# Multi-phase criticality



### Martti Raidal NICPB, Tallinn

[2102.01084](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.01084) [hep-ph] [2201.00824](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.00824) [hep-ph] [2204.01744](https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.01744) [hep-ph] Work in progress

01.12.2022 **Dark Matters 2022 Dark Matters 2022** 

### **Outline**

Explain hierarchies in physics scales (absence of NP at the LHC) with:

- Multi-phase criticality and Coleman-Weinberg mechanism
- Freeze-out in DM induced multi-phase dynamical symmetry breaking
- Freeze-in in DM induced multi-phase dynamical symmetry breaking
- Conclusions

## Classically scale invariant Higgs-Dilaton model



$$
V=\lambda_H|H|^4+\lambda_{HS}|H|^2\frac{s^2}{2}+\lambda_S\frac{s^4}{4}
$$

• Phase 
$$
s
$$
)  $s \neq 0$  and  $h = 0$ 

$$
\lambda_S=0
$$

• Phase *h*) 
$$
h \neq 0
$$
 and  $s = 0$ 

• Phase  $sh(s, h \neq 0)$ 

$$
2\sqrt{\lambda_H\lambda_S}+\lambda_{HS}=0
$$

• Multi-phase criticality: masses and mixings vanish

$$
\lambda_S(\bar{\mu}) = \lambda_{HS}(\bar{\mu}) = 0, \qquad \qquad \lambda_{S}(\bar{\mu}) = 0,
$$

### CW mechanism and multi-phase criticality

• Dynamical symmetry breaking around the MP criticality: GW not good

$$
V^{(1)}|_{\overline{\text{MS}}} = \frac{1}{4(4\pi)^2} \text{Tr} \left[ M_S^4 \left( \ln \frac{M_S^2}{\bar{\mu}^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{8 \approx e^{-1/4} s_S, \quad h \approx \frac{e^{-1/4} s_S}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{-\beta_{\lambda_{HS}} \ln R}{2\lambda_H}}, \right. \\
\left. - 2M_F^4 \left( \ln \frac{M_F^2}{\bar{\mu}^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) + 3M_V^4 \left( \ln \frac{M_V^2}{\bar{\mu}^2} - \frac{5}{6} \right) \right] \qquad R = e^{-1/2} s_S^2 / s_{HS}^2
$$

$$
\pmb{\beta}\text{-function suppressed}\quad m_s^2\approx\frac{2s^2\beta_{\lambda_S}}{(4\pi)^2},\qquad m_h^2\approx\frac{-s^2\beta_{\lambda_{HS}}\ln R}{(4\pi)^2}=2\lambda_Hh^2\qquad \pmb{\beta}\text{-function suppressed}
$$

$$
\theta \approx \sqrt{-\frac{\beta_{\lambda_{HS}}^3 \ln R}{2 \lambda_H} \frac{1 + \ln R}{4 \pi (2 \beta_{\lambda_S} + \beta_{\lambda_{HS}} \ln R)}},
$$

 $\beta$ -function suppressed

For small couplings the CW must be treated with better precision than the Gildener-Weinberg approximation

01.12.2022 **Dark Matters 2022 Dark Matters 2022** 

### The origin of the effect

- Arrange tree-level Gildener-Weinberg flat direction along the s-axis
- Quantum effects bend the flat direction to a banana
- Usually this is just neglected small effect
- Due to the multi-phase criticality, the EW scale is loop suppressed

### Comments

• In realistic models couplings never run to zero at the same scale:

 $\lambda_S(\bar{\mu})=0, \qquad \lambda_{HS}(\bar{\mu})\approx 0$ 

- Small quartic couplings: inflaton  $\lambda$ <10<sup>-12</sup>, Higgs  $\lambda$ (10<sup>10</sup>GeV), freeze-in
- Top Yukawa affects perpendicular direction of the flat direction
- In realistic models one need more scalar couplings to have dynamical symmetry breaking along the multi-phase criticality direction

### DM induced multi-critical dynamical symmetry breaking

• The scalar model: the Higgs, a dilaton and scalar DM

$$
V = \lambda_H |H|^4 + \frac{\lambda_S}{4} S^4 + \frac{\lambda_{S'}}{4} S'^4 + \frac{\lambda_{HS}}{2} |H|^2 S^2 + \frac{\lambda_{HS'}}{2} |H|^2 S'^2 + \frac{\lambda_{SS'}}{4} S^2 S'^2.
$$
  
\n
$$
m_h^2 \simeq -\frac{\beta_{\lambda_{HS}}}{(4\pi)^2} w^2 \ln R,
$$
  
\n
$$
m_s^2 \simeq 2 \frac{\beta_{\lambda_S}}{(4\pi)^2} w^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
m_s^2 \simeq 2 \frac{\beta_{\lambda_S}}{(4\pi)^2} w^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_{HS'} \approx -\frac{(4\pi)^2 m_h^2}{m_{s'}^2 \ln R}.
$$
  
\n
$$
\theta \simeq \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi m_s m_h^2} v (1 + \ln R)}{(m_h^2 - m_s^2) m_{s'}^2 \ln R}.
$$

$$
w\simeq \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{s'}^2}{4\pi m_s}.
$$

#### Scalar DM must be heavy, the dilaton can be heavier or  $\lim_{\text{One scale } w}$  lighter than the Higgs boson

01.12.2022 Dark Matters 2022 7

#### DM freeze out in this model<br>Multi-phase  $\ln R = -1/4$  $\sigma_{\rm ann} v_{\rm rel} \approx \frac{\lambda_{SS'}^2 + 4 \lambda_{HS'}^2}{64 \pi m_{s'}^2} \,.$  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>Dark matter mass  $m_{s'}/\text{GeV}$ <br> $\frac{10^4}{2}$  $-10^{-4}$  $10<sup>-4</sup>$  $m_{s'}=\sqrt{\pi}m_s^{2/3}(2M)^{1/3}.$  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{0.001}}$  $10^{4}$  $\sigma_{\rm ann} v_{\rm rel} \approx \frac{1}{M^2}$  $10^{6}$ PI ASS 0.001  $\pi$ Relic density  $4\pi$  $m_{s'} = \sqrt{\pi} (2m_h)^{2/3} M^{1/3} / (-\ln R)^{1/3}$  $-0.01$ 100 0.01 Higgs  $-0.1$  signals  $0.1$  $\sigma_{SI} \simeq \frac{f_N^2 m_N^4}{4 \pi m_{s'}^2} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{HS'}}{m_b^2} + \frac{\lambda_{SS'}}{m_s^2} \frac{1 + \ln R}{\ln R} \right]^2$  10<sup>3</sup> Direct detection  $10^{1}$  $10<sup>2</sup>$  $10<sup>3</sup>$  $10^4$ 01.12.2022 Dark Matters 2022 ${\rm Dilaton~ mass}~m_s/{\rm GeV}$

### DM freeze out in the Gildener-Weinberg limit



### DM freeze-in in the multi-critical framework

- All scalar couplings, except the Higgs quartic, must be super small
- Criticality naturally embedded:

$$
\lambda_S(\bar{\mu})=0, \qquad \lambda_{HS}(\bar{\mu})\approx 0
$$

• A possibility: introduce RH neutrinos N

$$
-\mathcal{L}_Y = y_H \bar{\ell} \tilde{H} N_R + \frac{y_S}{2} S \bar{N}_R^c N_R + \text{h.c.},
$$

• Neutrino masses and leptogenesis coming from the same framework

### DM induced CW and freeze-in results



### DM induced hierarchy in scales





### Conclusions

- Multi-phase criticality combines "small" and "large" scalar couplings
- The scalar DM is heavy, it triggers the CW and the loop-suppressed EW scale
- Huge but technically natural hierarchy between the EW and DM scales
- Neutrino masses and leptogenesis may be obtained in a standard way
- This scenario predicts one more light scalar, the dilaton, which may be lighter or heavier than the SM Higgs boson.