Baryon Resonances from Lattice QCD

Colin Morningstar
Carnegie Mellon University

15th International Workshop
on the Physics of Excited Nucleons
Seville, Spain

Monday, September 7, 2026

Outline

- obtaining hadron resonance properties from lattice QCD
  - finite-volume energies $\Rightarrow$ scattering phase shifts
  - issues and challenges
- focus on conventional lattice QCD methods
  - with apologies to HAL QCD method
- Δ, $\Lambda(1405)$ resonances
- NN scattering
- $N\Sigma,\ \Lambda\Lambda,\ \Sigma\Sigma,\ N\Xi$ scattering
- outlook

Masses/widths of resonances from lattice QCD

- evaluate finite-volume energies of stationary states corresponding to decay products of resonance for variety of total momenta
- such energies obtained from Markov-chain Monte Carlo estimates of appropriate temporal correlation functions
- parametrize either the K-matrix or its inverse for the relevant scattering processes
- Lüscher quantization condition determines finite-volume spectrum from the K matrix
- determine best fit values of the parameters in the K-matrix by matching the spectrum from quantization condition to spectrum obtained from lattice QCD

Excited states from correlation matrices

- energies from temporal correlations $C_{ij}(t) = \langle 0|\overline{O}_i(t) O_j(0)|0\rangle$
- in finite volume, energies are discrete (neglect wrap-around)

$$C_{ij}(t) = \sum_n Z_i^{(n)} Z_j^{(n)*}\, e^{-E_n t}, \qquad Z_j^{(n)} = \langle 0|\, O_j\, |n\rangle$$

- not practical to do fits using above form
- define new correlation matrix $\widetilde{C}(t)$ using a single rotation

$$\widetilde{C}(t) = U^\dagger\, C(\tau_0)^{-1/2}\, C(t)\, C(\tau_0)^{-1/2}\, U$$

- columns of U are eigenvectors of $C(\tau_0)^{-1/2}\, C(\tau_D)\, C(\tau_0)^{-1/2}$
- choose τ_0 and τ_D large enough so $\widetilde{C}(t)$ diagonal for $t > \tau_D$
- 2-exponential fits to $\widetilde{C}_{\alpha\alpha}(t)$ yield energies E_α and overlaps $Z_j^{(n)}$
- energy shifts from non-interacting using 1-exp fits to ratio of correlators (caution!)
- given small shifts, fits must be done very carefully

Correlator matrix toy model

- Example: 12×12 correlator matrix with $N_e = 200$ eigenstates

$$E_0 = 0.20, \qquad E_n = E_{n-1} + \frac{0.08}{\sqrt{n}}, \qquad Z_j^{(n)} = \frac{(-1)^{j+n}}{1 + 0.05(j-n)^2}.$$



- left: effective energies of diagonal elements of correlator matrix
- middle: effective energies of eigenvalues of $C(t)$
- right: effective energies of eigenvalues of $C(\tau_0)^{-1/2}\, C(t)\, C(\tau_0)^{-1/2}$ for $\tau_0 = 1$

Building blocks for single-hadron operators

- building blocks: covariantly-displaced LapH-smeared quark fields
- stout links $\widetilde{U}_j(x)$
- Laplacian-Heaviside (LapH) smeared quark fields

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{a\alpha}(x) = \mathcal{S}_{ab}(x,y)\;\psi_{b\alpha}(y), \qquad \mathcal{S} = \Theta\left(\sigma_s^2 + \widetilde{\Delta}\right)$$

- 3d gauge-covariant Laplacian $\widetilde{\Delta}$ in terms of $\widetilde{U}$
- displaced quark fields:

$$q^A_{a\alpha j} = D^{(j)}\widetilde{\psi}^{(A)}_{a\alpha}, \qquad \overline{q}^A_{a\alpha j} = \widetilde{\overline{\psi}}^{(A)}_{a\alpha}\,\gamma_4\,D^{(j)\dagger}$$

- displacement $D^{(j)}$ is product of smeared links:

$$D^{(j)}(x,x') = \widetilde{U}_{j_1}(x)\;\widetilde{U}_{j_2}(x{+}d_2)\;\widetilde{U}_{j_3}(x{+}d_3)\ldots\widetilde{U}_{j_p}(x{+}d_p)\delta_{x',\;x+d_{p+1}}$$

- to good approximation, LapH smearing operator is

$$\mathcal{S} = V_s V_s^\dagger$$

  - columns of matrix V_s are eigenvectors of $\widetilde{\Delta}$

Extended operators for single hadrons

- quark displacements build up orbital, radial structure



$$\overline{\Phi}^{AB}_{\alpha\beta}(\boldsymbol{p},t) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} e^{i\boldsymbol{p}\cdot(\mathbf{x}+\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{d}_\alpha+\boldsymbol{d}_\beta))}\delta_{ab}\;\overline{q}^{B}_{b\beta}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\;q^{A}_{a\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$$

$$\overline{\Phi}^{ABC}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\boldsymbol{p},t) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} e^{i\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\varepsilon_{abc}\;\overline{q}^{C}_{c\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\;\overline{q}^{B}_{b\beta}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\;\overline{q}^{A}_{a\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$$

- group-theory projections onto irreps of lattice symmetry group

$$\overline{M}_l(t) = c^{(l)*}_{\alpha\beta}\;\overline{\Phi}^{AB}_{\alpha\beta}(t) \qquad \overline{B}_l(t) = c^{(l)*}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\;\overline{\Phi}^{ABC}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(t)$$

- definite momentum $\boldsymbol{p}$, irreps of little group of $\boldsymbol{p}$

Two-hadron operators

- our approach: superposition of products of single-hadron operators of definite momenta

$$c^{I_{3a} I_{3b}}_{\boldsymbol{p}_a \lambda_a;\ \boldsymbol{p}_b \lambda_b}\ B^{I_a I_{3a} S_a}_{\boldsymbol{p}_a \Lambda_a \lambda_a i_a}\ B^{I_b I_{3b} S_b}_{\boldsymbol{p}_b \Lambda_b \lambda_b i_b}$$

- fixed total momentum $\boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{p}_a + \boldsymbol{p}_b$, fixed $\Lambda_a, i_a, \Lambda_b, i_b$
- group-theory projections onto little group of $\boldsymbol{p}$ and isospin irreps
- crucial to know and fix all phases of single-hadron operators for all momenta
  - each class, choose reference direction $\boldsymbol{p}_{\rm ref}$
  - each $\boldsymbol{p}$, select one reference rotation $R^{\boldsymbol{p}}_{\rm ref}$ that transforms $\boldsymbol{p}_{\rm ref}$ into $\boldsymbol{p}$
- efficient creating large numbers of two-hadron operators
- generalizes to three, four, … hadron operators

Local multi-hadron operators

- comparison of $\pi(\boldsymbol{k})\pi(-\boldsymbol{k})$ and localized $\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} \pi(\boldsymbol{x})\pi(\boldsymbol{x})$ operators



- much more contamination from higher states with local multi-hadron operators

Scattering phase shifts from finite-volume energies

- each finite-volume energy E related to S matrix (and phase shifts) by the quantization condition

$$\det[1 + F^{(\boldsymbol{P})}(S-1)] = 0$$

- F matrix in $JLSa$ basis states given by

$$\langle J' m_{J'} L' S' a' | F^{(\boldsymbol{P})} | J m_J L S a \rangle = \delta_{a'a}\delta_{S'S}\ \frac{1}{2}\Big\{ \delta_{J'J}\delta_{m_{J'}m_J}\delta_{L'L} + \langle J' m_{J'} | L' m_{L'} S m_S \rangle \langle L m_L S m_S | J m_J \rangle W^{(\boldsymbol{P}a)}_{L' m_{L'};\ L m_L} \Big\}$$

- total ang mom J, J', orbital L, L', spin S, S', channels a, a'
- W given by

$$-iW^{(\boldsymbol{P}a)}_{L' m_{L'};\ L m_L} = \sum_{l=|L'-L|}^{L'+L} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{lm}(\boldsymbol{s}_a, \gamma, u_a^2)}{\pi^{3/2}\gamma u_a^{l+1}} \sqrt{\frac{(2L'+1)(2l+1)}{(2L+1)}} \times \langle L' 0, l 0 | L 0 \rangle \langle L' m_{L'}, l m | L m_L \rangle .$$

- compute Rummukainen-Gottlieb-Lüscher (RGL) shifted zeta functions $\mathcal{Z}_{lm}$

Kinematics

- work in spatial L^3 volume with periodic b.c.
- total momentum $\boldsymbol{P} = (2\pi/L)\boldsymbol{d}$, where $\boldsymbol{d}$ vector of integers
- calculate lab-frame energy E of two-particle interacting state in lattice QCD
- boost to center-of-mass frame by defining:

$$E_{\rm cm} = \sqrt{E^2 - \boldsymbol{P}^2}, \qquad \gamma = \frac{E}{E_{\rm cm}},$$

- assume N_d channels
- particle masses m_{1a}, m_{2a} and spins s_{1a}, s_{2a} of particle 1 and 2
- for each channel, can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{q}^2_{{\rm cm},a} &= \frac{1}{4}E^2_{\rm cm} - \frac{1}{2}(m^2_{1a} + m^2_{2a}) + \frac{(m^2_{1a} - m^2_{2a})^2}{4E^2_{\rm cm}}, \\ u^2_a &= \frac{L^2\boldsymbol{q}^2_{{\rm cm},a}}{(2\pi)^2}, \qquad \boldsymbol{s}_a = \left(1 + \frac{(m^2_{1a} - m^2_{2a})}{E^2_{\rm cm}}\right)\boldsymbol{d} \end{aligned}$$

K matrix

- quantization condition relates single energy E to entire S-matrix
- cannot solve for S-matrix (except single channel, single wave)
- approximate S-matrix with functions depending on handful of fit parameters
- obtain estimates of fit parameters using many energies
- easier to parametrize Hermitian matrix than unitary matrix
- introduce K-matrix (Wigner 1946)

$$S = (1 + iK)(1 - iK)^{-1} = (1 - iK)^{-1}(1 + iK)$$

- Hermiticity of K-matrix ensures unitarity of S-matrix
- with time reversal invariance, K-matrix must be real and symmetric
- multichannel effective range expansion (Ross 1961)

$$K^{-1}_{L'S'a';\ LSa}(E) = q_{a'}^{-L'-\frac{1}{2}}\ \widetilde{K}^{-1}_{L'S'a';\ LSa}(E_{\rm cm})\ q_a^{-L-\frac{1}{2}},$$

Quantization condition

- quantization condition can be written

$$\det(1 - B^{(\boldsymbol{P})}\widetilde{K}) = \det(1 - \widetilde{K}B^{(\boldsymbol{P})}) = 0$$

- we define the box matrix by

$$\langle J'm_{J'}L'S'a'|\ B^{(\boldsymbol{P})}\ |Jm_JLSa\rangle = -i\delta_{a'a}\delta_{S'S}\ u_a^{L'+L+1}\ W^{(\boldsymbol{P}a)}_{L'm_{L'};\ Lm_L}$$
$$\times\langle J'm_{J'}|L'm_{L'}, Sm_S\rangle\langle Lm_L, Sm_S|Jm_J\rangle$$

- box matrix is Hermitian for u_a^2 real
- quantization condition can also be expressed as

$$\det(\widetilde{K}^{-1} - B^{(\boldsymbol{P})}) = 0$$

- these determinants are real
- warnings:
  - valid only below three-hadron thresholds
  - need large enough volume so $e^{-L/r}$ corrections negligible
  - valid only above $u, t-$channel cuts (formalism has been extended)

Block diagonalization

- quantization condition involves determinant of infinite matrix
- make practical by (a) transforming to a block-diagonal basis and (b) truncating in orbital angular momentum
- block-diagonal basis

$$|\Lambda\lambda nJLSa\rangle = \sum_{m_J} c_{m_J}^{J(-1)^L;\,\Lambda\lambda n}|Jm_JLSa\rangle$$

- little group irrep Λ, irrep row λ, occurrence index n
- transformation coefficients depend on J and $(-1)^L$, not on S, a
- replaces m_J by (Λ, λ, n)
- group theoretical projections with Gram-Schmidt used to obtain coefficients
- use notation and irrep matrices from PRD 88, 014511 (2013)
- box matrix elements computed using C++ software available on github: TwoHadronsInBox
- reference: NPB924, 477 (2017)

Our Δ resonance study

- recent Δ-resonance study in Nucl. Phys. B987, 116105 (2023)
- this work done in collaboration with
  - John Bulava (DESY, Zeuthen, Germany)
  - Andrew Hanlon (Kent State U.)
  - Ben Hörz (Intel Germany)
  - Daniel Mohler (GSI Helmholtz Centre, Darmstadt, Germany)
  - Bárbara Mora (GSI Helmholtz Centre, Darmstadt, Germany)
  - Joseph Moscoso (U. North Carolina)
  - Amy Nicholson (U. North Carolina)
  - Fernando Romero-López (Bern U.)
  - Sarah Skinner (Carnegie Mellon University)
  - Pavlos Vranas (Lawrence Livermore Lab)
  - André Walker-Loud (Lawrence Berkeley Lab)
- CLS D200 ensemble $64^3 \times 128$ lattice, $a \sim 0.066$ fm
- number of configs = 2000
- quark masses: $m_\pi \sim 200$ MeV, $m_K \sim 480$ MeV
- smearing: $N_{\rm ev} = 448$

$I = 3/2\ N\pi$ spectrum determination



- irreps with leading $(2J, L) = (3, 1)$ wave: $H_g(0)$, $G_2(1)$, $F_1(3)$, $G_2(4)$.
- irrep with leading $(1, 0)$ wave: $G_{1u}(0)$.
- irrep with leading $(1, 1)$ wave: $G_{1g}(0)$ not included because ground state is inelastic.
- irreps with s- and p-wave mixing: $G_1(1)$, $G(2)$, $G_1(4)$.

$I = 1/2$ spectrum determination



- isodoublet $N\pi$ spectrum

Parametrization of K-matrix

- each partial wave parametrized using effective range expansion
- remember $\sqrt{s} = E_{\rm cm} = \sqrt{m_\pi^2 + q_{\rm cm}^2} + \sqrt{m_N^2 + q_{\rm cm}^2}$
- for $I = 3/2$, $J^P = 3/2^+$ wave

$$\frac{q_{\rm cm}^3}{m_\pi^3}\cot\delta_{3/2^+} = \frac{6\pi\sqrt{s}}{m_\pi^3 g_{\Delta,{\rm BW}}^2}(m_\Delta^2 - s),$$

- other waves, used

$$\frac{q_{\rm cm}^{2\ell+1}}{m_\pi^{2\ell+1}}\cot\delta_{J^P}^I = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{m_\pi A_{J^P}^I},$$

- fit parameter $A_{J^P}^I$ related to scattering length by

$$m_\pi^{2\ell+1} a_{J^P}^I = \frac{m_\pi}{m_\pi + m_N} A_{J^P}^I.$$

Isoquartet scattering amplitudes



- $I = 3/2$ s- and p-wave scattering amplitudes
- mass and width parameter of Δ-resonance

$$\frac{m_\Delta}{m_\pi} = 6.257(35), \qquad g_{\Delta,\mathrm{BW}} = 14.41(53),$$

$I = 1/2$ scattering amplitudes



- scattering lengths

$$m_\pi a_0^{3/2} = -0.2735(81)\,, \qquad m_\pi a_0^{1/2} = 0.142(22),$$

Δ resonance



Δ resonance at physical point

- Δ resonance studied at physical pion mass, $a = 0.08$ fm: Alexandrou et al. PRD **109**, 034509 (2024)
- finite-volume spectrum shown
- physical point problem: low 3-particle threshold



Δ resonance at physical point

- phase shift for Δ resonance



$$
\begin{aligned}
M_R &= 1269\,(39)_{\mathrm{Stat.}}(45)_{\mathrm{Total}}\ \mathrm{MeV} \\
\Gamma_R &= 144\,(169)_{\mathrm{Stat.}}(181)_{\mathrm{Total}}\ \mathrm{MeV}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparison to previous works



- above, $g_{\Delta N\pi}$ is defined in terms of the decay width in leading-order chiral effective theory

$$\Gamma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{\mathrm{EFT}} = \frac{g^2_{\Delta N\pi}}{48\pi}\,\frac{E_N + m_N}{E_N + E_\pi}\,\frac{q^3}{m_N^2}$$

Our $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance study

- PRL **132**, 051901 (2024) and PRD**109**, 014511 (2024)
- authors
  - John Bulava (Bochum, Germany)
  - Andrew Hanlon (Kent State U.)
  - Ben Hörz (Intel Germany)
  - Daniel Mohler (GSI Helmholtz Centre, Darmstadt, Germany)
  - Bárbara Mora (GSI Helmholtz Centre, Darmstadt, Germany)
  - Joseph Moscoso (U. North Carolina)
  - Amy Nicholson (U. North Carolina)
  - Fernando Romero-López (Bern U.)
  - Sarah Skinner (Carnegie Mellon University)
  - André Walker-Loud (Lawrence Berkeley Lab)
- CLS D200 ensemble with $m_\pi \approx 200$ MeV

Our $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance study

- finite volume spectrum of $\Sigma\pi$ and $N\overline{K}$ states below



Study of $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance

- PDG lists $\Lambda(1405)$ as single $I = 0,\ J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ resonance strangeness -1
- recent models based on chiral effective theory and unitary suggest two nearby overlapping poles
- our study supports two-pole structure
- virtual bound state below $\Sigma\pi$ threshold, resonance pole below $N\overline{K}$ threshold
- first lattice QCD study of this coupled-channel system using full operator set



K matrix parametrization

- for best parametrization, used $\ell_{\max} = 0$ in ERE
$$\frac{E_{\rm cm}}{M_\pi}\tilde{K}_{ij} = A_{ij} + B_{ij}\Delta_{\pi\Sigma}$$
- where A_{ij} and B_{ij} are symmetric and real coefficients with i and j denoting either of the two scattering channels, and
$$\Delta_{\pi\Sigma} = (E_{\rm cm}^2 - (M_\pi + M_\Sigma)^2)/(M_\pi + M_\Sigma)^2$$
- pole locations
$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= 1395(9)_{\rm stat}(2)_{\rm model}(16)_a \mathrm{MeV}, \\ E_2 &= 1456(14)_{\rm stat}(2)_{\rm model}(16)_a \\ &\quad -i \times 11.7(4.3)_{\rm stat}(4)_{\rm model}(0.1)_a \mathrm{MeV}. \end{aligned}$$
- several other parametrizations also used:
  - an ERE for $\tilde{K}^{-1}$
  - removing factor of $E_{\rm cm}$ above
  - Blatt-Biedenharn form
- forms with one pole strongly disfavored

Λ scattering amplitude poles

- (left) scattering phase shifts and inelasticities
- (right) transition amplitude showing poles





NN scattering at $SU(3)$ flavor symmetric point

- starting point to explore NN scattering in lattice QCD: $SU(3)$ flavor symmetric
- inauspicious beginning! discrepany between different groups
- HALQCD and our group (in PRC **103**, 014003 (2021)) find no bound states in either $I = 0$ or $I = 1$ NN systems
- NPLQCD finds shallow bound states (PRD **87**, 034506 (2013))
- CalLat also found bound state (PLB **765**, 285 (2017))
- possible sources of discrepancy:
  - first NPLQCD study and CalLat used only an off-diagonal correlator$\rightarrow$ plateaux misidentification from negative weights
  - need for local hexaquark operator(s)

Summary of discrepancy

- comparison of NPLQCD deuteron $\cot\delta$ with our PRC
- different actions: NPLQCD stout-smeared tadpole-improved action, this work uses CLS clover Wilson action
- different lattice spacing: NPLQCD 0.145 fm, this work 0.086 fm



Crux of the matter?

- most likely key source of discrepancy is different energy extractions
- effective energies from off-diagonal correlator with hexaquark source, NN at-rest sink from Fig. 2 arXiv:1705.09239 [hep-lat] (NPLQCD) for 48^3 lattice shown below
- Red boxes: NPLQCD energy extractions from Fig. 4 of PRD**87**, 034506 (2013)
- Green boxes: energies equivalent to our extractions



Off-diagonal correlator vs Hermitian correlator matrix

- spectral representation of correlators

$$C_{ij}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_i^{(n)} Z_j^{(n)*} e^{-E_n t}$$

- for diagonal $i = j$, amplitudes of exponentials all positive

$$C_{ii}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |Z_i^{(n)}|^2 e^{-E_n t}$$

- off-diagonal can have negative weights
- excited-state contamination in simple off-diagonal correlator decays slowly as $e^{-(E_1 - E_0)t}$
- contamination in rotated diagonal correlator decays much more quickly as $e^{-(E_N - E_0)t}$ for $N \times N$ correlator matrix

Plateau misidentification

- given negative weights and slow decay of excited-state contamination in off-diagonal correlator, likelihood of plateau misidentification is uncomfortably high
- for 48^3 lattice and rest energy ~ 2.4, total zero-momentum gap ~ 0.015
- for illustrative purposes, use five-exponential form

$$C(t) = e^{-E_0 t}\Big(1 + A_1 e^{-\Delta_1 t} + A_2 e^{-\Delta_2 t} + A_3 e^{-\Delta_3 t} + A_4 e^{-\Delta_4 t}\Big)$$

- take lowest 2 gaps of expected size, other 2 gaps to handle observed short-time behavior

$$\Delta_1 = 0.025, \quad \Delta_2 = \Delta_1 + 0.025, \quad \Delta_3 = \Delta_2 + 0.5, \quad \Delta_4 = \Delta_3 + 1.0$$

- use our equivalent E_0 values, then solve for A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 using correlations at times $t = 2, 3, 7, 11$

Plateau misidentification

- for deuteron $(I = 0, {}^3S_1)$, find

$$A_1 = -1.0483,\ A_2 = 0.4133,\ A_3 = 0.6495,\ A_4 = -1.7750.$$

- presence of negative weights can easily lead to false plateau



Plateau misidentification

- for dineutron $(I = 1, ^1S_0)$, find

$$A_1 = -1.0986,\ A_2 = 0.4993,\ A_3 = 0.7127,\ A_4 = -1.9065$$

- presence of negative weights can easily lead to false plateau



Role of hexaquark operator in NN spectrum

- results from our hexaquark study on the C103 ensemble
- blue points: energies obtained using all operators
- green points: energies obtained excluding hexaquark operators
- blue squares: hexaquark-dominated levels



Conclusions about hexaquark operator

- no additional low-lying state is found by including hexaquark operator
- features of state created by hexaquark operator:
  - very small overlap with lowest-lying eigenstate
  - overlaps which initially increase with eigenstate number
  - largest overlap with eigenstates high above those studied here
- hexaquark operator introduces more noise
- conclusion: hexaquark operator not needed!

Our latest C103 NN results

- our latest results for NN scattering PRC **113**, 024002 (2026)
- this work done in collaboration with
  - John Bulava (Bochum, Germany)
  - Kate Clark (NVIDIA)
  - Arjun Gambhir (Lawrence Livermore Lab)
  - Andrew Hanlon (Kent State U.)
  - Ben Hörz (Intel Germany)
  - Bálint Joó (NVIDIA)
  - Christopher Körber (Bochum, Germany)
  - Ken McElvain (U.C. Berkeley)
  - Aaron Meyer (Lawrence Livermore Lab)
  - Henry Monge-Camacho (Oak Ridge National Laboratory)
  - Joseph Moscoso (U. North Carolina)
  - Amy Nicholson (U. North Carolina)
  - Fernando Romero-López (Bern U.)
  - Ermal Rrapaj (Lawrence Berkeley Lab)
  - Andrea Shindler (Aarchen University, Germany)
  - Sarah Skinner (Carnegie Mellon University)
  - Pavlos Vranas (Lawrence Livermore Lab)
  - André Walker-Loud (Lawrence Berkeley Lab)

Our latest NN deuteron results

- latest results for NN isosinglet (deuteron) scattering phase shift on the C103 ensemble ($48^3 \times 96$ lattice, $a \sim 0.085$ fm)
- pion mass $m_\pi \sim 714$ MeV at $SU(3)$ flavor symmetric point



Our latest NN di-neutron results

- latest results for NN isotriplet (di-neutron) scattering phase shift on the C103 ensemble
- pion mass $m_\pi \sim 714$ MeV at $SU(3)$ flavor symmetric point



Fitting to extract NN energies

- to avoid correlator ratio fits, we simultaneously fit two-nucleon correlation functions and single-nucleon ones
- use of 3,4,5,6 exponentials: need for Bayesian priors
- check insensitivity to good range of t_0, t_d GEVP time parameters
- below left: conspiracy model T_{1g}. Below right: agnostic model.
- "conspiracy" model: number of excited states in NN correlator fixed by number used in N correlators, and a relationship between the excited-state energies of NN and N assumed





Energy shifts in NN deuteron

- lowest-lying energy shifts from non-interacting energies for deuteron channel in 15 irreps
- left: stability wrt $t_{\min}$ of fits
- right: stability wrt number of exponentials in fits





Energy shifts in NN di-neutron

- lowest-lying energy shifts from non-interacting energies for di-neutron channel in 8 irreps
- left: stability wrt $t_{\min}$ of fits
- light: stability wrt number of exponentials in fits





Comparison of NN di-neutron results

- comparison of our NN isotriplet (di-neutron) scattering phase shift with latest from NPLQCD (Detmold Lattice 2026)
- different pion mass $m_\pi \sim 714$ MeV vs 800 MeV at $SU(3)$ flavor symmetric point
- latest preliminary NPLQCD: 16,000 configs, 20,736,000 propagators



Higher partial waves for C103 NN di-neutron

- preliminary work to include higher partial waves (Joseph Moscoso Lattice 2026)
- di-neutron C103 spectrum below: 232 energy levels compared to non-interacting (horizontal lines)



All 232 measured levels, 25 frame/irrep spectra — *both* parity towers interleaved

- deuteron channel ongoing
- BaSc collaboration

Higher partial waves for C103 NN di-neutron

- preliminary phase shifts (Joseph Moscoso Lattice 2026)
- BaSc collaboration



NN scattering at lighter pion mass

- recent CLQCD results arXiv:2603.09554
- very small $24^3 \times 64$ lattice, $a \sim 0.11$ fm, $m_\pi \sim 430$ MeV
- use of good bilocal two-nucleon operators, Hermitian correlator matrices



deuteron isosinglet $^3S_1$ di-neutron isotriplet $^1S_0$

NN scattering at lighter pion mass

- another recent CLQCD result PRD **111**, 034509 (2026)
- $24^3 \times 72$, $32^3 \times 64$, $48^3 \times 98$ lattices, $a \sim 0.105$ fm, $m_\pi \sim 292$ MeV
- use of bilocal two-nucleon operators, Hermitian correlator matrices, distillation
- spectra below



deuteron isosinglet $^3S_1$ di-neutron isotriplet $^1S_0$

NN scattering at lighter pion mass

- CLQCD result PRD **111**, 034509 (2026)
- $24^3 \times 72$, $32^3 \times 64$, $48^3 \times 98$ lattices, $a \sim 0.105$ fm, $m_\pi \sim 292$ MeV
- find virtual bound states from poles on unphysical sheet
- Hamiltonian method to take left-hand cut into account $\longrightarrow$ find negligible effect
- Below: $\log |T|$, red dashed lines show left-hand cut and threshold.



NN deuteron results at lighter pion mass

- preliminary results (BaSc collaboration) on D251 ensemble (Miguel Salg at Lattice 2026)
- $N_f = 2+1$, $m_\pi = 280$ MeV, $a = 0.064$ fm, lattice $64^3 \times 128$
- finite-volume spectrum:



- preliminary results (BaSc collaboration) on J250 ensemble (Miguel Salg at Lattice 2026)
- $N_f = 2+1$, $m_\pi = 200$ MeV, physical s-quark, $a = 0.064$ fm, lattice $64^3 \times 192$
- finite-volume spectrum:



χ^2 worse than on D251, but still good for ERE3 in $I=0$

Dataset 2

Cutoff param. $m_N^2\alpha = 7$

NN deuteron results at lighter pion mass

- preliminary results (BaSc collaboration) at $m_\pi = 280$ MeV (D251) and $m_\pi = 200$ MeV (J250) by Miguel Salg at Lattice 2026
- left-hand cut below $4m_N^2 - m_\pi^2$, threshold at $4m_N^2$, so use of modified quantization condition
- comparison of scattering phases shifts (deuteron channel) for three ensembles



$M_\pi = 710$ MeV: reanalysis of Bulava et al. (BaSc) 2026 [PRC 113, 024002]
$M_\pi = 280$ MeV, 200 MeV: this work (dataset 2)

$S = -1, \; I = 3/2, \; N\Sigma$ scattering

- preliminary results (BaSc collaboration) for $N\Sigma$ scattering at $m_\pi = 280 \text{ MeV}$ (D251) by Malcolm Lazarow at Lattice 2026
- spectrum shown below



$S = -1,\ I = 3/2,\ N\Sigma$ scattering

- preliminary results (BaSc collaboration) for $N\Sigma$ scattering at $m_\pi = 280\ \mathrm{MeV}$ (D251) by Malcolm Lazarow at Lattice 2026
- fits to spectrum below, with forms shown



[11]

$$\det\left[K^{-1}(E;\{p_i\}) - B(E)\right]_{\Gamma(P^2)} = 0$$

- Predicts a FV spectrum, given a set of $\{p_i\}$
- tune $\{p_i\}$ to match FV energies E

$a_{^3S_1}$	3.360(89)
$r_{^3S_1}$	1.59(17)
$a_{^3D_1}$	10.5(1.2)
$\theta(=\epsilon/q^2)$	-1.72(41)
$a_{^3D_3}$	-2.8(1.8)
$a_{^3P_0}$	8.1(1.4)
$r_{^3P_0}$	-0.46(29)
$a_{^3P_2}$	0.69(56)
χ^2/dof	$17.7/(20-8) \approx 1.5$

$$K^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{q}^3\cot\delta_{^3P_0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \multicolumn{2}{c}{K^{-1}_{^3S_1{}^3D_1}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{q}^3\cot\delta_{^3P_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{q}^5\cot\delta_{^3D_3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K^{-1}_{^3S_1{}^3D_1} = C(\epsilon)\cdot D\cdot C(\epsilon)^T$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\epsilon & -\frac{1}{\tilde{q}^2}\sin\epsilon \\ \tilde{q}^2\sin\epsilon & \cos\epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{q}\cot\delta_{^3S_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{q}^5\cot\delta_{^3D_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{q}^{2\ell+1}\cot\delta_\ell = -1/a + \frac{1}{2}r\tilde{q}^2$$

$$\tilde{q} = q/m_N$$

[11] Morningstar et al., arXiv:1707.05817

$S = -1,\ I = 3/2,\ N\Sigma$ scattering

- preliminary results (BaSc collaboration) for $N\Sigma$ scattering at $m_\pi = 280\ \mathrm{MeV}$ (D251) by Malcolm Lazarow at Lattice 2026
- phase shifts



$S = -1,\ I = 3/2,\ N\Sigma$ scattering

- preliminary results (BaSc collaboration) for $N\Sigma$ scattering at $m_\pi = 280$ MeV (D251) by Malcolm Lazarow at Lattice 2026
- comparison with experiment





(a) $\delta_{^3S_1}$

Results from J-PARC E40 partial wave analysis [1]

$S = -2,\ I = 0,\ \Lambda\Lambda - \Sigma\Sigma - N\Xi$ scattering

- preliminary results (BaSc collaboration) for H dibaryon ($\Lambda\Lambda - \Sigma\Sigma - N\Xi$ scattering) at $m_\pi = 200\ \mathrm{MeV}$ (D200) by Juan Fernandez-de la Garza at Lattice 2026
- lattice $64^3 \times 128$, $a \sim 0.064\ \mathrm{fm}$



$S=-2,\ I=0,\ \Lambda\Lambda-\Sigma\Sigma-N\Xi$ scattering

- parametrization (still need to modify for left-hand cut)

$$\frac{K^{-1}(E)}{m_\Lambda}=\begin{pmatrix} 1/a_0+b_0(E-m_{\Lambda\Lambda})/m_{\Lambda\Lambda} & f_{\Lambda\Lambda-N\Xi}(E) & f_{\Lambda\Lambda-\Sigma\Sigma}(E) \\ f_{\Lambda\Lambda-N\Xi}(E) & 1/a_1+b_1(E-m_{N\Xi})/m_{N\Xi} & f_{N\Xi-\Sigma\Sigma}(E) \\ f_{\Lambda\Lambda-\Sigma\Sigma}(E) & f_{N\Xi-\Sigma\Sigma}(E) & 1/a_2+b_2(E-m_{\Sigma\Sigma})/m_{\Sigma\Sigma} \end{pmatrix}$$

$K^{-1}(E)$ with 3 decoupled channels

$$QC=\det_{l=0}\left(K^{-1}(E)\ -\ B(\vec{0},L)\right)$$

$$\Omega=\frac{QC}{1+|QC|}$$

Parameter	Value
a_0	-0.43 ± 0.19
a_1	2.07 ± 0.38
a_2	-2.93 ± 1.92
b_0	23.2 ± 12.5
b_1	-2.14 ± 0.37

χ^2 / dof $=3.75/2$



Roper resonance

- Important resonance: Roper, first excitation of proton
- experiment: 4-star, $N(1440)$ with $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$
- experiment: width $250 - 450\ \mathrm{MeV}$
- lattice QCD: three-quark operators have difficulty capturing
- $\chi\mathrm{QCD}$: studied using only variety of 3-quark operators
- sequential empirical Bayesian (SEB) method, DWF sea with overlap valence
- large $3q$ basis with different smearings needed





Lienweber NSTAR 2024

$\chi\mathrm{QCD}$ (Sun et al). PRD **101**, 054511 (2020)

Roper resonance outlook

- definitive study of Roper needs multi-hadron operators
- $N\pi$, $N\sigma$, $\Delta\pi$ operators
- $N\pi\pi$ operators
- large volume
- three-particle amplitude analysis
- several groups working on this

Summary

- methods such as stochastic LapH, distillation
  - allow reliable determinations of energies involving multi-hadron states
- large numbers of excited-state energy levels can be estimated
- scattering phase shifts can be computed
- hadron resonance properties: masses, decay widths
- presented recent results for Δ, $\Lambda(1405)$ resonances
- NN discrepany resolved?
- recent results for $N\Sigma,\ \Lambda\Lambda,\ \Sigma\Sigma,\ N\Xi$ scattering
- Roper resonance (need for three-particle states)
- 3-particle formalism developing