



GRavitation AstroParticle Physics Amsterdam

Towards reconstructing the halo clustering and halo mass function of N-body simulations using neural ratio estimation

Androniki Dimitriou, Christoph Weniger, Camila Correa

arXiv:2206.11312

Dark Matters 1 December 2022, Brussels Goal: reconstruction of halo clustering and halo mass function of DM-only cosmological simulations generated by the EAGLE project

- Goal: reconstruction of halo clustering and halo mass function of DM-only cosmological simulations generated by the EAGLE project
- Approach: direct calibration of a analytical halo model/simulator based on a toy implementation of two body correlation functions on DM-only simulations

- Goal: reconstruction of halo clustering and halo mass function of DM-only cosmological simulations generated by the EAGLE project
- Approach: direct calibration of a analytical halo model/simulator based on a toy implementation of two body correlation functions on DM-only simulations
- The EAGLE project

(25 Mpc)³ box with 376³ particles



- Goal: reconstruction of halo clustering and halo mass function of DM-only cosmological simulations generated by the EAGLE project
- Approach: direct calibration of a analytical halo model/simulator based on a toy implementation of two body correlation functions on DM-only simulations
- The EAGLE project

(25 Mpc)³ box with 376³ particles



- Goal: reconstruction of halo clustering and halo mass function of DM-only cosmological simulations generated by the EAGLE project
- Approach: direct calibration of a analytical halo model/simulator based on a toy
 implementation of two body correlation functions on DM-only simulations
- The EAGLE project















• Given an image, we want to know which parameters, *θ*, generated it





- Given an image, we want to know which parameters, *θ*, generated it
- What is inside the simulator?



- Given an image, we want to know which parameters, **\theta**, generated it
- What is inside the simulator?

latent variables







- Given an image, we want to know which parameters, **\theta**, generated it
- What is inside the simulator?

latent variables

Bayes Theorem

- Posterior: $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$
- Likelihood: $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})$
- Prior: $p(\mathbf{z})$
- Evidence: $p(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{z} \ p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})$

$$p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathbf{x})}$$

Bayes Theorem

- Posterior: $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$
- Likelihood: $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})$

 $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathbf{x})}$

- Prior: $p(\mathbf{z})$
- Evidence: $p(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{z} \ p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})$
- Bayes theorem, gives the joint posterior for the full parameter space

Bayes Theorem

- Posterior: $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$
- Likelihood: $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})$

 $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathbf{x})}$

- Prior: $p(\mathbf{z})$
- Evidence: $p(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{z} \ p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})$
- Bayes theorem, gives the joint posterior for the full parameter space
- Almost always, we want to calculate marginal posteriors of the parameters of interest, ϑ

$$p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}|\boldsymbol{x}) = \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \ p(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}|\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\vartheta})p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{p(\boldsymbol{x})}$$
nuisance parameters

Bayes Theorem

- Posterior: $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$
- Likelihood: $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})$
- $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathbf{x})}$
 - Prior: $p(\mathbf{z})$
 - Evidence: $p(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{z} \ p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})$
- Bayes theorem, gives the joint posterior for the full parameter space
- Almost always, we want to calculate marginal posteriors of the parameters of interest, ϑ

$$p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}|\boldsymbol{x}) = \int d\boldsymbol{\eta} \ p(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}|\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\vartheta})p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{p(\boldsymbol{x})}$$
nuisance
parameters

Intractable due to high dimensionality

This problem appears in the context of likelihood-based inference methods, e.g., MCMC

Marginal Neural Ratio Estimation (MNRE)

arXiv: 2107.01214

Estimates posteriors through a binary classification problem:

"Given a (parameter: ϑ , image: x) pair, is the image, x, actually generated by the parameter ϑ ?"

Class 1:Class 2:
$$(x, \vartheta) \sim p(x|\vartheta) p(\vartheta)$$
 $(x, \vartheta') \sim p(x)p(\vartheta)$

Estimates posteriors through a binary classification problem:

"Given a (parameter: ϑ , image: x) pair, is the image, x, actually generated by the parameter ϑ ?"

Class 1:Class 2:
$$(x, \vartheta) \sim p(x|\vartheta) p(\vartheta)$$
 $(x, \vartheta') \sim p(x)p(\vartheta)$

Train a classifier with mock data to directly estimate:

$$r(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \cong \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{p(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

Estimates posteriors through a binary classification problem:

"Given a (parameter: ϑ , image: x) pair, is the image, x, actually generated by the parameter ϑ ?"

Class 1:Class 2:
$$(x, \vartheta) \sim p(x|\vartheta) p(\vartheta)$$
 $(x, \vartheta') \sim p(x)p(\vartheta)$

Train a classifier with mock data to directly estimate:

$$r(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \cong \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{p(\mathbf{x})} \stackrel{\text{bayes theorem}}{=} \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}|\mathbf{x})}{p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}$$

Estimates posteriors through a binary classification problem:

"Given a (parameter: ϑ , image: x) pair, is the image, x, actually generated by the parameter ϑ ?"

Class 1:Class 2:
$$(x, \vartheta) \sim p(x|\vartheta) p(\vartheta)$$
 $(x, \vartheta') \sim p(x)p(\vartheta)$

Train a classifier with mock data to directly estimate:

$$r(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \cong \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{p(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}|\mathbf{x})}{p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}$$

Once we have trained the network, we can estimate the posterior:

$$p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}|\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}) = r(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})$$

Training with swyft

arXiv:2107.01214

Training with swyft arXiv:2107.01214

Physical parameters: ٠

- Ο
- **a** : Inner slope of the halo mass function, Ο where $a \in (1, 3)$
- **N**: Number of halos, where N ϵ (100, 2100) \circ **\epsilon**: Exponent of the density field, where $\epsilon \epsilon$ (0,2)
 - **n**: Slope of the power spectrum, where $n \in$ Ο (0, 10)

Training with swyft arXiv:2107.01214

Physical parameters:

٠

- N: Number of halos, where N ϵ (100, 2100) ϵ : Exponent of the density field, where $\epsilon \epsilon$ (0,2)
- **a** : Inner slope of the halo mass function, where $a \in (1, 3)$
- **n**: Slope of the power spectrum, where n ϵ (0,10)



Training with swyft arXiv:2107.01214

Physical parameters: ٠

٠

- Ο
- **a** : Inner slope of the halo mass function, Ο where a ϵ (1, 3)
- **N**: Number of halos, where N ϵ (100, 2100) \circ **\epsilon**: Exponent of the density field, where $\epsilon \epsilon$ (0,2)
 - **n**: Slope of the power spectrum, where $n \in$ Ο (0, 10)



We train using 200.000 mock images •

Results on mock data



• one simulation box



٠





Comparison of a N-body simulation and a mock image



- Using a toy halo model and MNRE
 - we reconstructed the halo mass function
 - we generated images similar to DM-only N-body simulations

- Using a toy halo model and MNRE
 - we reconstructed the halo mass function
 - we generated images similar to DM-only N-body simulations

Long-term goal

a analytical model for haloes, subhaloes, clustering and baryonic matter that generates actual N-body simulations

- Using a toy halo model and MNRE
 - we reconstructed the halo mass function
 - we generated images similar to DM-only N-body simulations

Long-term goal

a analytical model for haloes, subhaloes, clustering and baryonic matter that generates actual N-body simulations

Thank you!

Backup slides



Identifying Dark Matter Haloes

FOF Halo Finders

Connect particles that are close to each other

Haloes with arbitrary shapes, i.e., it's difficult to assign a mass to them

Define a boundary: the virial radius r_h within which the mean internal matter density is: $\rho_h = 200\rho_c^0$

The mass of the halo, M_h , is defined as the total mass contained within the radius r_h

The Eagle Project

- Using the FOF halo finder:
 - Read halo masses.
 - > Pick haloes with masses that belong to a specific mass range, e.g. (10⁹, 10¹²) M_{\odot} .
 - > Define sub volumes of the 25 Mpc³box, e.g., 12.5 Mpc³, 12.5x 12.5x 5 Mpc³ boxes.
 - \blacktriangleright Read the centers of potential (x, y, z coordinates) of those haloes.
 - Pick the haloes that belong to those boxes and count their number.

The Eagle Project

• Using the Particle data:

- Read particle group numbers
- Select particles that belong to the haloes that we picked before from the FOF halo finder
- Read the coordinates of those particles (x,y,z coordinates)
- Construct a heatmap with 100 bins by projecting the z direction
- Multiply the counts of the histogram with the mass of the particles: $1.15 \cdot 10^7 M_{\odot}$ and divide with xedge \cdot yedge of the histogram i.e., $125 \cdot 10^2 \text{ kpc}^2$

The Model

- The **first physical parameter** is the number, N, of the haloes.
- The masses of the haloes, $M_h \in (10^9, 10^{12}) M_{\odot}$, can be sampled from a halo mass function: $\frac{dn}{dM} = bM^a$.
- The **second physical parameter** is the slope, *a*, of the halo mass function, while:

$$b = (1-a) \cdot \frac{N}{\left((10^{12})^{1-a} - (10^9)^{1-a}\right)V}$$

• From the masses of the haloes, we can calculate their concentrations c:

 $\log_{10} c = 1.4986 - 0.02499 \log_{10} (M/M \odot) [1 + 0.00565 (\log_{10} (M/M \odot)^2)]$ (Correa et al., 2015b)

• Now, we want to place the haloes in the 2D sky:

We construct a 100x100 grid whose values correspond to pairs of x and y coordinates where $(x,y) \in (0,12.5)$ Mpc.

y [Mpc]

	(0,12.5)	(0.125,12.5)		(12.375,12.5)	(12.512.5)
	(0,12.375)	(0.125,12.375)		(12.375,12.375)	(12.5,12.375)
↑		100	100	100	
	(0,0.125)	(0.125,0.125)		(12.375,0.125)	(12.5,0.125)
	(0,0)	(0.125,0)		(12.375,0)	(12.5,0)



• Now, we want to place the haloes in the 2D sky:

➤ We construct a 100x100 grid whose values correspond to pairs of x and y coordinates where $(x, y) \in (0, 12.5)$ Mpc.

Adding Clustering to the Model

• Will sample the positions according to distributions generated from 2D realizations of gaussian random fields on an 100x100 grid.

• The gaussian fields will be specified by a power-law power spectrum:

$$P(k) = \frac{1}{k^n}$$

• The slope of the power spectrum, n, is the **third physical parameter** of our model.

Constructing the Realizations of the Gaussian Fields

- We generate position space realization of a white noise field, φ_{ab}, with unit amplitude, on a 100x100 grid, i.e., a,b ∈ {0,...,99}.
- We Fourier transform the white noise realization: $\varphi_{ab} \rightarrow \varphi_{k_ak_b}$, where k_a , $k_b \in \frac{2\pi}{N} \{0,...,99\}$.
- We want to multiply $\varphi_{k_ak_b}$ with $\sqrt{P(k)}$ to get $\delta_{k_ak_b}$.
- Naive way: Calculate P(k) at points $k = \sqrt{k_a^2 + k_b^2}$ leads to imaginary fields
- Alternatively: Calculate P(k) at points $k = \sqrt{k_a'^2 + k_b'^2}$, where $k'_a, k'_b \in \frac{2\pi}{N} \{0, ..., 50, -49, ..., -1\}$.
- $\delta_{k_ak_b} = \sqrt{P(k)} \varphi_{k_ak_b}$
- $\delta_{k_ak_b} \rightarrow \delta_{ab}$

Realization of White Noise Field



18.18

Realizations of the Gaussian Fields



The effect of parameter ϵ





Now, we want to place the haloes in the 2D sky:

- We construct a 100x100 grid whose values correspond to pairs of x and y coordinates where (x, y) ∈ (0,12.5) Mpc.
- For each one of the N haloes, we sample its coordinates X,Y coordinates from distributions generated from 2D realizations of gaussian random fields.
- We subtract the coordinates of each halo (as pairs of X,Y values) from the values x, y of the grid and we end up with N grids.
- For each grid, we calculate the root sum square of the two values in each one of its cells, i.e., the projected radius of the halo.

Projected radius r' [kpc]



• Now, we want to place the haloes uniformly in the 2D sky:

> For each halo we calculate the surface density:

$$f(r') = \frac{2r_s \left[r' \cdot (r_s - r'^2) + 2r_s \cdot r' \cdot \arctan\left(\frac{r' \cdot \sqrt{r' - r_s}}{r' \cdot \sqrt{r' + r_s}}\right) \cdot \sqrt{r'^2 - r_s^2} \right]}{r' \cdot (r_s^4 - 2r_s^2 r'^2 + r'^4)}$$

where:

 $r_{\rm s} = \frac{(3M_h)^{1/3}}{(800\pi\rho_c^0)^{1/3}c}$

- > We set the values of the pixels that correspond to $r'>2.7r_h$ equal to 1.
- We add all the images of the individual haloes together to obtain the total surface density field
- > We add poisson noise to the final image.

Projected radius r' [kpc]



 \log_{10} Surface Density $[\frac{M_{\odot}}{kpc^2}]$ of a halo with mass $M_h = 10^{12} M_{\odot}$



Joint vs marginal samples

1) Examples for H_0 , jointly sampled from $(x, \vartheta) \sim p(x|\vartheta) p(\vartheta)$













2) Examples for H₁, marginally sampled from $(x, \vartheta) \sim p(x) p(\vartheta)$

















Data: x=Image, Label: $\vartheta \in \{Cat, Donkey\}$

Credit: C. Weniger

Loss function

- Strategy: We train a neural network d_φ(x, ϑ) ∈ [0,1] as binary classifier to estimate the probability of hypothesis H₀ or H₁. The Network output can be interpreted, for a given input pair x and ϑ, as probability that H₀ is true.
 - H_0 is true: $d_{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \simeq 1$
 - H_1 is true: $d_{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \simeq 0$
- The corresponding loss function is the so-called "binary cross-entropy":

 $L[d(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\vartheta})] = -\int d\mathbf{x}d\boldsymbol{\theta} \left[p(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \ln(d(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\vartheta})) + p(\mathbf{x})p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\ln(1-d(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\vartheta})) \right]$

• Minimizing that function w.r.t the network parameters φ yields:

$$d_{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \approx \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{p(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + p(\mathbf{x})p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}$$

Credit: C. Weniger

Likelihood-to-evidence ratio

Training binary classification networks yield true Bayesian posterior estimates!

• With a bit of math one can show that:

$$r(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \equiv \frac{d_{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{d_{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - 1} \approx \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{p(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}|\mathbf{x})}{p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}$$

• Once we have trained the network $d_{\varphi}(x, \vartheta)$, we can estimate the posterior:

 $p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}|\boldsymbol{x}) \simeq r(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})$

• Swyft: a flexible and powerful tool for efficient marginal posterior estimation using NN, designed by B. Miller et al. (2020, 2021).

Credit: C. Weniger

- Until now, using our model and Swyft we were able:
 - ➤ to reconstruct the halo mass function
 - > and to produce images like those of N body simulations!
- As a **next step** we can test if we can identify the lowest mass of the haloes in these images.

To do that:

- ➢ Instead of sampling haloes with masses: M_h ∈ (10⁹, 10¹²) M_☉, we will sample masses: M_h ∈ (10^c, 10¹²) M_☉,
- > where c is a new parameter of our model.
- \blacktriangleright We set the values of the parameters a, ϵ and n equal to the modes of their combined posteriors.

