

O(1ns) Timing with LArTPC neutrino experiments

Path to Dark Sector discoveries workshop @ CSU

Xiao Luo, Dante Totani, Sabrina Brickner, Erin Yandel University of California Santa Barbara

\mathcal{V} The Unknown Unknowns

Accelerator

PTOLEMY

Relic neutrino

Neutrino mass

Majorana or Dirac?

Dark matter

...meV

Solar

Solar model Sterile neutrinos Neutrino oscillation

Heavy Neutral Leptons Long lived Particle ν portal to dark sector Axion Like Particle Millicharged particles Lorentz invariance CPT symmetry eV sterile neutrino

eV keV MeV GeV TeV PeV...

Collider

Heavy Neutral Leptons Long lived Particle Dark Matter Millicharged particles **SUSY**

ICECUBE

Sterile neutrinos Mass ordering Dark Matter Astrophysics, e.g. Supernovae Neutrino NSI CPT symmetry

Energy Scale of experiments are probing

MeV – GeV BSM physics

Neutrino beamlines at Fermilab accelerator complex

- BNB produce ~800 MeV neutrinos
- NuMI produce ~2GeV neutrinos

BSM models

Heavy Neutral Leptons Long lived Particle Higgs portal scalar Axion Like Particle Millicharged particles Lorentz invariance eV sterile neutrino

Precision Timing can be leveraged to search for any massive Long lived particle produced in the neutrino beamline

BSM searches in bunched neutrino beam

ToF is a **model independent** handle for discovering Long-Lived-Particles, e.g. HNL, QCD axion, Higgs Portal Scaler, Dark scalar...

Time-of-Flight is simple idea

O(1) ns timing resolution also doesn't seem to be too difficult for particle detector

However... Nanosecond neutrino bunches have never been measured by any LArTPC experiment before

Until now...

Analysis overview $O(1ns)$ ToF measurem

First demonstration of O(1 ns) timing resolution in the MicroBooNE liquid arxiv.2304.02076

The dataset used in this analysis is an inclusive selection of v_μ CC interaction can

- Step 1: More accurate beam signal initial time of ToF
- Step 2: Timing measured from light signals final time of ToF
- Step 3: Calibrating out travel time of neutrinos in the TPC
- Step 4: Calibrating out travel time of secondary particles from interaction.

Step 5: Calibrating out the travel time of scintillation photons from light detection system

Step 6: Empirical calibration to reduce residual smearing due to

Beam signal – Booster Neutrino Beamline

- 1.6 μs beam gate
- 81 bunches
- <2ns wide bunches
- $^{\sim}$ 18 ns gap

BNB neutrino bunch structure

Step 1

Neutrino detectors -- LArTPC

- Good for final states particle identification
- Too slow (ms) for timing measurements: long exposure images, surface detector with all the cosmics
- Accompanied by Photon detection system (e.g. PMT) to provide timing of the interactions in the TPC

TPC

Photon Detection System (PDS)

- MicroBooNE PDS includes 32 PMTs, readout 23 μ s time window triggered by BNB beam signal.
- Rising edge fit gives the PMT's signal timing.
	- **0.2 ns** smearing.
- The median of the PMT's timings is used to assign the event time.
	- Only waveforms with maximum amplitude larger than 2 photons are considered

Fit function:

$$
f(t) = A \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(t - t_M)^4}{B}\right)
$$

Time at half maximum in the leading edge is considered as measured PMT time

Key step: TPC + PDS

Three processes impact the observed neutrino interaction time – the final time of ToF. Leverage the neutrino interaction vertex and the 3D tracks geometry provided by the **TPC reconstruction**

Step 3,4,5

9

- **Neutrino ToF inside the TPC:** Distance from **v**-vertex to TPC front wall / speed of light. (few 10s ns)
- **Daughter particles ToF**: Distance from **v**-vertex to daughter space-points / speed of light. (few-10s ns)
	- Speed of light is an approximation, particle type dependent
- **Scintillation light ToF:** Distance from the space-point to PMT / speed of light in liquid Argon (~10 ns).
	- Light in argon travels with 12cm/ns

Empirical calibration

Step 6

~10 ns smearing ~5 ns smearing

Source: cable length... Source: Daughter particle travel speed is PID dependent

 $\frac{1}{2}$

(Interaction time) $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\beta = -0.012 \pm 0.001$ ns -0.5 -1.0 20 40 60 0 $\langle N_{\rm ph} \rangle$ Source: PMT pulse fit + light absorption

 2.0

 1.5

Close to PMT: 10 photons / MeV Far to PMT: 0.1-1ph / MeV ~1 ns smearing

Evolution of measured ToF of BNB neutrinos

BNB bunches measured in LArTPC

Benchmark the timing resolution

- The 81 peaks are merged in a
- single one
• Gaussian + Constant term fit.
• Pulse width is given by σ
-

Measured ToF recovered proton beam time structure for the first time:

- Gaussian fit of the 81 bunches
- Bunch mean vs. bunch number linear fit
- Buch separation: $Δ = 18.936 ± 0.001$ ns

O(1) ns resolution achieved

Timing resolution of BNB neutrinos:

- Gaussian fit gives σ = 2.53 ± 0.02 ns
- The intrinsic beam spread is $\sigma_{\rm B}$ = 1.308 ns
- Overall resolution: **2.16 ± 0.02 ns.**

Timing Resolution Vs. number of photons:

- Smaller light signal is poorer resolution
- Using standard resolution fit function

$$
\sigma\left(\langle N_{Ph}\rangle\right)=\sqrt{\langle\sigma_{BNB}\rangle^2+k_0^2+\left(\frac{k_1}{\sqrt{\langle N_{Ph}\rangle}}\right)^2}
$$

Intrinsic resolution is $k_0 = 1.73 \pm 0.05$ **ns**

O(1) ns in SBN -- MicroBooNE Vs SBND

MicroBooNE has longer baseline than SBND Different baseline \rightarrow Different time of flight \rightarrow broader BSM parameter coverage

SBND has more powerful PDS than MicroBooNE

O(1ns) Timing for BSM search - Fast MC

Model-independent search for massive Long-Lived-Particles

Parameters:

- BNB neutrino timing spectrum: ~2ns bunch width, ~18ns gap
- Flat cosmic background: data driven rate with gaussian fluctuation
- LLP mass: O(10 1000) MeV
- LLP events rate: percentage to neutrinos
- LLP energy: BNB neutrino beam energy ~ GeV

Fast MC – neutrino & cosmic background

Simulating BNB Neutrino bunch

- 81 Gaussian
- \cdot σ is timing resolution achieved
- Better timing resolution -> increased search region and decreased background

Simulating Cosmic ray

- Constant background
- Central value is data driven
- Gaussian fluctuation

Different masses of LLP Blue - Large Neutrino Gaussians

Orange – LLP Peaks

Search window and sensitivity

Sensitivity

For each time bin in the search window, count Signal and Background, add all the bins. Benchmark sensitivity using 1-bin Asimov sigma

Significant improvement comparing to delayed window

Different Search Methods

Method 1: entire beam gate as search window M ethod 3: varying search window (S/B > M ethod 1: entire beam gate as search window threshold) for different LLP mass

> Factor of 2 improvement

MicroBooNE Vs SBND

Optimal sensitivity occurs around 210 -250 MeV for SBND and 90 -120 MeV for MicroBooNE

In case of excess in one experiment, combining results in different experiments in the SBN program can pin point the LLP masses and lifetime

Improvements for future experiments

DUNE near detector is ~100 m underground Cosmic background will be significantly reduced.

Factor 2 cosmic rejection

Sensitivit (n.e) LLP event rate (a.u) 25 event rate 15 $\frac{1}{2}$ 50 100 150 200 250 **HNL Mass**

How to improve sensitivity?

- Dedicated search window
- multi detector combined search
- **Better timing resolution**
- Less cosmic background

SBND has better Photon detection system Promising to achieve better timing resolution than MicroBooNE

HNL Mass

Heavy LLP signature Rising "floor"?

- Heavy LLP is more smeared in time. Long decay could bleed into later neutrino bunch. Signal adds up over time appeared as rising floor
- Can develop optimized algorithm to fit this behavior to characterize LLP mass
- Pre-condition is cosmic background is low

- O(1) ns timing resolution is achieved for the first time at LArTPC based neutrino experiment (MicroBooNE data)
- The bunch structure of GeV neutrino beamline + $O(1)$ ns resolution offers a golden **model-independent** handle for MeV-GeV Long-lived- Particles.
- Quantitative sensitivity boost is demonstrated with fast MC
- Will apply this technology in BSM searches in SBN and DUNE