Generative Graph Neural Networks for Reconstructing Parton-Level Jets after Hadronization

Umar Sohail Qureshi (uqureshi@cern.ch) Michael Taleb, Raghav (Rithya) Kunnawalkam Elayavalli

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

Hot Jets: Advancing the Understanding of High Temperature QCD with Jets Loomis Lab, Urbana Champaign, Illinois, United States

January 8, 2025





The Anatomy of a Hadron-Hadron Collision



Perturbative

- High Q^2 scattering.
- Parton showering.

Possible because of factoring theorems.

Non-Perturbative

- Hadronization.
- Multi-parton interactions.
- Underlying events.

Figure 1: Schematic of a hadron-hadron collision. Image Credit: Stefan Hoche

U. S. Qureshi (Vanderbilt University)

Hadronization Processes



Figure 2: Schematic of a hadron-hadron collision. Image Credit: Stefan Hoche

Hadronization

- Formation of hadrons from quarks and gluons.
- Incalculable using pQCD!

Phenomenological Models

- Parameterized fits to data.
- Intractable to recover partonic event analytically.

U. S. Qureshi (Vanderbilt University)

GNNs for Reconstructing Parton-Level Jets

Hot Jets 2025

Probing the Intrinsic Parton Shower



The Goal

 Reconstruct the intrinsic (and immeasurable) parton shower from experimentally accessible quantities.

Predicting Parton-Level Jets

- We find the expected parton-level jet for a given hadron-level jet.
- Learn a mapping $f : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{P}$.

Figure 3: Schematic of a hadron-hadron collision. Image Credit: Stefan Hoche

Samples and Simulation

Event Generation (PYTHIA 8.312)

- pp beams with $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.
- Photon-tagged events $qg
 ightarrow q\gamma$.
- $\hat{p}_T > 1000$ GeV.
- Anti- $k_t R = 0.8$ parton-level and hadron-level jets.
- Visible final-state particles.
- 1000 < Jet p_{\perp} < 2000 GeV.
- 100K events to ensure sufficient statistics.



pythia.org/latest-manual/welcome.html

Graph Representation of Pythia Quark Jets

Jets represented as graphs, connected by ΔR :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vertices} &: \mathcal{J} = \left\{ \left(p_{\perp}^{i}, \eta^{i}, \phi^{i} \right)_{i=1}^{n} \right\} \\ \text{Edges} &: E = \left\{ \Delta R(i, j)_{i, j=1}^{n}, i \neq j \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Fully connected graphs, no self-loops.

Graph Representations of Quark Jets



- Centered using the *E*-scheme axis.
- Pythia 8.312, $pp \sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.
- Anti- k_T , R = 0.8
- $1000 < p_T < 2000$ GeV.

U. S. Qureshi (Vanderbilt University)

Hot Jets 2025

Graph Representations of Quark Jets



- Pythia 8.312, $pp \sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.
- Anti- k_T , R = 0.8.
- $1000 < p_T < 2000$ GeV.

Hot Jets 2025

Machine Learning Model



Image Credit: Tina Behrouzi et. al.

Variational Graph Autoencoder (VGAE)

- Input hadron-level jets \mathcal{H} .
- Output parton-level jets \mathcal{P} .

- Encoder: learns an embedding (z, μ) for \mathcal{H} in latent space.
- Decoder: learns reconstructing parton-level jets \mathcal{P} from embedding.

ML Model Training

Model Training

- Implemented using PyTorch-geometric.
- Trained using on an Nvidia A100.
- Train-validation split of 90%-10%.
- Adam optimizer, 5000 epochs.



Figure 4: Validation loss over time.

< E >

Results: Predictions on Unseen Data



- Pythia 8.312, $pp \sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.
- Anti- k_T , R = 0.8.
- $1000 < p_T < 2000$ GeV.

Hot Jets 2025

Results: Predictions on Unseen Data



- Pythia 8.312, $pp \sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.
- Anti- k_T , R = 0.8.
- $1000 < p_T < 2000$ GeV.

Hot Jets 2025

l1 / 25

Results: Predictions on Unseen Data



- Pythia 8.312, $pp \sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV.
- Anti- k_T , R = 0.8.
- $1000 < p_T < 2000$ GeV.

Hot Jets 2025

Results: Leading Parton p_T Spectra



Comparison of predicted and ground leading parton p_T spectra.

- Accurate prediction of $p_T(p_1)$.
- Almost all data $\varepsilon < 5\%$.

U. S. Qureshi (Vanderbilt University)

Hot Jets 2025

Results: Parton Jet p_T Spectra



Comparison of predicted and ground jet p_T spectra.

- Accurate prediction of jet p_T .
- Almost all data $\varepsilon < 5\%$.

U. S. Qureshi (Vanderbilt University)

Hot Jets 2025

Results: Parton Multiplicity



Comparison of predicted and ground parton multiplicity spectra.

- Accurate prediction of multiplicity.
- Almost all data $\varepsilon < 5\%$.

Results: Parton Jet Mass Spectra



Comparison of predicted and ground jet mass spectra.

- Poor prediction of jet mass.
- Distribution is shifted and significantly more spread out.

Hot Jets 2025

Summary

- We present a first look at using generative neural networks to reconstruct parton-level jets after hadronization.
- Our method captures the entire parton-level jet.
- Jury is still out on substructure observables.

Future Work

- Investigate the predictions for more jet substructure observables.
- Better ML models? Custom loss functions?
- Study the inclusion of detector effects, underlying events, and pileup.

- I thank Professor Rithya Kunnawalkam Elayavalli for their invaluable support and guidance.
- This work is supported in part by DOE Office of Science Award DE-SC0024660 and a Vanderbilt Immersion Grant.

Thank you! Questions?

□ ▶ ◀ @ ▶ ◀ 重 ▶ ◀ 重 ▶ ④ Hot Jets 2025 19

Backup Slides

Ξ Hot Jets 2025

< ∃ >

 The (η, φ) coordinates of jet constituents are centered based on the jet (η, φ) using the E-scheme jet axis:

$$\overline{\eta} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \text{jet}} \eta_i p_{\mathcal{T},i}}{\sum_{i \in \text{jet}} p_{\mathcal{T},i}}, \quad \overline{\phi} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \text{jet}} \phi_i p_{\mathcal{T},i}}{\sum_{i \in \text{jet}} p_{\mathcal{T},i}}$$

$$\eta_i \to \eta_i - \overline{\eta}, \quad \phi \to \phi_i - \overline{\phi}$$

Hot Jets 2025

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

21 / 25

1

Comparing Jets: The Energy Mover's Distance Metric

EMD Metric (PRL 123.041801)

- Quantifies the distance between two jets.
- The minimum "energy" required to rearrange a jet \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{G}' .

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}') = \min_{\{f_{ij} \ge 0\}} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M'} f_{ij} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{ij}}{R} \right) + \left| \sum_{i=1}^{M} E_i - \sum_{j=1}^{M'} E'_j \right|$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{M'} f_{ij} \le E_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{M} f_{ij} \le E'_j, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M'} f_{ij} = E_{\min},$$

 $\mathcal{E}(\widehat{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{P})$ gives a discrepancy measure between reconstructed graphs $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ and the ground truth \mathcal{P} .



Figure 5: EMD between two gluon jets.

U. S. Qureshi (Vanderbilt University)

GNNs for Reconstructing Parton-Level Jets

Hot Jets 2025

Results: EMD Metric Distribution



Predicted jets close to ground truth (Pythia)!

Benchmark EMDs:

• Good: $\ln \mathcal{E} \leq 4$

• Jets are similar.

- Fair: $4 \le \ln \mathcal{E} \le 5.5$
 - Jets are fairly similar.
- Bad: $\ln \mathcal{E} \ge 5.5$
 - Jets are disparate.

Results: Predictions for Parton Jet p_T



Correlation of EMD and the fractional difference in jet p_T .

- Accurate prediction of p_T.
- Peak at $\Delta p_T/p_T \approx 0$.
- Almost all data: $|\Delta p_T/p_T| < 0.1.$

Results: Predictions for Parton Multiplicities



Correlation of EMD and the difference in prediction and ground truth parton multiplicities.

- Accurate prediction of multiplicities.
- Average particle multiplicity is ~ 22.
- Peak at $\Delta n = 0$.
- Almost all data: $|\Delta n| < 2$.

Hot Jets 2025