j
A_i	.	.	.	$(\delta_i^j - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2})$.
B_0	.	.	$-\frac{1}{a^2} \partial_j$.	.
B_i	.	$-\frac{1}{a^2} \partial_i$.	.	δ_i^j
Π^i	$(-\delta_j^i + \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2})$
Π_B^i	.	.	$-\delta_j^i$.	.

Gauge fixing:

$$\chi_5 = A_0, \quad \chi_6 = \partial_i A_i. \quad (65)$$

Table: Dirac Brackets

	A_j	B_0	B_j	Π^j	Π_B^j
A_i	.	.	.	$(\delta_i^j - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2})$.
B_0	.	.	$-\frac{1}{a^2} \partial_j$.	.
B_i	.	$-\frac{1}{a^2} \partial_i$.	.	δ_i^j
Π^i	$(-\delta_j^i + \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2})$
Π_B^i	.	.	$-\delta_j^i$.	.

$$[A_i(\mathbf{x}), \Pi^j(\mathbf{y})]^* = (\delta_i^j - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2}) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \quad [B_i(\mathbf{x}), \Pi_B^j(\mathbf{y})]^* = \delta_i^j \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \quad (66)$$

$$[B_i(\mathbf{x}), B_0(\mathbf{y})]^* = -\frac{1}{a^2} \partial_i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \quad (67)$$

Gauge fixing:

$$\chi_5 = A_0, \quad \chi_6 = \partial_i A_i. \quad (65)$$

Table: Dirac Brackets

	A_j	B_0	B_j	Π^j	Π_B^j
A_i	.	.	.	$(\delta_i^j - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2})$.
B_0	.	.	$-\frac{1}{a^2} \partial_j$.	.
B_i	.	$-\frac{1}{a^2} \partial_i$.	.	δ_i^j
Π^i	$(-\delta_j^i + \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2})$
Π_B^i	.	.	$-\delta_j^i$.	.

$$[A_i(\mathbf{x}), \Pi^j(\mathbf{y})]^* = (\delta_i^j - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\nabla^2}) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \quad [B_i(\mathbf{x}), \Pi_B^j(\mathbf{y})]^* = \delta_i^j \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \quad (66)$$

$$[B_i(\mathbf{x}), B_0(\mathbf{y})]^* = -\frac{1}{a^2} \partial_i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \quad (67)$$

More details can be found in

M. C. Bertin and RT, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **140**, no.4, 341 (2025).

Conclusion and Final Remarks

- The quest for understanding higher-derivative models in QFT is a longterm one - an important challenging still open problem.

Conclusion and Final Remarks

- The quest for understanding higher-derivative models in QFT is a longterm one - an important challenging still open problem.
- We have proposed a natural higher-order generalization for the KG equation and investigated its classical solutions.

Conclusion and Final Remarks

- The quest for understanding higher-derivative models in QFT is a longterm one - an important challenging still open problem.
- We have proposed a natural higher-order generalization for the KG equation and investigated its classical solutions.
- We have obtained a natural class of higher-order gauge-fixing terms for Bopp-Podolsky and Lee-Wick like theories.

Conclusion and Final Remarks

- The quest for understanding higher-derivative models in QFT is a longterm one - an important challenging still open problem.
- We have proposed a natural higher-order generalization for the KG equation and investigated its classical solutions.
- We have obtained a natural class of higher-order gauge-fixing terms for Bopp-Podolsky and Lee-Wick like theories.
- The reduction of order technique can be very helpful and handy for higher-derivative theories.

Conclusion and Final Remarks

- The quest for understanding higher-derivative models in QFT is a longterm one - an important challenging still open problem.
- We have proposed a natural higher-order generalization for the KG equation and investigated its classical solutions.
- We have obtained a natural class of higher-order gauge-fixing terms for Bopp-Podolsky and Lee-Wick like theories.
- The reduction of order technique can be very helpful and handy for higher-derivative theories.
- We have not discussed here the important open interrelated issues of unitarity, causality, positiveness and propagating ghost modes.

Main References

RT, “Reduced Order Podolsky Model,” *Braz. J. Phys.* **47**, no.1, 72-80 (2017) [arXiv:1606.09319].

C. R. Ji, A. T. Suzuki, J. H. O. Sales and RT, “Pauli–Villars regularization elucidated in Bopp–Podolsky’s generalized electrodynamics,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, no.10, 871 (2019) [arXiv:1902.07632].

I. G. Oliveira, J. H. Sales and RT, “Bopp–Podolsky scalar electrodynamics propagators and energy-momentum tensor in covariant and light-front coordinates,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **135**, no.9, 713 (2020) [arXiv:2008.03735].

RT, “Natural Higher-Derivatives Generalization for the Klein-Gordon Equation,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **36**, No. 28, 2150205 (2021) [arXiv:2011.02567].

B. P. Mandal, V. K. Pandey and RT, “BFV quantization and BRST symmetries of the gauge invariant fourth-order Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **982**, 115905 (2022) [arXiv:2202.00715 [hep-th]].

M. C. Bertin and RT, “Reduced-order Bopp-Podolsky model on the null-plane,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **140**, no.4, 341 (2025) [arXiv:2501.00133].

Special Thanks to Collaborators

Mario Bertin (Universidade Federal da Bahia)

Chueng-Ryong Ji (North Carolina State University)

Babhani Prasad Mandal (Baranas Hindu University)

Ilane Gomes Oliveira (Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz)

Vipul Kumar Pandey (University of Delhi)

Jorge Henrique de Oliveira Sales (Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz)

Alfredo Takashi Suzuki (La Sierra University)

