

The Optical Clock with $^{176}\text{Lu}^+$

Zhao Qi¹, Qin Qichen¹, Zhang Zhao¹, Zhiqiang Zhang¹, K. J. Arnold¹, and M. D. Barrett¹

1. Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, Singapore
email: e0593195@u.nus.edu

Singly ionized lutetium ($^{176}\text{Lu}^+$) is a unique clock candidate with several attractive features for clock applications [1-6]. It provides three independent clock transitions allowing consistency checks of error budgets through frequency comparisons within the one system [6]. Recently, the systematic uncertainties of two lutetium frequency references have been calibrated to the mid 10^{-19} fractionally on the 848-nm transition. Subsequent comparison via correlation spectroscopy, demonstrated inaccuracy to low 10^{-18} level limited by statistical uncertainty [1]. The absolute frequency measurement of 848-nm clock transition has been measured with a fractional uncertainty of 1.8×10^{-15} limited by our available realization of the second.

To realize the full potential lutetium has to offer requires an assessment of the 804-nm clock transition to a comparable level as the 848-nm transition. The two most challenging aspects of this are the blackbody radiation (BBR) shift and the residual quadrupole moment. The larger BBR shift of the 804-nm transition requires inaccuracy of the scalar differential polarizability at the 1% level. We plan to achieve this through comparison measurement with Ba^+ as proposed in [7], for which the required measurements have been made [8]. The residual quadrupole moment arises from coupling between fine-structure levels resulting in imperfect cancellation via hyperfine averaging [9]. The effect is expected to give a shift at the low 10^{-19} as for the 848-nm transition and we plan to investigate this through high accuracy measurements of differential quadrupole moments and g-factors [9,10].

Absolute frequency accuracy requires an assessment of the system temperature, and this requires temperature calibration at the level of a few degrees for the 804-nm transition. However, for applications requiring only a comparison, such as height referencing, it is only a temperature difference that matters. For lutetium this can be assessed through measurement of the frequency ratio between the 804-nm and 848-nm transitions within each apparatus.

References

- [1] Zhiqiang Zhang, et al., “ $^{176}\text{Lu}^+$ clock comparison at the 10^{-18} level via correlation spectroscopy”, *Sci. Adv.* 9, eadg1971, 2023.
- [2] M. D. Barrett, “Developing a field independent frequency reference”, *New J. Phys.* 17(5):053024, 2015.
- [3] K. J. Arnold, et al, “Blackbody radiation shift assessment for a lutetium ion clock”. *Nat. Comm.*, 9:1650, 2018.
- [4] R. Kaewuam, et al, “Hyperfine averaging by dynamic decoupling in a multi-ion lutetium clock”. *Phys. Rev Lett.* 124, 083202, 2020.
- [5] T. R. Tan, et al, “Suppressing inhomogeneous broadening in a lutetium multi-ion optical clock”. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 123 063201, 2019.
- [6] R. Kaewuam, et al, “Laser Spectroscopy of $^{176}\text{Lu}^+$ ”. *J. Mod. Opt.* 65 592-60, 2017.
- [7] K. J. Arnold, et al, “Polarizability assessments of ion-based optical clocks”. *Phys. Rev. A* 100 043418, 2019.
- [8] S.R. Chanu, et al, “Magic wavelength of the $^{138}\text{Ba}^+$ $6s\ ^2S_{1/2} - 5d\ ^2D_{5/2}$ clock transition”. *Phys. Rev. A* 101 042507, 2020.
- [9] Z. Zhang, et al, “Hyperfine-mediated effects in a Lu^+ optical clock”. *Phys. Rev. A* 102 052834, 2020.
- [10] R. Kaewuam, et al, “Precision measurement of the 3D_1 and 3D_2 quadrupole moments in Lu^+ ”. *Phys. Rev. A* 102 042819, 2020.