## Post-Detection of Dark Matter using Gravimetry and **GNSS**

**Michal Cuadrat-Grzybowski (25/10/2022)**





#### What are the Different Scales of Dark Matter?



Courtesy for slide: Bruno Bertrand (ROB)

#### What are the Different Scales of Dark Matter?



### How to detect Dark Matter in the Solar System?

➢ Dark Matter clumps or PBH gravitationally attracted by Solar System (or even Earth)

➢ Potential of detection of Dark Matter clump fly-bys near Earth!

➢ Major Assumption:

Dark Matter only interacts gravitationally with normal matter

➢ For this we have:

**JDelft** 

- GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) constellations
- Network of Superconducting Gravimeters
- Two Earth-sized detectors with 20 years of data  $=$  FREE



Credit: Bruno Bertrand

# How can we use GNSS and gravimetry data to post-detect Dark Matter Signals?





# How can we use GNSS and gravimetry data to post-detect Dark Matter Signals?

❖ **Research Question 1**: What is the possible abundance, velocity and number of flybys of Dark Matter in the Solar System and in the Earth's vicinity?

❖ **Research Question 2**: How can a Dark Matter gravitational signal be modelled and translated to known observables?

❖ **Research Question 3**: What is the detection sensitivity related to relevant Dark Matter characteristics?

This presentation



### Modelling Tools Dark Matter Signals

- ➢ Characteristics of a DM clump orbit:
	- Keplerian Hyperbolic Orbit,
	- DM clump mass independent,
	- Impact parameter  $\bm{B}$ , excess hyperbolic velocity  $\bm{v}_{\infty}$ and Keplerian angles.

- $\triangleright$  Signal obtained from 3<sup>rd</sup> –Body perturbation:
	- DM clump mass dependent!
	- GNSS: orbital deviation
	- Gravimeters: gravity residual





# I) What is the possible abundance, velocity and number of fly-bys of Dark Matter in the Solar System and in the Earth's vicinity?



#### Orbital Elements Intermezzo

- ➢ Characteristics of a Keplerian orbit:
	- *a*: semi-major axis,
	- *e*: eccentricity,

 $\stackrel{\sim}{\mathsf{T}}$ UDelft

- *i*: inclination angle,
- $\Omega$ : RAAN (Right Ascension of Ascending Node),
- $\omega$ : Argument of periapsis ( $\rightarrow$  defines pericentre),
- $v$ : True anomaly (actual dynamic element)\*

\*: Sometimes the mean anomaly is used.



 $\triangleright$  Fly-by flux:

- $d$ : closest approach distance to Earth,
- $V_{DM/Earth}$ : DM velocity (w.r.t Earth) at distance d,
- $F_q$ : gravitational focus factor of Earth.

 $\rho_{DM} = 0.009$ pc<sup>3</sup>

V∞: Maxwellian Distribution

: Uniform distribution





 $\triangleright$  Fly-by flux:

- $d$ : closest approach distance to Earth,
- $V_{DM/Earth}$ : DM velocity (w.r.t Earth) at distance d,
- $F_q$ : gravitational focus factor of Earth.

 $\rho_{DM} = 0.009$ pc<sup>3</sup>

V∞: Maxwellian Distribution

: Uniform distribution





- $\triangleright$  Fly-by flux:
	- $d$ : closest approach distance to Earth,
	- $V_{DM/Earth}$ : DM velocity (w.r.t Earth) at distance d,
	- $F_q$ : gravitational focus factor of Earth.

 $\rho_{DM} = 0.009$ pc<sup>3</sup>

V∞: Maxwellian Distribution

: Uniform distribution







- $\triangleright$  Fly-by flux:
	- $d$ : closest approach distance to Earth,
	- $V_{DM/Earth}$ : DM velocity (w.r.t Earth) at distance d,
	- $F_q$ : gravitational focus factor of Earth.

 $\rho_{DM} = 0.009$ pc<sup>3</sup>

V∞: Maxwellian Distribution

: Uniform distribution

➢ Captured and ejection flux (three-body capture):

Steady-state mass  $\sim 10^{13}$  kg  $\rightarrow$  double increase in density







# II) How can a Dark Matter gravitational signal be modelled and translated to known observables?



### Case scenario to be investigated

#### Characteristics of DM clump:

- $\triangleright$  Clump Mass:  $m_{DM} = 10^{15}$  kg,
- $\triangleright$  Highly energetic trajectories  $\rightarrow$   $V_{\infty}$  = 300 km/s,
- ➢ Minimum possible distance found of 15500 km.





#### GNSS Signals - Results

From an acceleration profile to observables:

- $\triangleright$  Compute third-body perturbation,
- $\triangleright$  Translate satellite reaction into orbital elements (= observables).







#### Preliminary investigation: semi-major axis

#### Gravimeter Signals - Results

Characteristics of acceleration profiles:

- $\triangleright$  Maximum occurs near pericentre,
- ➢ Maximum and duration of signal dependency on impact parameter, excess velocity, station location and DM mass.
- ➢ High sensitivity to DM orbit relative orientation (one order of magnitude difference).

 $10^{-11}$ 

 $10^{-12}$ 

 $10^{-13}$ 

 $10^{-14}$ 

 $10^{-15}$ 

 $10^{-10}$ 

 $10^{-17}$ 

 $10^{-18}$ 

 $\Xi$ 

 $\overline{\rho}$ 





PREM: Density & Gravity

#### **Conclusions**

- $\triangleright$  Minimum possible distance of 15000 km, with a majority mainly around 0.01 AU ( $\rightarrow$  problematic for detection).
- ➢ Signal successfully modelled and provides a clear pattern for future data analysis:
	- GNSS signal very characteristic = step-like,
	- SG network signal = peak AND highly dependent on the orbital relative orientation (not the case for GNSS),
- $\triangleright$  Similar sensitivity obtained with min. mass of ~ 10<sup>15</sup> kg at 15500 km for both gravimeters ( $\sim$ 10<sup>-10</sup> m/s<sup>2</sup>) and GNSS ( $\sim$ 1 cm).



#### Future Work

- ➢ Further Model Development & Analysis:
	- Improvement of orbital models, inclusion of perturbations (=Moon, …)
	- Local enhancement of dark matter density
	- Detailed literature study on DM and PBH models.
- Improved characterisation and use of GNSS orbital data.
- $\triangleright$  Preliminary data analysis  $\rightarrow$  template matching using sensitivity
- > LISA, GOCE ( $\sim$ 10<sup>-12</sup> m/s<sup>2</sup>) and gravimeters on the Moon (reduced noise)

# Thank you for listening!



#### Future Work

- ➢ Further Model Development & Analysis:
	- Improvement of orbital models, inclusion of perturbations (=Moon, …)
	- Local enhancement of dark matter density
	- Detailed literature study on DM and PBH models.
- Improved characterisation and use of GNSS orbital data.
- Preliminary data analysis  $\rightarrow$  template matching using sensitivity
- > LISA, GOCE ( $\sim$ 10<sup>-12</sup> m/s<sup>2</sup>) and gravimeters on the Moon (reduced noise)

#### TUDelft Thank you for listening!





09-10-2020 23

# Questions?

# OMME®ANG

Observing dark Matter and MEteoroids with Gravimeters ANd GNSS

## Thank you for listening!



#### Density local enhancement







#### **Conclusions**

- $\triangleright$  Capture process seems to be insignificant for abundance estimations,
- $\triangleright$  Minimum possible distance of 15000 km, with a majority mainly around 0.01 AU ( $\rightarrow$  problematic for detection).
- ➢ Signal successfully modelled and provides a clear pattern for future data analysis:
	- GNSS signal very characteristic  $=$  step-like,
	- SG network signal  $=$  peak AND highly dependent on the orbital relative orientation (not the case for GNSS),
- $\triangleright$  Similar sensitivity obtained with min. mass of ~ 10<sup>15</sup> kg at 15500 km for both gravimeters ( $\sim$ 10<sup>-10</sup> m/s<sup>2</sup>) and GNSS ( $\sim$ 1 cm).



#### Gravimeter Signals & Sensitivity - Results

Characteristics of sensitivity limits:

- Absolute maximum governed by  $GM/r_{min}^2 cos(\alpha_{r_{min}})$ ,
- ≻ Minimum mass of  $\sim 10^{15}$  kg (at a distance of 15000 km).
- ➢ Signal shape essential as a basis for future *template matching*.





#### Gravimeter Signals & Sensitivity - Results

Characteristics of sensitivity limits:

- Absolute maximum governed by  $GM/r_{min}^2 cos(\alpha_{r_{min}})$ ,
- ≻ Minimum mass of  $\sim 10^{15}$  kg (at a distance of 15000 km).
- ➢ Signal shape essential as a basis for future *template matching*.





### GNSS Signals & Sensitivity - Results

Characteristics of sensitivity limits:

- Absolute maximum governed by  $GM/r_{min}^2$ ,
- ➢ Minimum mass of ∼ 10<sup>15</sup> kg (at a distance of 15000-20000 km).
- ➢ Signal shape essential as a basis for future *template matching*.





#### Signals Results Sensitivity

- $\triangleright$  Effects of B: change in signal duration and maximum
- $\triangleright$  Effects of  $V_{\infty}$ : theoretically changes only signal duration  $\rightarrow$  initial condition problems



### GNSS Signals

From an acceleration profile to observables:

- ➢ GNSS orbit assumed as Keplerian,
- $\triangleright$  Compute third-body perturbation,
- $\triangleright$  Translate satellite reaction into orbital elements (= observables).

$$
\frac{\delta \mathbf{a}(t)}{g(t)} = -\frac{1}{g(t)} \cdot \mu_{DM} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{DM}}{\|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{DM}\|^3} + \frac{\mathbf{r}_{DM}}{\|\mathbf{r}_{DM}\|^3} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{2 \cdot a^2}{\sqrt{\mu p}} \cdot [\cosh(\theta) \cdot a_r + p/r_0 \cdot a_\theta],}{\frac{\frac{de}{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{p}{\mu}} \cdot \left[a_r \sin(\theta) + a_\theta \cdot \left(\frac{cr_0}{p} + (1 + \frac{r_0}{p}) \cos(\theta)\right)\right]},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\frac{de}{dt}}{\frac{d\theta}{dt}} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu} \cdot \overline{p}}{r_0^2} - \frac{1}{e} \sqrt{\frac{p}{\mu}} \left(-a_r \cos(\theta) + a_\theta (1 + \frac{r_0}{p}) \sin(\theta)\right),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\frac{di}{dt}}{\frac{di}{dt}} = a_z \cdot \frac{r_0}{\sqrt{\mu p}} \cdot \cos(\theta + \omega),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\frac{d\omega}{dt} = -\frac{d\theta}{dt} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu \cdot p}}{r_0^2} - a_z \frac{r_0}{\sqrt{\mu p}} \cot(i) \sin(\theta + \omega),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{d\Omega}{dt} = a_z \cdot \frac{r_0}{\sqrt{\mu p}} \cdot \sin(\theta + \omega) / \sin(i),
$$



#### Gravimeter Signals

Characteristics of acceleration profiles:

- $\triangleright$  Orbits can be inside of Earth  $\rightarrow$  PREM Density model,
- ➢ Simulate updated equation of motion from integrated density model,
- ➢ Compute signal from gravimeter station and DM positions.

➢ Radial direction!





09-10-2020 36